	) 35–49 of Mathematical Statistics, 2007
•	14/193940307000000031
$\mathbf{Smo}$	oth estimation of mean residual life
	under random censoring
	Yogendra P. Chaubey <sup>1,*</sup> and Arusharka $Sen^{1,*}$
	Concordia University
fun Pr (1: th est yn wh It pr	bstract: We propose here a smooth estimator of the mean residual life nation based on randomly censored data. This is derived by smoothing the oduct-Limit estimator using the Chaubey-Sen technique (Chaubey and Sen 998)). The resulting estimator does not suffer from the boundary bias as is e case with standard kernel smoothing. The asymptotic properties of the cimator are investigated. We establish strong uniform consistency and as- uptotic normality. This complements the work of Chaubey and Sen (1999) ich considered a similar estimation procedure in the case of complete data. is seen that the properties are similar, though technically more difficult to ove, to those in the complete data case with appropriate modifications due censoring.
1. Introc	luction
or a comp componen	be a non-negative random variable representing the life time of a subject ponent in the context of survival or reliability studies. Given that the t has survived up to time $t$ , the expected remaining life is given by the mean residual life $(mrl)$ function
(1.1)	$m(t)=E(T-t T>t)=\frac{\int_t^\infty S(u)du}{S(t)}I(S(t)>0),$
where $S(t)$	) denotes the survival function $(SF)$ of $T$ .
	22] was the first to propose estimating $m(t)$ , by replacing the survival
	(1.1) with the empirical survival function ( <i>esf</i> ). The reader may refer to
-	d Zitikis [6] and references therein for the vast literature on the properties
	imator. There are several other articles that address the estimation of a random sample of congoind lifetimes. For example, Vang [21], Hall and
. ,	a random sample of censored lifetimes. For example, Yang [21], Hall and
	2], Ghorai et al. [9] and Gill [11] among others studied the properties of $m(t)$ based on randomly right censored data, obtained generally by
octimatora	S(t) by its nonparametric estimator such as the Kaplan-Meier estimator
	S(e) by no nonparametric commator such as the rapian-meter estimator
replacing,	e modifications thereof. However, due to the inherent discontinuity of
replacing , or suitable	
replacing , or suitable the <i>mrl</i> es	timator described above, there is a natural interest in deriving smooth
replacing , or suitable the <i>mrl</i> es estimators	timator described above, there is a natural interest in deriving smooth of the $mrl$ function when the random variable $T$ is assumed to admit a
replacing <i>i</i> or suitable the <i>mrl</i> es estimators probability	e modifications thereof. However, due to the inherent discontinuity of timator described above, there is a natural interest in deriving smooth of the $mrl$ function when the random variable $T$ is assumed to admit a y density function. In the complete-data case, Ruiz and Guillamòn [17] a kernel-smoothing based estimator, and more recently, Abdous and

<sup>1</sup>Department of Mathematics and Statistics, Concordia University, Montreal, Quebec, CANADA H3G 1M8, e-mail: chaubey@alcor.concordia.ca; asen@mathstat.concordia.ca

49 CANADA H3G 1M3, e-mail: chaudey@alcor.concordia.ca; asenemathstat.concordia.ca
 50 Keywords and phrases: asymptotics, Hille's theorem, mean residual life, random censoring,
 50 smoothing, survival function.

49

50

<sup>51</sup> AMS 2000 subject classifications: Primary 62G05, 62G20; secondary 62G07.

Chaubey and Sen [5] proposed an alternative mrl estimator in the complete-data case, based on a new smooth estimator of the survival function due to Chaubey and Sen [2]. Earlier, this smoothing technique was applied to hazard and cumulative hazard function estimation by Chaubey and Sen [3] in the complete-data case. Even though the method has been extended to density, survival, hazard and cumulative hazard function estimation for randomly censored data (Chaubey and Sen [4]), the *mrl* estimator has not been studied in the latter case. Chaubey and Sen [5] realized that the weighting scheme proposed for smooth

estimation of survival and density function was not appropriate for the smooth estimation of the mrl function and modified these weights. The purpose of the present paper is to adapt the above method for smooth estimation of the mean residual life under random censorship model. In this case we observe the censored life times  $Z_i = \min(T_i, C_i)$  and the indicator variable  $\delta_i = I(T_i \leq C_i)$ , where  $C_1$ ,  $C_2,\ldots$  is an independent sequence of non-negative independent random variables representing random censoring times. The Kaplan-Meier product-limit estimator (PLE) for the survival function is defined by 

$$\hat{S}_n(t) = \prod_{i=1}^n \left( 1 - \frac{\delta_{[i:n]}}{n-i+1} \right)^{I_{[Z_{i:n} \le t]}}$$

where  $Z_{1:n} < \cdots < Z_{n:n}$  denote the ordered statistics corresponding to the observations  $Z_i$ ,  $i \leq n$  and  $\delta_{[i:n]}$  is the value of  $\delta$  corresponding to  $Z_{i:n}$ .

For the censored data, kernel based smoothing has been adapted for density estimation as well as for the hazard function estimation. For example, the kernel based smooth estimation of the density function is given by (cf. for example Mielniczuk [15])

27  
28  
29
$$\hat{f}_n(x) = (\omega_n)^{-1} \int_0^\infty k\left(\frac{x-s}{\omega_n}\right) d\hat{F}_n(s),$$

where  $\hat{F}_n(\cdot) = 1 - \hat{S}_n(\cdot), \ \omega_n(>0)$ , known as the *band-width*, is chosen so that  $\omega_n \to 0$  but  $n\omega_n \to \infty$ , as  $n \to \infty$ ; k(.) is termed the kernel function and is typically assumed to be a symmetric pdf with zero mean and unit variance. On the other hand the hazard smoothing is based on the Nelson-Aalen estimator of the cumulative hazard function H(x), namely,

> $H_n(x) = \sum_{i: Z : \le t} \frac{\delta_{(i:n)}}{n-i+1},$

as given by

$$\hat{h}_n(t) = (\omega_n)^{-1} \int_0^\infty k\left(\frac{t-s}{\omega_n}\right) d\hat{H}_n(s)$$

$$= (\omega_n)^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\delta_{(i:n)}}{n-i+1} k\left(\frac{t-Z_{i:n}}{\omega_n}\right).$$

A list of available results concerning the above smooth estimator and other variants is contained in the survey article by Padgett and McNichols [16]. 

Each of these methods can be used to derive a smooth estimator of mean resid-ual life. The smoothing technique considered here differs from the above standard techniques in such a way that it does not suffer from problems faced by kernel 

the estimator of the *mrl* function

smoothing for non-negative data, and derive the estimator of the *mrl* function as
a plug-in estimator. The technique presented here could also be used in general to
smooth the Nelson-Aalen estimator, but this is not considered here.
The form of the smooth estimator of the *mrl* function considered here is given in

The form of the smooth estimator of the *mrl* function considered here is given in Section 2 and its asymptotic properties are investigated in Section 3. We also note that the results obtained here can be used to obtain the corresponding properties for the complete data case. In this process we have corrected the variance formula for the limiting asymptotic distribution of the resulting estimator.

## 2. A smooth estimator of the MRL function

As in Chaubey and Sen [4], the following representation of the product limit estimator may be used for computation. Let  $M_n$  be the total number of failure points and let the ordered failure points be denoted by  $Z_{i:n}^*$ ,  $i = 1, 2, ..., M_n$ , then we have

(2.1) 
$$\hat{S}_n(t) = \prod_{i \le j} \left( \frac{n - k_i}{n - k_i + 1} \right), \ Z_{j:n}^* \le t < Z_{j+1:n}^*, \ 0 \le j \le M_n$$

where  $k_i$  is defined by  $Z_{i:n}^* = Z_{k_i:n}$   $(Z_{0:n}^* = 0, Z_{M_n+1:n}^* = \infty)$ , i.e.  $Z_{k_i:n}$  is the  $k_i^{\text{th}}$  order statistic which corresponds to the  $i^{\text{th}}$  failure.

For smooth estimators of the survival function and associated functionals in the random censorship case, Chaubey and Sen [4] consider the following modification of  $S_n(t)$  as in Efron [7]:

(2.2) 
$$S_n(t) = \begin{cases} \hat{S}_n(t), & \text{for } Z_{j:n}^* \le t < Z_{j+1:n}^*, & 0 \le j \le M_n \\ 0 & \text{for } t > Z_{n:n} \end{cases}$$

where for  $M_n < n$ , we put  $Z^*_{M_n+1:n} = Z_{n:n}$ . With this modification the smooth estimator of the survival function proposed by Chaubey and Sen [4] is given by

(2.3) 
$$\tilde{S}_n(t) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} S_n(k/\lambda_n) p_k(\lambda_n x)$$

where

$$p_k(\mu) = e^{-\mu} \frac{\mu^k}{k!}, k = 0, 1, 2, \dots,$$

 $\lambda_n$  being a constant to be chosen adequately. The seemingly infinite series in (2.3) is in fact a finite sum with the last term being the  $N^{\text{th}}$  term where  $N = [\lambda_n Z_{n:n}]$ , [x] denoting the largest integer less than equal to x.

<sup>39</sup> Chaubey and Sen [4] argue that the data-adaptive choice of  $\lambda_n$  as given by <sup>40</sup>  $\lambda_n = n/Z_{n:n}$  may be appropriate for estimating the survival function. For studying <sup>41</sup> the asymptotic properties of the resulting smooth estimator of the *mrl* function we <sup>42</sup> will make a deterministic choice of  $\lambda_n$ , which may be obtained by cross-validation <sup>44</sup> (see above).

<sup>44</sup> Consistency of  $\tilde{S}_n(t)$  can be proved using the same techniques as in Chaubey and <sup>45</sup> Sen [4]. Towards this we extract the following theorem and corollary from Stute <sup>46</sup> and Wang [20].

<sup>47</sup> Let F and G denote the distribution functions of the survival time T and cen-<sup>48</sup> soring time C and H denote the common distribution of  $Z_i, i = 1, 2, ..., n$ , i.e. <sup>49</sup> 1 - H = (1 - F)(1 - G). Set

$$\tau_H = \inf\{x : H(x) = 1\},\$$

and write  $F\{a\} = F(a) - F(a^{-})$ . Finally let A denote the set of all atoms of H. **Theorem 2.1 (Stute and Wang [20]).** Suppose that F and G have no jumps in common, and  $\phi$  is F-integrable, then we have with probability one and in the mean,  $\lim_{n \to \infty} \int \phi(x) F_n(dx) = \int_{\tau < \tau_H} \phi(x) F(dx) + \mathbb{1}_{(\tau_H \in A)} \phi(\tau_H) F\{\tau_H\}$ (2.4)(where the second summand should be zero if  $\tau_H = \infty$ ). Two special cases of this result are of importance for further analysis which are given as corollaries below. **Corrolary 2.1.** Suppose F and G have no jumps in common, then we have 

$$\sup_{t \leq \tau_H} |S_n(t) - S^*(t)| \to 0 \quad with \ probability \ 1,$$

where

$$S^{*}(t) = \begin{cases} S(t) & \text{if } t < \tau_{H}, \\ S(\tau_{H}^{-}) + 1_{\tau_{H} \in A} F\{\tau_{H}\}, & \text{if } t \ge \tau_{H}. \end{cases}$$

The uniform consistency of  $S_n$  holds on  $(0, \tau_H)$  if either  $F\{\tau_H\} = 0$  or  $F\{\tau_H\} > 0$ and  $G\{\tau_H^-\} < 1$ .

**Remark 2.1.** We will consider both F and G to be absolutely continuous hence the uniform consistency for the product limit estimator will be assumed to hold on the support of H. In addition if  $\tau_H = \tau_F$  and F is continuous (see Remark 3. of Stute and Wang [20]) then we have

(2.5)  $\lim_{n \to \infty} \int \phi(x) F_n(dx) = \int \phi(x) F(dx).$ 

This implies the following corollary.

**Corrolary 2.2.** If  $\tau_H = \tau_F$  and F is continuous with  $\int |x| F(dx) < \infty$ , then with probability one we have

(2.6) 
$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \int_{x>t} (x-t) F_n(dx) = \int_{x>t} (x-t) F(dx),$$

where the above convergence is uniform on the interval  $[0, \tau]$  for any  $\tau < \tau_H$ .

**Remark 2.2.** If an addition F is concentrated on non-negative values, the above implies that with probability one,  $\lim_{n\to\infty} \int_{x>t} S_n(dx) = \int_{x>t} S(dx)$  uniformly on the interval  $[0, \tau]$  for any  $\tau < \tau_H$ .

Now we are ready to establish the strong convergence of  $\hat{S}_n(t)$ .

**Proposition 2.1.** Let H and F have the same support and assume that F is 44 absolutely continuous. Consider a sequence of positive numbers  $\lambda_n \to \infty$  as  $n \to \infty$ 45 then we have

$$\sup_{t \le \tau_H} |\tilde{S}_n(t) - S(t)| \to 0, \text{ as } n \to \infty.$$

*Proof.* The estimator considered here is based on the following theorem (see Lemma 1 in Feller [8], pp. 219)

 $|\alpha^*(u)\rangle$ 

$$\sup_{t \le \tau_H} \{ |\tilde{S}_n(t) - S_n^*(t)| \} \le \max_{\{k:(k/\lambda_n) \le \tau_H\}} |S_n(k/\lambda_n) - S(k/\lambda_n|)$$

(2.9) 
$$+S_n(\tau_H)\operatorname{Prob}\{N_n \ge [\tau_H \lambda_n]\},$$

where  $N_n$  is a P with mean  $t\lambda_n$ . Both the terms converge to zero with probability one, the first one due to Corollary 2.1 and the second one because  $S_n(\tau_H)$  is bounded and  $\operatorname{Prob}\{N_n \geq [\tau_H \lambda_n]\} \to 0$  as  $\lambda_n \to \infty$ . For analyzing the difference between  $S_n(t)$  and S(t), we see that

$$\sup_{t \le \tau_H} |\tilde{S}_n(t) - S(t)| \le \sup_{t \le \tau_H} |\tilde{S}_n(t) - S_n^*(t)| + \sup_{t \le \tau_H} |S_n^*(t) - S(t)|.$$

Using (2.8) and (2.9) we find that both the summands on the right hand side of the above inequality converge to zero and hence the theorem holds for  $\tau_H < \infty$ . For the case of  $\tau_H = \infty$ , the second summand on the right hand side of (2.9) is exactly zero and the theorem follows similarly. Π

The estimator of the *mrl* function based on the Kaplan-Meier estimator as given by Yang [21] can be written as

$$m_n(t) = \frac{\int_t^\infty S_n(x)dx}{S_n(t)} I(t < Z_{M_n:n}).$$

We can similarly define the smooth estimator of the mrl function as

(2.10) 
$$\tilde{m}_n(t) = \frac{\int_{x>t} (x-t)\tilde{F}_n(dx)}{\tilde{S}_n(t)} = \frac{\int_t^\infty \tilde{S}_n(u)du}{\tilde{S}_n(t)},$$

where  $\tilde{F}_n = 1 - \tilde{S}_n$ .

Computational form of the above estimator is now provided in the following proposition as given in Chaubey and Sen [4].

**Proposition 2.2.** The smooth estimator of the mean residual life as given by (2.10)can be represented as

(2.11) 
$$\tilde{m}_n(t) = \frac{1}{\lambda_n} \frac{\sum_{k=0}^N \sum_{r=0}^k \frac{(t\lambda_n)^{k-r}}{(k-r)!} S_n(\frac{k}{\lambda_n})}{\sum_{k=0}^N \frac{(t\lambda_n)^k}{k!} S_n(\frac{k}{\lambda_n})},$$

where  $N = [\lambda_n Z_{n:n}].$ 

The representation given in (2.11) follows by direct integration and the fact that  $S_n(x) = 0$  for  $x > Z_{n:n}$ . 

Using the above result, since S(t) is bounded, continuous, nonnegative and non-

 $S_n^*(t) = e^{-\lambda_n t} \sum_{k \ge 0} S(k/\lambda_n)(\lambda t)^k/k! \to S(t), \text{ as } \lambda_n \to \infty,$ 

(2.7) 
$$e^{-\lambda t} \sum u(k/\lambda)(\lambda t)^k/k! \to u(t), \text{ as } \lambda \to \infty,$$

 $k \ge 0$ 

uniformly in any finite interval  $\mathbf{J}$  contained in  $\mathbf{R}^+$ .

increasing (on  $\mathbf{R}^+$ ), we have

Now we have

uniformly in any finite interval  $\mathbf{J} \subseteq \mathbf{R}^+$ .

Let u(t) be bounded, continuous function on  $\mathbb{R}^+$ . Then

(2.8)

$$+S_n$$
  
Poisson random variable v  
bability one, the first one

#### 

In this section we present the asymptotic properties of  $\tilde{m}_n(\cdot)$ . We establish its strong uniform consistency in Theorem 3.1 and asymptotic normality in Theorem 3.3. **Theorem 3.1.** Let F and G be absolutely continuous with common support and no common jump points such that  $m(t) < \infty$  for all  $t \in \mathbb{R}^+$ . Then for any compact interval  $\mathcal{C} \subset \mathcal{R}^+$ , we have for  $\lambda_n \to \infty$  as  $n \to \infty$ 

(3.1) 
$$\|\tilde{m}_n - m\|_{\mathcal{C}} = \sup_{t \in \mathcal{C}} |\tilde{m}_n(t) - m(t)| \to 0 \quad a.s. \text{ as } n \to \infty.$$

*Proof.* If F is compactly supported, i.e. S(t) = 0 for all  $t > t_0(<\infty)$ , then m(t)is not defined beyond  $t_0$  and for all  $t < t_0$ , it is bounded. Thus we find that m(t)is a Hadamard differentiable functional of S(t). Hence, we can claim the same convergence property for  $m_n(t)$ , as that of  $S_n(t)$  due to Proposition 2.1. Therefore, we confine ourselves to the case of infinite support, i.e.  $\tau_H = \infty$ .

First note that (2.11) can be written as

3. Asymptotic properties of  $\tilde{m}_n(\cdot)$ 

(3.2) 
$$\tilde{m}_n(t) = \frac{(1/\lambda_n) \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} S_n(k/\lambda_n) P_k(t\lambda_n)}{\tilde{S}_n(t)},$$

where  $P_k(x) = \sum_{j \le k} p_k(x)$ . Writing the numerator in the above equation as  $\sum_{k} \tilde{G}_n(k/\lambda_n) p_k(t\lambda_n)$ , where  $\tilde{G}_n(k/\lambda_n) = (1/\lambda_n) \sum_{j \le k} S_n(j/\lambda_n)$ , we note that

$$\tilde{G}_n\left(\frac{k}{\lambda_n}\right) - \frac{1}{\lambda_n} S_n\left(\frac{k}{\lambda_n}\right) \le G_n^0\left(\frac{k}{\lambda_n}\right) \le \tilde{G}_n\left(\frac{k}{\lambda_n}\right)$$
(3.3)

where

<sup>28</sup>  
<sub>29</sub> (3.3) 
$$G_n^0(t) = \int_t^\infty S_n(x) dx.$$

Hence,

(3.4) 
$$\sup_{t \in \mathcal{C}} |G_n^0\left(\frac{k}{\lambda_n}\right) - \tilde{G}_n\left(\frac{k}{\lambda_n}\right)| \to 0 \text{ a.s. as } n \to \infty,$$

where  $\mathcal{C} = [0, \tau], \tau < \infty$ . Further, since,  $G_n^0(t)$  is non-decreasing and bounded *a.s.*, using Hille's theorem, we get

(3.5) 
$$\sum_{k\geq 0} p_k(t\lambda_n) \tilde{G}_n(k/\lambda_n) \to G_n^0(t) \text{ a.s. as } n \to \infty \ \forall t \in \mathcal{C}.$$

Now using Corollary 2.2 (see (2.6)) we have

(3.6) 
$$G_n^0(t) \to \int_t^\infty S(x) dx = m(t) \text{ a.s. as } n \to \infty.$$

Combining (3.5), (3.6) along with the fact that  $\tilde{S}_n(t)^{-1} \to S(t)^{-1}$ , uniformly in any compact interval we get the result in (3.1).

Before establishing the asymptotic normality of  $\tilde{m}_n(t)$  we need the following notation. Let 

$$H_0(z) = \mathbf{P}(Z \le z, \delta = 0) = \int_0^z S(y) G(dy),$$

imsart-lnms ver. 2007/09/18 file: imscoll103.tex date: October 11, 2007



Define

and

$$H_1(z) = P(Z \le z, \delta = 1) = \int_0^z (1 - G(y)) F(dy).$$
$$\gamma_0(x) = \exp\left\{\int_0^x \frac{H_0(dz)}{1 - H_1(z)}\right\},$$

$$\gamma_1(x) \equiv \gamma_\phi(x) = \frac{1}{1 - H(x)} \int_0^\infty I(x < w)\phi(w)\gamma_0(w)H_1(dw)$$

and

$$\gamma_2(x) \equiv \Gamma_{\phi}(x) = \int_0^{\infty} \int_0^{\infty} \frac{I(v < x, v < w)\phi(w)\gamma_0(w)}{(1 - H(v))^2} H_0(dv)H_1(dw).$$

~

(3.7) 
$$\int \phi^2(x)\gamma_0^2(x)H_1(dx) < \infty$$

and

(3.8) 
$$\int |\phi(x)| C^{1/2}(x) F^*(dx) < \infty,$$

where

$$C(x) = \int_0^x \frac{G(dy)}{(1 - G(y))(1 - H(y))},$$

Stute [19] proved the following CLT.

**Theorem 3.2.** Under (3.7) and (3.8)

 $\sqrt{n} \int \phi \ d(S_n - S^*) \longrightarrow N(0, \sigma_{\phi}^2),$ 

where

$$\sigma_{\phi}^2 = \operatorname{Var}\{\phi(Z)\gamma_0(Z)\delta + \gamma_1(Z)(1-\delta) - \gamma_2(Z)\}.$$

We show here that a similar central limit theorem holds for the smooth estimator  $\tilde{m}_n(t)$ . As mentioned in Remark 2.1, we suppose that G is also continuous and assume the conditions (3.7) and (3.8) with  $\phi(x) = x$ , i.e.

(A.1)

$$\int_0^{\tau_H} \frac{x^2}{1 - G(x)} F(dx) < \infty,$$

and (A.2)

$$\int_0^{\tau_H} x \sqrt{C(x)} F(dx) < \infty,$$

where C(x) now becomes

- $C(x) = \int_0^x \frac{G(dy)}{(1 H(y))(1 G(y))}.$ 
  - Note that the above two conditions imply

(B.1) A.1 holds, replacing  $x^2$  in A.1 by  $(\phi_t^A(x))^2$  or  $(\phi_t^B(x))^2$  and A.2 holds re-

placing (the first) x in A.2 by  $\phi_t^A(x)$  or  $\phi_t^B(x)$  for any  $0 \le t < \tau_H$ , where

(B.2) Given  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exists  $M, t < M < \tau_H$ , such that

$$\int_0^{\tau_H} \frac{x^2 I(x>M)}{1-G(x)} F(dx) < \epsilon \text{ and } \int_0^{\tau_H} x I(x>M) \sqrt{C(x)} F(dx) < \epsilon.$$

The above equations are similar to (2.6) and (2.7), of Stute [19] (see p. 435.) Further, note that

(B.3) for any  $0 \le a < \tau_H$ , A.1 and A.2 hold for  $\phi(x)I(x \le a)$ , i.e.

 $\phi_t^A(x) = (x-t)I(t > x), \ \phi_t^B(x) = I(x > t).$ 

$$\int_0^{\tau_H} \frac{\phi^2(x)I(x \le a)}{1 - G(x)} F(dx) < \infty,$$

and

$$\int_0^{\tau_H} |\phi(x)| I(x \le a) \sqrt{C(x)} F(dx) < \infty,$$

whenever 
$$\int \phi^2(x) F(dx) < \infty$$
. (see (2.3), p. 432 of Stute [19]).

**Theorem 3.3.** Under assumptions A.1 and A.2 and  $\lambda_n \to \infty$  for any  $t < \tau_H$ 

$$\sqrt{n}(\tilde{m}_n(t) - m(t)) \longrightarrow N(0, \sigma^2(t)),$$

where

$$\sigma^2(t) = \text{AVar } \{\sqrt{n}m_n(t)\}.$$

(See Yang [21] or (3.11) below.)

For further analysis we will use the following representation of the K-M estimator of the survival function as given in Stute [19]:

$$S_n(t) = \sum_{i=1}^n W_{in} I(Z_{i:n} > t),$$

where for  $1 \leq i \leq n$ ,

$$W_{in} = \frac{\delta_{[i:n]}}{(n-i+1)} \prod_{j=1}^{i-1} \left[ \frac{n-j}{n-j+1} \right]^{\delta_{[j:n]}}$$

is the mass attached to the *i*th order statistic  $Z_{i:n}$  under  $\hat{F}_n$ . Therefore the smooth estimator of the survival function may be written as

$$(t) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} W_{in} \phi^{B}_{i}(Z_{i:n}).$$

$$\tilde{S}_n(t) = \sum_{i=1} W_{in} \phi^B_{nt}(Z_{i:n}),$$

where

$$\phi_{nt}^B(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} p_k(t\lambda_n) I\left(x > \frac{k}{\lambda_n}\right)$$

 $= \mathbf{P}(\frac{N_n}{\lambda_n} < x),$ 

З

with  $N_n \sim \text{Poisson}(t\lambda_n)$ . Hence,

$$\int_{t}^{\infty} \tilde{S}_{n}(y) dy = \sum_{i=1}^{n} W_{in} \left[ \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} I(Z_{i:n} > \frac{k}{\lambda_{n}}) \int_{t}^{\infty} p_{k}(\lambda_{n}y) dy \right]$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{n} W_{in} \left[ \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} I(Z_{i:n} > \frac{k}{\lambda_n}) \left\{ \frac{1}{\lambda_n} \sum_{r=0}^{k} p_r(t\lambda_n) \right\} \right]$$

$$\sum_{n=1}^{n} \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \left[ \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left( [\lambda_n Z_{i:n}] - k + 1 \right) \right]$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{n} W_{in} \left[ \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left( \frac{[\lambda_n Z_{i:n}] - k + 1}{\lambda_n} \right) p_k(\lambda_n t) I(\lambda_n Z_{i:n} > k) \right]$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{n} W_{in} \phi^A_{nt}(Z_{i:n}),$$

where

$$\phi_{nt}^{A}(x) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{[\lambda_{n}x] - k + 1}{\lambda_{n}} p_{k}(t\lambda_{n}) I\left(x > \frac{k}{\lambda_{n}}\right)$$

$$= E_{N_n} \left[ \frac{[\lambda_n x] - N_n + 1}{\lambda_n} I(N_n < x\lambda_n) \right].$$

First note that, as  $n \to \infty$ ,

$$\phi^B_{nt}(x) \rightarrow I(t < x) + \frac{1}{2}I(t = x) \text{ and } \phi^A_{nt}(x) \rightarrow (x - t)I(t < x).$$

Let us therefore consider,

(3.9) 
$$S_n(t) = \sum_{i=1}^n W_{in} \phi_t^B(Z_{i:n})$$

42  
43  
44  
45  
(3.10) 
$$\int_{t}^{\infty} S_{n}(y) dy = \sum_{i=1}^{n} W_{in} \phi_{t}^{A}(Z_{i:n}),$$

47 where

 $\phi_t^B(x) = I(x > t) \text{ and } \phi_t^A(x) = (x - t)I(x > t).$ 

We have by Theorem 3.2

$$\sqrt{n}(m_n(t) - m(t))$$

$$= \sqrt{n} \left( \frac{\int_t^\infty S_n(y) dy}{S_n(t)} - m(t) \right)$$

$$= \sqrt{n} \frac{\left(\int_t^\infty S_n(y) dy - \int_t^\infty S(y) dy\right)}{\left(\int_t^\infty S_n(y) dy - \int_t^\infty S(y) dy\right)} = \sqrt{n} \frac{\left(\int_t^\infty S_n(t) - S(t)\right)}{\left(\int_t^\infty S_n(t) dy - \int_t^\infty S(t) dy\right)}$$

$$= \sqrt{n} \frac{S_n(t)}{S_n(t)} = \sqrt{nm(t)} \frac{S_n(t)}{S_n(t)}$$

$$= \sqrt{n} \frac{(\sum_{i=1}^{n} W_{in} \phi_t^A(Z_{i:n}) - E_F(\phi_t^A))}{S_n(t)}$$

$$-\sqrt{n}m(t)\frac{(\sum_{i=1}^{n}W_{in}\phi_{t}^{B}(Z_{i:n}) - E_{F}(\phi_{t}^{B}))}{S_{n}(t)}$$
11
12
13

$$= \frac{1}{S(t)} \left[ \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left( \frac{\delta_i \phi_t^A(Z_i)}{1 - G(Z_i)} - E_F(\phi_t^A) \right) \right]^{14}$$

$$+\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left((1-\delta_{i})\gamma_{\phi_{t}^{A}}(Z_{i})-\Gamma_{\phi_{t}^{A}}(Z_{i})\right)$$

$$-\frac{m(t)}{\sqrt{n}}\sum_{i=1}^{n} \left(\frac{\delta_i \phi_t^B(Z_i)}{1 - G(Z_i)} - E_F(\phi_t^B)\right)$$

$$-\frac{m(t)}{\sqrt{n}}\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left((1-\delta_i)\gamma_{\phi_t^B}(Z_i)-\Gamma_{\phi_t^B}(Z_i)\right)\right]$$

$$^{25}_{26}$$
 (3.11)  $+o_P(1)$ 

The claim in above equation is justified by the assumptions in (3.7) and (3.8). In view of the above, to prove Theorem 3.2 it is enough to show that

$$R_n(t) = \sqrt{n} \left[ \frac{\int_t^\infty \tilde{S}_n(y) dy}{\tilde{S}_n(t)} - \frac{\int_t^\infty S_n(y) dy}{S_n(t)} \right] = o_P(1) \text{ as } n \to \infty.$$

To establish the above equation, we write

$$R_n(t) = \frac{\sqrt{n} [\int_t^\infty \tilde{S}_n(y) dy - \int_t^\infty S_n(y) dy]}{\tilde{S}_n(t)}$$

$$-\sqrt{n}\frac{[\tilde{S}_n(t) - S_n(t)]}{\tilde{S}_n(t)} \left(\frac{\int_t^\infty S_n(y)dy}{S_n(t)}\right)$$

(3.13) 
$$= \frac{R_n^A(t)}{\tilde{S}_n(t)} - \left(\frac{\int_t^\infty S_n(y)dy}{S_n(t)}\right) \frac{R_n^B(t)}{\tilde{S}_n(t)}, \text{ say.}$$

To complete the proof of the assertion in (3.12), we establish the following lemmas.

Lemma 3.1. Under A.1 and A.2,

$$R_n^A(t) = o_P(1) \text{ as } n \to \infty.$$

$$R_n^B(t) = o_P(1)$$
 as  $n \to \infty$ .

З

# *Proof of Lemma 3.1.* We have

=

 $R_n^A(t) ~=~ \sqrt{n} [\int_t^\infty ilde{S}_n(y) dy - \int_t^\infty S_n(y) dy]$ 

$$= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sqrt{n} \int_{t}^{\infty} [S_n\left(\frac{k}{\lambda_n}\right) - S_n(y)] p_k(\lambda_n y) dy$$

$$= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \int_{t}^{\infty} [\alpha_n\left(\frac{k}{\lambda_n}\right) - \alpha_n(y)] p_k(\lambda_n y) dy$$

$$+\sqrt{n}\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\int_{t}^{\infty}\left[S\left(\frac{k}{\lambda_{n}}\right)-S(y)\right]p_{k}(\lambda_{n}y)dy,$$

where

$$\alpha_n(y) = \sqrt{n}(S_n(y) - S(y)).$$

We may therefore write

# $R_n^A(t) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \int_t^M [\alpha_n\left(\frac{k}{\lambda_n}\right) - \alpha_n(y)] p_k(\lambda_n y) dy$

$$+\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\int_{M}^{\infty}\left[\alpha_{n}\left(\frac{k}{\lambda_{n}}\right) - \alpha_{n}(y)\right]p_{k}(\lambda_{n}y)dy$$

$$+\sqrt{n}\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\int_{t}^{\infty}\left[S\left(\frac{k}{\lambda_{n}}\right)-S(y)\right]p_{k}(\lambda_{n}y)dy$$
$$=\pi_{n}x_{n}(t)+R_{n}x_{n}(t)+A_{n}(t)$$
 solv

(3.14) 
$$= r_{n,M}(t) + R_{n,M}(t) + A_n(t), \text{ say},$$

where  $M > M_1$  and  $t < M_1 < \tau_H$  is chosen to satisfy B.2 for a given  $\epsilon > 0$  and  $A_n(t)$  is deterministic function converging to zero, as shown in Chaubey and Sen [4]. Next, we have

$$r_{n,M}(t) = \int_{t}^{M} \sum_{\substack{k:|\frac{k}{\lambda_n} - y| \le h_n}} \left[ \alpha_n \left( \frac{k}{\lambda_n} \right) - \alpha_n(y) \right] p_k(\lambda_n y) dy$$

$$+\int_{t}^{M}\sum_{\substack{k:|\frac{k}{\lambda_{n}}-y|>h_{n}}}\left[\alpha_{n}\left(\frac{k}{\lambda_{n}}\right)-\alpha_{n}(y)\right]p_{k}(\lambda_{n}y)dy$$

By Corollary 3.1, p. 1316, of Deheuvels and Einmahl [10],

42  
43 (3.15) 
$$\sup_{t \le y \le M} |r_{n,M,1}^A(y)| = O(h_n[-\log h_n + \log \log n])$$
44

<sup>45</sup> provided 
$$h_n \downarrow 0, \frac{nh_n}{\log n} \to \infty$$
 and  $\frac{-\log h_n}{\log \log n} \to \infty$ . Further, by (14), Inequality 1, p. 485  
<sup>46</sup> of Shorack and Wellner [18], we have

$$r_{n,M,2}^A(y) \leq \sqrt{n} \mathbb{P}[|\frac{N_n}{\lambda_n} - y| > h_n]$$

З

It follows from (3.15) and (3.16) that

$$r_{n,M}^A(t) \leq (M-t)O(h_n[-\log h_n + \log \log n])$$

$$+(M-t)\sqrt{n}\exp\left(-rac{\lambda_n h_n^2}{2M}
ight)$$
 a.s.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} {}^6 & (3.17) & \rightarrow & 0 \text{ a.s. as } n \rightarrow \infty \end{array}$$

for any suitable choice of  $h_n$ .

Next consider  $R_{n,M}^A(t)$ . We have

$$R_{n,M}^{A}(t) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \int_{M}^{\infty} [\alpha_n\left(\frac{k}{\lambda_n}\right) - \alpha_n(y)] p_k(\lambda_n y) dy$$

$$= \sqrt{n} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \int_{M}^{\infty} \left[S_n\left(\frac{k}{\lambda_n}\right) - S\left(\frac{k}{\lambda_n}\right)\right] p_k(\lambda_n y) dy$$

$$\sqrt{n}\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\int_{M}^{\infty}[S_{n}(y)-S(y)]p_{k}(\lambda_{n}y)dy.$$

Now using the fact that

$$\int_{M}^{\infty} p_k(\lambda_n y) dy = \frac{1}{\lambda_n} \sum_{r=0}^{k} p_r(\lambda_n M)$$
21
22
23
24

in the first term on the RHS of above equation, we have

$$R_{n,M}^{A}(t) = \sqrt{n} \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \left[ \sum_{i=1}^{n} W_{in} \frac{([\lambda_n Z_{i:n}] + 1 - r)}{\lambda_n} I(Z_{i:n} > \frac{r}{\lambda_n}) \right]^{26}$$

$$(([\lambda_n Z_{i:n}] + 1 - r) = r \lambda_n^{-1} I(Z_{i:n} > \frac{r}{\lambda_n}) = (1 - r) I(Z_$$

$$-E_F\left(\frac{\left([\lambda_n X]+1-r\right)}{\lambda_n}I(X>\frac{r}{\lambda_n})\right)\right]p_r(\lambda_n M)$$

$$-\sqrt{n} \left[ \sum_{i=1}^{n} W_{in}(Z_{i:n} - M) I(Z_{i:n} > M) - E_F((X - M) I(X > M)) \right]$$

$$= \sqrt{n} \sum_{\substack{r:(r/\lambda_n) \le M_1}} [\cdots] + \sqrt{n} \sum_{\substack{r:(r/\lambda_n) > M_1}} [\cdots]$$

$$-\sqrt{n}\int \phi_M^A(x)(F_n(dx) - F(dx))$$
<sup>37</sup>
<sub>38</sub>

<sup>39</sup> (3.18) = 
$$R^{A}_{n,M,1} + R^{A}_{n,M,2} + R^{A}_{n,M,3}$$
, say,

where  $t < M_1 < M < \tau_H$  are as given after (3.14). Note that the summands in  $R^A_{n,M,1}$  and  $R^A_{n,M,2}$  are of the form

$$\sqrt{n}\int\psi_{r,n}(dF_n-dF)p_r(\lambda_n M),\ r=0,1,2,\ldots$$

where

$$\psi_{r,n}(x) = \frac{([\lambda_n x] + 1 - r)}{\lambda_n} I(x > (r/\lambda_n))$$
48
49
49
50

$$= \left(x - \frac{r}{\lambda_N}\right) I\left(x > (r/\lambda_n)\right) + \frac{a_n(x)}{\lambda_n} I\left(x > (r/\lambda_n)\right),$$
<sup>50</sup>
<sub>51</sub>
<sub>51</sub>

imsart-lnms ver. 2007/09/18 file: imscoll103.tex date: October 11, 2007

where  $0 \leq a_n(x) < 1$ . Furthermore, we have  $\psi_{r,n}(x) = \phi_{n,(r/\lambda_n)}(x) + \frac{a_n(x)}{\lambda} I(x > (r/\lambda_n))$  $\leq xI(x > M_1) + (1/\lambda_n)I(x > M_1)$  for  $(r/\lambda_n) > M_1$ (3.19)< x+1 for all  $r = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ (3.20)Using the bounds in (3.19) and (3.20), and arguing as in the proof of Theorem 1.1, pp. 435-437, of Stute [19], we get by B.2 that  $\sqrt{n} \int \psi_{r,n} (dF_n - dF) = O_P(1)$  uniformly for  $0 \le r \le \lambda_n M_1$ (3.21) $\sqrt{n}\int \psi_{r,n}(dF_n-dF) = O_P(\epsilon)$  uniformly for  $r > \lambda_n M_1$ (3.22) $\sqrt{n} \int \phi_M^A (dF_n - dF) = O_P(\epsilon)$ (3.23)Thus  $R_{n,M,1}^A = \sum_{r: (r/\lambda_r) \leq M,} \sqrt{n} [\int \psi_{r,n} (dF_n - dF)] p_r(\lambda_n M)$  $= O_P(1) \operatorname{Prob} \{ \frac{N_{n,M}}{\lambda} \le M_1 \}, \text{ where } N_{n,M} \sim \operatorname{Poisson}(\lambda_n M)$  $= O_P(1) \operatorname{Prob}\left\{\frac{N_{n,M} - \lambda_n M}{\sqrt{\lambda_n M}} \le \sqrt{\lambda_n} \frac{M_1 - M}{M}\right\} \to 0 \text{ as } n \to \infty,$ (3.24)since  $M_1 - M < 0$  and  $\lambda_n \to \infty$  as  $n \to \infty$ . Also  $R_{n,M,2}^A = \sum_{r:(r/\lambda_n) > M_1} \sqrt{n} \left[ \int \psi_{r,n} (dF_n - dF) \right] p_r(\lambda_n M)$  $= O_P(\epsilon).$ (3.25)and  $R_{n,M,3}^A = O_P(\epsilon)$  by (3.23). Using (3.17), (3.18), (3.21)-(3.23), (3.24) and (3.25), Lemma 3.1 is established. Proof of Lemma 3.2.  $R_n^B(t) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sqrt{n} \left[ S_n(\frac{k}{\lambda_n}) - S_n(t) \right] p_k(\lambda_n t)$  $= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left[ \alpha_n(\frac{k}{\lambda_n}) - \alpha_n(t) \right] p_k(\lambda_n t)$  $+\sum^{\infty} \sqrt{n} \left[ S(\frac{k}{\lambda_n}) - S(t) \right] p_k(\lambda_n t),$ 

so that negligibility of  $R_n^B(t)$  follows by arguments similar to, but much easier than those in the proof of Lemma 3.1.

Y. P. Chaubey and A. Sen Now by (3.13),  $R_n(t)$  is asymptotically negligible and hence the asymptotic nor-mality of  $\sqrt{n}[\tilde{m}_n(t) - m(t)]$  is now established and this also shows that the limiting distribution is the same as that of  $\sqrt{n}[m_n(t) - m(t)]$ **Remark 3.1.** In view of the above asymptotic result we note that a similar result holds in the complete data case, where  $\delta \equiv 1, \ G(\cdot) \equiv 0, \ \Gamma_{\phi}(\cdot) \equiv 0$ , so that by (3.11) the expression for the asymptotic variance simplifies to  $\sigma^2(t)$ = var ([(X - t)I(X > t) - m(t)I(X > t)]/S(t)) $= (S^{2}(t))^{-1} [\operatorname{var}((X-t)I(X>t)) + m^{2}(t)\operatorname{var}(I(X>t))]$ -2m(t)cov((X-t)I(X > t), I(X > t))] $= (S^{2}(t))^{-1} [E((X-t)^{2}I(X>t)) - (\int_{t}^{\infty} S(y)dy)^{2} + m^{2}(t)S(t)(1-S(t))$  $-2m(t)(1-S(t))\int_{t}^{\infty}S(y)dy]$  $= (S^{2}(t))^{-1} [E((X-t)^{2}I(X>t)) - m^{2}(t)S^{2}(t) + m^{2}(t)S(t)(1-S(t)))]$  $-2m^{2}(t)S(t)(1-S(t))], \text{ since } \int_{t}^{\infty} S(y)dy = m(t)S(t),$  $= (S^{2}(t))^{-1} [E((X-t)^{2}I(X > t)) - m^{2}(t)S(t)]$  $= \operatorname{var}((X-t)|X>t)/S(t),$ which is the same as the one obtained by Yang [22]. Hence, for the complete data case we have  $\sqrt{n}(\tilde{m}_n(t) - m(t)) \to N(0, \sigma^2(t))$  as  $n \to \infty$ . This corrects the asymptotic distribution obtained in Chaubey and Sen [5]. 4. Concluding remarks We propose a smooth estimator of the mean residual life function under random censoring and investigate its asymptotic properties. To the best of our knowledge, 

the smoothing technique of Chaubey and Sen [2, 4], which is appropriate for nonnegative data, especially in terms of avoiding boundary bias. We establish strong uniform consistency and an asymptotic weak representation of our estimator as an average of iid random variables. The latter, which automatically yields asymptotic normality, is established using the results of Stute [19] and Deheuvels and Einmahl [10]. The asymptotic variance of the estimator for uncensored data (Chaubey and Sen [5]) is derived as a special case.

**Acknowledgments.** The authors would like to thank a referee and Mervyn J. Silvapulle for their comments which have improved the readability of the original manuscript.

### References

 ABDOUS, B. AND BERRED, A. (2005). Mean residual life estimation. J. Statist. Plann. Inference 132 3–19.

	[0]		
1 2	$\lfloor 2 \rfloor$	CHAUBEY, Y. P. AND SEN, P. K. (1996). On smooth estimation of survival and density functions. <i>Statistics and Decisions</i> 14 1–22.	1 2
	[9]	CHAUBEY, Y.P. AND SEN, P.K. (1997). On smooth estimation of hazard and	3
3	[ <b>0</b> ]		
4		cumulative hazard functions. In <i>Frontiers of Probability and Statistics</i> (S. P. Mulharing et al., edg.) 01, 00, Names Publishing Hauss, Nam Dalhi	4
5	[4]	Mukherjee et al., eds.) 91–99. Narosa Publishing House, New Delhi.	5
6	[4]	CHAUBEY, Y. P. AND SEN, P. K. (1998). On smooth functional estima-	6
7		tion under random censorship. In Frontiers in Reliability 4. Series on Quality,	7
8		Reliability and Engineering Statistics (A. P. Basu et al., eds.) 83–97. World	8
9	[=]	Scientific, Singapore.	9
10	[5]	CHAUBEY, Y. P. AND SEN, P. K. (1999). On smooth estimation of mean	10
11	[6]	residual life. J. Statist. Plann. Inference <b>75</b> 223–236.	11
12	[0]	CSÖRGO, M. AND ZITIKIS, R. (1996). Mean residual life process. Ann. Statist.	12
13	[=]	<b>24</b> 1717–1739.	13
14	[7]	EFRON, B. (1967). The power likelihood ratio test. Ann. Math. Statist. 38	14
15	[0]		15
16	[8]	FELLER, W. (1965). An Introduction to Probability Theory and its Applica-	16
17	[0]	tions, II. Wiley, New York.	17
18	[9]	GHORAI, J., SUSARLA, A., SUSARLA, V. AND VAN RYZIN, J. (1980). Non-	18
19		parametric estimation of mean residual life with censored data. In <i>Colloquia</i>	19
20		Mathematica Societatis Janos Bolyai <b>32</b> . Nonparametric Statistical Inference	20
21	[4 0]	(B.V. Gnedenko et al., eds.) 269–291. North-Holland, Amsterdam.	21
22	[10]	DEHEUVELS, P. AND EINMAHL, J. H. J. (2000). Functional limit laws for the	22
23		increments of Kaplan-Meier product-limit processes and applications. Ann.	23
24	[4 4 ]	<i>Probab.</i> <b>28</b> 1301–1335.	24
25	$\lfloor 11 \rfloor$	GILL, R. D. (1983). Large sample behaviour of the product-limit estimator on	25
26	[10]	the whole line. Ann. Statist. <b>19</b> 1457–1470.	26
27	[12]	HALL, W. J. AND WELLNER, J. A. (1981). Mean residual life. In <i>Statistics and</i>	27
28	[4 0]	Related Topics (M. Csörgö et al., eds.) 169–184. North-Holland, Amsterdam.	28
29	[13]	HILLE, E. (1948). Functional Analysis and Semigroups. Amer. Math. Soc. Col-	29
30	[4,4]	loq. Pub. <b>31</b> . American Mathematical Society, New York.	30
31	[14]	KIM, J. S. AND PROSCHAN, F. (1991). Piecewise exponential estimator of the	31
32	[1 ]	survivor function. <i>IEEE Trans. Reliability</i> <b>40</b> 134–139.	32
33	[15]	MIELNICZUK, J. (1986). Some aymptotic properties of kernel estimators of a	33
34	[1.0]	density function in case of censored data. Ann. Statist. 14 766–773.	34
35	[16]	PADGETT, W. J. AND MCNICHOLS, D. T. (1984). Nonparametric density	35
36		estimation from censored data. Comm. Statist. Theory Methods A13 1581–	36
37	[1 =]		37
38	[17]	RUIZ, J. M. AND GUILLAMÒN, A. (1996). Nonparametric recursive estimator	38
39		of mean residual life and vitality parameter under mixing dependent condi-	39
40	[10]	tions. Comm. Statist. Theory Methods 4 1999–2011.	40
41	[18]	SHORACK, G. R. AND WELLNER, J. A. (1986). Empirical Processes with	41
42	[10]	Applications to Statistics. Wiley, New York.	42
43	[19]	STUTE, W. (1995). The central limit theorem under random censorship. Ann.	43
44	[20]	Statist. 23 422–439.	44
45	[20]	STUTE, W. AND WANG, J. L. (1993). The strong law under random censor-	45
46	[04]	ship. Ann. Statist. <b>21</b> 1591–1607.	46
47	[21]	YANG, G. L. (1977). Life expectancy under random censorship. <i>Stochastic</i>	47
48	[00]	Process. Appl. 6 33–39.	48
49	[22]	YANG, G. L. (1978). Estimation of a biometric function. Ann. Statist. 6 1–116.	49
50			50
51			51