

STAT 521 HW 5 Example Solutions

1

Let $T_1 =$ time until first kidney comes

$T_2 =$ time between first and second kidney arrivals

$W_A =$ A's survival time without kidney

$W_B =$ B's survival time without kidney

$T_1 \sim \text{expon}(\lambda)$, $T_2 \sim \text{expon}(\lambda)$, $W_A \sim \text{expon}(\mu_A)$, $W_B \sim \text{expon}(\mu_B)$
and all these are independent.

$$(a) P[A \text{ obtains kidney}] = P[T_1 < W_A] = \boxed{\frac{\lambda}{\lambda + \mu_A}}$$

$$(b) P[B \text{ obtains kidney}]$$

$$= P["B \text{ survives until A gone"} \text{ AND } "B \text{ survives until next kidney arrives"}]$$

(where "A gone" means "A dies or gets a kidney, whichever comes first")

$$= P[B \text{ survives until A gone}] \cdot$$

$$P[B \text{ survives until next kidney} \mid B \text{ survives until A gone}]$$

$$= P[\min\{W_A, T_1\} < W_B] P[T_i < W_B]$$

$$= \left(\frac{\lambda + \mu_A}{\lambda + \mu_A + \mu_B} \right) \left(\frac{\lambda}{\lambda + \mu_B} \right) \quad \uparrow \text{whichever } i=1,2 \text{ is appropriate once A gone}$$

since $\min\{W_A, T_1\} \sim \text{expon}(\lambda + \mu_A)$

(c) $P[A \text{ and } B \text{ die before first kidney}]$

$$\bullet = P[A \text{ dies}] P[B \text{ dies} | A \text{ dies}]$$

$$= P[T_1 > W_A] P[T_1 > W_B]$$

$$= \left(\frac{\mu_A}{\lambda + \mu_A} \right) \left(\frac{\mu_B}{\lambda + \mu_B} \right)$$

(d) $P[A \text{ and } B \text{ both get kidneys}]$

$$= P[A \text{ and } B \text{ survive until first kidney arrives}] \cdot$$

$$P[B \text{ survives until second kidney} | A \text{ and } B \text{ survive until first kidney}]$$

$$= P[A \text{ survive until first kidney}] P[B \text{ survive until first kidney}]$$

$$\bullet \cdot P[B \text{ survive until second kidney} | A \text{ and } B \text{ survive until first}]$$

(by independence of W_A and W_B)

$$= P[T_1 < W_A] P[T_1 < W_B] P[T_2 < W_B]$$

$$= \left(\frac{\lambda}{\lambda + \mu_A} \right) \left(\frac{\lambda}{\lambda + \mu_B} \right) \left(\frac{\lambda}{\lambda + \mu_B} \right) = \boxed{\frac{\lambda^3}{(\lambda + \mu_A)(\lambda + \mu_B)^2}}$$

$$\textcircled{\# 2} \text{ a) } E[S_4] = \frac{4}{\lambda} \text{ since } S_4 \sim \text{gamma}(4, \lambda)$$

$$\text{b) } E[S_4 | N(1) = 2] = E[S_2] + 1 = \left(\frac{2}{\lambda} + 1 \right)$$

$$\text{c) } E[N(4) - N(2) | N(1) = 3] = E[N(4) - N(2)] \text{ (by indep. increments)}$$

$$\bullet = 2\lambda, \text{ since } N(4) - N(2) \sim \text{Pois}(2\lambda).$$

#3 a) $P[\text{win}] = P[N(T) - N(s) = 1]$

$= P[N(T-s) = 1]$ (by stationary increments)

$= \frac{e^{-\lambda(T-s)} [\lambda(T-s)]^1}{1!} = e^{-\lambda T} e^{\lambda s} [\lambda(T-s)]$

b) Note $P[\text{win}]$ is greatest when $e^{\lambda s} (T-s)$ is maximized $\Leftrightarrow \lambda s + \ln(T-s)$ is maximized

$\Leftrightarrow \lambda + \frac{-1}{T-s} = 0 \Leftrightarrow \lambda + \frac{1}{s-T} = 0$

$\Leftrightarrow \lambda(s-T) + 1 = 0 \Leftrightarrow \lambda s = \lambda T - 1 \Leftrightarrow s = \frac{\lambda T - 1}{\lambda}$

or $s = T - \frac{1}{\lambda}$

c) Plug in s from part (b) into $P[\text{win}]$:

$e^{-\lambda T} e^{\lambda T - 1} [\lambda(T - T + \frac{1}{\lambda})] = e^{-1} [\lambda(\frac{1}{\lambda})] = \frac{1}{e}$

#4 a) $E(X) = \int_0^1 E(X|Y=y) f_Y(y) dy$ where $Y \sim \text{Unif}(0,1)$

$= \int_0^1 7y [1] dy = \left[\frac{7y^2}{2} \right]_0^1 = \frac{7}{2} = 3.5$

b) $E(X^2) = \int_0^1 E(X^2|Y=y) f_Y(y) dy = \int_0^1 [(7y)^2 + 7y] dy$

$= \left[\frac{49y^3}{3} + \frac{7y^2}{2} \right]_0^1 = \frac{49}{3} + \frac{7}{2} = 19.83$

$\Rightarrow \text{var}(X) = 19.83 - (3.5)^2 = 7.58$

NOTE: For parts (a) and (b), you can also directly use the formulas $E(X) = E[E(X|Y)]$ and $\text{var}(X) = E[\text{var}(X|Y)] + \text{var}[E(X|Y)]$.

(5) $\{N_1(t)\}$ and $\{N_2(t)\}$ are Poisson processes with rates $\lambda p = 10$ and $\lambda(1-p) = 1$. So

$$\lambda = \frac{10}{p} \Rightarrow \frac{10}{p}(1-p) = 1 \Rightarrow \frac{10}{p} - 10 = 1 \Rightarrow \frac{10}{p} = 11$$

$$\Rightarrow p = \frac{10}{11} \text{ so } P[\text{Type I}] = \frac{10}{11}, P[\text{Type II}] = \frac{1}{11}.$$

Assuming only whole-dollar claims are possible, the probability that the claim is \$4000 is approximately equal to the exponential pdf evaluated at 4000. So:

$$P[\text{Type I} | C=4000] = \frac{P[C=4000 | \text{Type I}] P[\text{Type I}]}{P[C=4000 | \text{Type I}] P[\text{Type I}] + P[C=4000 | \text{Type II}] P[\text{Type II}]}$$

$$= \frac{\frac{1}{1000} e^{-\frac{4000}{1000}} \left(\frac{10}{11}\right)}{\frac{1}{1000} e^{-\frac{4000}{1000}} \left(\frac{10}{11}\right) + \frac{1}{5000} e^{-\frac{4000}{5000}} \left(\frac{1}{11}\right)}$$

$$= \frac{e^{-4} \left(\frac{10}{11}\right)}{e^{-4} \left(\frac{10}{11}\right) + \frac{1}{5} e^{-4/5} \left(\frac{1}{11}\right)} = \boxed{0.671}$$