

Statistics 506
Test 2

1. A 3-factor design was replicated twice. Results appear in the table below.

A	B	C	Rep 1	Rep 2
-1	-1	-1	29.01	28.58
1	-1	-1	33.93	34.82
-1	1	-1	41.60	34.24
1	1	-1	22.74	29.06
-1	-1	1	35.42	33.20
1	-1	1	28.98	31.62
-1	1	1	26.03	32.06
1	1	1	38.60	38.22

- (a) Use Minitab to analyze the replicated design and construct T statistics. Which effects are significant at the .05 level? Using the significant effects, what would be the EMR if we wanted to maximize the response?
- (b) Consider the first and second replicates as separate experiments. Construct effects plots; which effects seem significant?
- (c) Compare results from the analyses. Are they roughly consistent?
2. An experimenter studied 6 factors in 16 runs by assigning E to -ACD and F to ABD. The data for the experiment appears in the table below

A	B	C	D	E	F	Response
-1	-1	-1	-1	1	-1	12.4
1	-1	-1	-1	-1	1	0.7
-1	1	-1	-1	1	1	19.2
1	1	-1	-1	-1	-1	10.2
-1	-1	1	-1	-1	-1	1.4
1	-1	1	-1	1	1	9.5
-1	1	1	-1	-1	1	13.5
1	1	1	-1	1	-1	17.9
-1	-1	-1	1	-1	1	9.8
1	-1	-1	1	1	-1	17.0
-1	1	-1	1	-1	-1	2.4
1	1	-1	1	1	1	10.4
-1	-1	1	1	1	1	15.9
1	-1	1	1	-1	-1	9.9
-1	1	1	1	1	-1	11.2
1	1	1	1	-1	1	4.2

- (a) What terms are aliased with I? What is the resolution of this design? Explain.
- (b) Analyze the data. Which effects are important?
- (c) What are the 8 runs for which I=AEF? *Show your work for this next question.* What is the full design generator for the 8 foldover runs? Analyze these 8 runs. Are your results similar to what you obtained for the 16-run design?
- (d) Fold over the 8-run design and re-analyze the data. Do you recover the results from the original 16-run design?