Issues with Bayes Factors

- Note: When an improper prior is used for θ , the Bayes Factor is not well-defined.
- Note $B(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{\text{Posterior odds for } M_1}{\text{Prior odds for } M_1}$, and the "prior odds" is meaningless for an improper prior.
- Gill's Sec. 7.3.2 suggests several methods (Local Bayes factors, Intrinsic Bayes Factors, Partial Bayes Factors, Fractional Bayes Factors), none of them ideal, to define types of Bayes Factors with improper priors.
- ▶ One criticism of Bayes Factors is the (implicit) assumption that one of the competing models $(M_1 \text{ or } M_2)$ is correct.
- ▶ Another criticism is that the Bayes Factor depends heavily on the choice of prior.

The Bayesian Information Criterion

- ► The Bayesian Information Criterion (BIC) can be used (as a substitute for the Bayes factor) to compare two (or more) models.
- ► Conveniently, the *BIC* does **not** require specifying priors.
- **For parameters** θ and data \mathbf{x} :

$$BIC = -2 \ln L(\hat{\theta}|\mathbf{x}) + p \ln(n)$$

where p is the number of free parameters in the model, and $L(\hat{\theta}|\mathbf{x})$ is the **maximized likelihood**, given observed data \mathbf{x} .

- ► Good models have relatively small *BIC* values:
 - ▶ A small value of $-2 \ln L(\hat{\theta}|\mathbf{x})$ indicates good fit to the data;
 - ▶ a small value of the "overfitting penalty" term $p \ln(n)$ indicates a simple, parsimonious model.

The Bayesian Information Criterion

▶ To compare two models M_1 and M_2 , we could calculate

$$S = -\frac{1}{2} [BIC_{M_1} - BIC_{M_2}]$$

= $\ln L(\hat{\theta}_1 | \mathbf{x}) - \ln L(\hat{\theta}_2 | \mathbf{x}) - \frac{1}{2} (p_1 - p_2) \ln(n)$

- ▶ A small value of S would favor M_2 here and a large S would favor M_1 .
- ▶ As $n \to \infty$,

$$\frac{S-\ln(B(\mathbf{x}))}{\ln(B(\mathbf{x}))}\to 0$$

and for large n,

$$BIC_{M_1} - BIC_{M_2} = -2S \approx -2\ln(B(\mathbf{x})).$$

The Bayesian Information Criterion

- ▶ Note that differences in *BIC*'s can be used to compare several nonnested models.
- ▶ They should be trusted as a substitute for Bayes Factors only when (1) no reliable prior information is available and (2) when the sample size is **quite large**.
- ► See R examples: (1) Calcium data example and (2) Regression example on Oxygen Uptake data set.