

STAT 713 sp 2022 Final Exam

1. Let $Y_1, \dots, Y_n \stackrel{\text{ind}}{\sim} f_Y(y; \theta) = \theta e^{-y\theta} e^\theta \mathbf{1}(y > 1)$ and consider testing $H_0: \theta = \theta_0$ vs $H_1: \theta \neq \theta_0$.

(a) Find the MLE $\hat{\theta}_n$ for θ .

The likelihood and log-likelihood functions are given by

$$\mathcal{L}(\theta; \mathbf{X}) = \theta^n e^{-n\bar{Y}_n\theta} e^{n\theta} \quad \text{and} \quad \ell(\theta; \mathbf{X}) = n \log \theta - n\theta(\bar{Y}_n - 1).$$

The score function is given by

$$S(\theta; \mathbf{X}) = \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \ell(\theta; \mathbf{X}) = n \left[\frac{1}{\theta} - (\bar{Y}_n - 1) \right]$$

Setting this equal to zero and solving for θ gives the MLE

$$\hat{\theta}_n = \frac{1}{\bar{Y}_n - 1}.$$

- (b) Show that the likelihood ratio test rejects H_0 when $\theta_0/\hat{\theta}_n < c_1$ or $\theta_0/\hat{\theta}_n > c_2$ for some $c_1 < c_2$.

The likelihood ratio is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \text{LR}(\mathbf{Y}) &= \frac{\mathcal{L}(\theta_0; \mathbf{X})}{\mathcal{L}(\hat{\theta}_n; \mathbf{X})} \\ &= \frac{\theta_0^n e^{-n\bar{Y}_n\theta_0} e^{n\theta_0}}{\hat{\theta}_n^n e^{-n\bar{Y}_n\hat{\theta}_n} e^{n\hat{\theta}_n}} \\ &= \left(\frac{\theta_0}{\hat{\theta}_n} \right)^n \exp \left[-n\theta_0(\bar{Y}_n - 1) + n\hat{\theta}_n(\bar{Y}_n - 1) \right] \\ &= \left[\left(\frac{\theta_0}{\hat{\theta}_n} \right) \exp \left[- \left(\frac{\theta_0}{\hat{\theta}_n} \right) \right] e^1 \right]^n. \end{aligned}$$

So the likelihood ratio test rejects $H_0: \theta = \theta_0$ if

$$\left[\left(\frac{\theta_0}{\hat{\theta}_n} \right) \exp \left[- \left(\frac{\theta_0}{\hat{\theta}_n} \right) \right] e^1 \right]^n < k$$

for some $k > 0$. Since the function ze^{-z} is strictly increasing for $z < 1$ and strictly decreasing for $z > 1$, the rejection rule of the likelihood ratio test is equivalent to

$$\frac{\theta_0}{\hat{\theta}_n} < c_1 \quad \text{or} \quad \frac{\theta_0}{\hat{\theta}_n} > c_2$$

for some $c_1 < c_2$.

(c) Give the rejection rule for the size- α asymptotic likelihood ratio test.

The size- α asymptotic likelihood ratio test rejects $H_0: \theta = \theta_0$ if

$$-2n \left[\log \left(\frac{\theta_0}{\hat{\theta}_n} \right) + \left(1 - \frac{\theta_0}{\hat{\theta}_n} \right) \right] > \chi_{1,\alpha}^2.$$

(d) Give the Fisher information.

The Fisher information is

$$I_n(\theta) = -\mathbb{E} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \theta^2} \ell(\theta; \mathbf{X}) = \frac{n}{\theta^2}.$$

(e) Give the rejection rule for the size- α score test.

The score test statistic is given by

$$\frac{[S(\theta_0; \mathbf{X})]^2}{I_n(\theta_0)} = \frac{n^2[\theta_0^{-1} - (\bar{Y}_n - 1)]^2}{n\theta_0^{-2}} = n[1 - \theta_0(\bar{Y}_n - 1)]^2 = n[1 - \theta_0/\hat{\theta}_n]^2$$

The size- α score test rejects $H_0: \theta = \theta_0$ when

$$n[1 - \theta_0/\hat{\theta}_n]^2 > \chi_{1,\alpha}^2.$$

2. Let X_1, \dots, X_n be iid rvs with the same distribution as X , of which the distribution is described by

$$\begin{aligned} X|\lambda &\sim \text{Poisson}(\lambda) \\ \lambda &\sim \text{Exponential}(\beta). \end{aligned}$$

for some $\beta > 0$.

(a) Show that the marginal pmf of X is $p_X(x; \beta) = \frac{1}{\beta+1} \left(\frac{\beta}{\beta+1} \right)^x \mathbf{1}(x \in \{0, 1, 2, \dots\})$.

For $x = 0, 1, 2, \dots$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} p_X(x; \beta) &= \int_0^\infty \frac{e^{-\lambda} \lambda^x}{x!} \frac{1}{\beta} e^{-\lambda/\beta} d\lambda \\ &= \frac{\Gamma(x+1)}{x! \beta (1 + 1/\beta)^{x+1}} \int_0^\infty \frac{1}{(1 + 1/\beta)^{-(x+1)} \Gamma(x+1)} e^{-\lambda/(1+1/\beta)^{-1}} d\lambda \\ &= \frac{1}{\beta+1} \left(\frac{\beta}{\beta+1} \right)^x. \end{aligned}$$

(b) Find the MLE $\hat{\beta}_n$ for β based on X_1, \dots, X_n .

The likelihood function is

$$\mathcal{L}(\beta; \mathbf{X}) = \left(\frac{1}{\beta+1} \right)^n \left(\frac{\beta}{\beta+1} \right)^{n\bar{X}_n}$$

and the log-likelihood is

$$\ell(\beta; \mathbf{X}) = -n \log(\beta+1) + n\bar{X}_n [\log(\beta) - \log(\beta+1)].$$

Now we have

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \beta} \ell(\beta; \mathbf{X}) = -\frac{n}{\beta+1} + n\bar{X}_n \left[\frac{1}{\beta} - \frac{1}{\beta+1} \right] = n \frac{\bar{X}_n - \beta}{\beta(\beta+1)}.$$

Setting the above equal to 0 and solving for β gives

$$\hat{\beta}_n = \bar{X}_n.$$

(c) Find the asymptotic variance ϑ such that $\sqrt{n}(\hat{\beta}_n - \beta) \xrightarrow{D} \text{Normal}(0, \vartheta)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

We first need to find the variance of X , which is mostly easily found as

$$\text{Var } X = \text{Var}(\mathbb{E}[X|\lambda]) + \mathbb{E}(\text{Var}[X|\lambda]) = \text{Var } \lambda + \mathbb{E} \lambda = \beta^2 + \beta = \beta(\beta+1).$$

The Score function is

$$S(\beta; \mathbf{X}) = n \frac{\bar{X}_n - \beta}{\beta(\beta+1)}$$

and Fisher information is

$$I_n(\beta) = \text{Var } S(\beta; \mathbf{X}) = \frac{n^2}{\beta^2(\beta+1)^2} \frac{1}{n} \text{Var } X = \frac{n}{\beta(\beta+1)}.$$

The asymptotic variance ϑ is equal to the inverse of the Fisher information based on a sample of size 1, so that

$$\vartheta = \beta(\beta+1).$$

- (d) Give a Wald-type test of $H_0: \beta \leq \beta_0$ versus $H_1: \beta > \beta_0$.

Wald type tests could be defined with rejection rule $Z > z_\alpha$, where

$$Z = \sqrt{n}(\hat{\beta} - \beta_0) / \sqrt{\hat{\beta}_n(\hat{\beta}_n + 1)} \quad \text{or} \quad Z = \sqrt{n}(\hat{\beta} - \beta_0) / \sqrt{\beta_0(\beta_0 + 1)}.$$

- (e) Give an asymptotic $(1 - \alpha) \times 100\%$ confidence interval for β .

The Wald-type $(1 - \alpha) \times 100\%$ confidence interval is given by

$$\hat{\beta}_n \pm z_{\alpha/2} \sqrt{\hat{\beta}_n(\hat{\beta}_n + 1)/n}.$$

3. Let X_1, \dots, X_n be independent rvs with cdf given by

$$F_X(x; \mu) = \begin{cases} 0, & x < \mu \\ 1 - e^{-(x-\mu)^2}, & x \geq \mu, \end{cases}$$

for some $\mu \in \mathbb{R}$.

(a) Find a sufficient statistic for μ .

The pdf corresponding to the cdf F_X is given by

$$f_X(x; \mu) = 2(x - \mu)e^{-(x-\mu)^2} \mathbf{1}(x \geq \mu).$$

The joint density of X_1, \dots, X_n is given by

$$f_{\mathbf{X}}(\mathbf{x}; \mu) = 2^n \cdot \prod_{i=1}^n (X_i - \mu) \cdot e^{-\sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \mu)^2} \cdot \mathbf{1}(X_{(1)} > \mu).$$

The greatest reduction of the data we can achieve without losing information about μ is to keep the order statistics $T = (X_{(1)}, \dots, X_{(n)})$.

(b) Find a pivotal quantity for μ .

We find that the first order statistic $X_{(1)}$ has density given by

$$f_{X_{(1)}}(x; \mu) = 2n(x - \mu)e^{-n(x-\mu)^2} \mathbf{1}(x > \mu),$$

in which μ is a location parameter. This suggests as a pivotal quantity

$$Q(\mathbf{X}; \mu) = X_{(1)} - \mu,$$

which has density given by

$$f_Q(y; \mu) = 2nye^{-ny^2} \mathbf{1}(y > 0).$$

Note that this is free of the parameter μ , so it is indeed a pivotal quantity. It is possible to define other pivotal quantities.

(c) Give the cdf of your pivotal quantity.

The cdf of the pivotal quantity $Q(\mathbf{X}; \mu) = X_{(1)} - \mu$ is given by

$$F_Q(y) = \begin{cases} 0, & y < 0 \\ 1 - e^{-ny^2}, & y \geq 0, \end{cases}$$

(d) Use the pivot quantity to construct a $(1 - \alpha) \times 100\%$ confidence interval for μ .

The u quantile q_u of the pivot quantity $Q(\mathbf{X}; \mu) = X_{(1)} - \mu$ is given by

$$q_u = \sqrt{\frac{1}{n} \log \left(\frac{1}{1-u} \right)},$$

which we obtain by solving $F_Q(q_u) = u$ for q_u . So we may write

$$P \left(\sqrt{\frac{1}{n} \log \left(\frac{1}{1-\alpha/2} \right)} \leq X_{(1)} - \mu \leq \sqrt{\frac{1}{n} \log \left(\frac{1}{1-(1-\alpha/2)} \right)} \right) = 1 - \alpha.$$

This is equivalent to

$$P \left(X_{(1)} - \sqrt{\frac{1}{n} \log \left(\frac{1}{\alpha/2} \right)} \leq \mu \leq \sqrt{\frac{1}{n} \log \left(\frac{1}{1-\alpha/2} \right)} \right) = 1 - \alpha,$$

so that

$$\left(X_{(1)} - \sqrt{\frac{1}{n} \log \left(\frac{1}{\alpha/2} \right)}, X_{(1)} - \sqrt{\frac{1}{n} \log \left(\frac{1}{1-\alpha/2} \right)} \right)$$

is a $(1 - \alpha) \times 100\%$ confidence interval for μ .