

# STAT 713 sp 2023 Exam 1

1. Let  $X_1, \dots, X_n \stackrel{\text{ind}}{\sim} f(x; \beta) = \beta^2 x e^{-\beta x} \mathbf{1}(x > 0)$  for some  $\beta > 0$ .

(a) Find the maximum likelihood estimator for  $\beta$ .

The likelihood function is given by

$$\mathcal{L}(\beta; \mathbf{X}) = \beta^{2n} (\prod_{i=1}^n X_i) e^{-\beta n \bar{X}_n}$$

and the log-likelihood is given by

$$\ell(\beta; \mathbf{X}) = 2n \log \beta + \sum_{i=1}^n \log X_i - \beta n \bar{X}_n.$$

Now we have

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \beta} \ell(\beta; \mathbf{X}) = \frac{2n}{\beta} - n \bar{X}_n = 0 \iff \beta = \frac{2}{\bar{X}_n},$$

so the MLE for  $\beta$  is  $\hat{\beta}_n = 2/\bar{X}_n$ .

(b) Find the maximum likelihood estimator for  $\tau = \tau(\beta) = 1/\beta$ .

The MLE for  $\tau$  is  $\tau(\hat{\beta}) = 1/\hat{\beta}_n = \bar{X}_n/2$ .

(c) Check whether  $T(X_1, \dots, X_n) = (\prod_{i=1}^n X_i, \sum_{i=1}^n X_i)$  is a minimal sufficient statistic.

This is a sufficient, but not a minimal sufficient statistic. We can see this by writing, for two samples  $\mathbf{x}$  and  $\mathbf{y}$  the ratio of joint densities

$$\frac{\beta^{2n} (\prod_{i=1}^n x_i) e^{-\beta \sum_{i=1}^n x_i}}{\beta^{2n} (\prod_{i=1}^n y_i) e^{-\beta \sum_{i=1}^n y_i}} = \frac{(\prod_{i=1}^n x_i) e^{-\beta \sum_{i=1}^n x_i}}{(\prod_{i=1}^n y_i) e^{-\beta \sum_{i=1}^n y_i}}.$$

we see that this is constant in  $\beta$  if and only if  $\sum_{i=1}^n x_i = \sum_{i=1}^n y_i$ . The values  $\prod_{i=1}^n x_i$  and  $\prod_{i=1}^n y_i$  may be different and yet the ratio of densities still be constant, so the statistic  $(\prod_{i=1}^n X_i, \sum_{i=1}^n X_i)$  is *not* a minimal sufficient statistic.

(d) Find the value of  $\text{Cov}(\hat{\beta}_n, S_n \hat{\beta}_n)$ , where  $\hat{\beta}_n$  is the MLE for  $\beta$  and  $S_n^2$  is the sample variance.

The quantity  $S_n \hat{\beta}_n$  is an ancillary statistic, while  $\hat{\beta}_n$  is a complete sufficient statistic; therefore  $S_n \hat{\beta}_n$  and  $\hat{\beta}_n$  are independent and  $\text{Cov}(\hat{\beta}_n, S_n \hat{\beta}_n) = 0$ .

The ancillarity of  $S_n\hat{\beta}_n$  comes from the fact that the function

$$S_n\hat{\beta}_n = \frac{2\sqrt{(n-1)^{-1}\sum_{i=1}^n(X_i - \bar{X}_n)^2}}{\bar{X}_n}$$

is scale-invariant (returns the same value for  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  as for  $cX_1, \dots, cX_n$  for any  $c > 0$ ) and that we can write  $f(x; \beta) = 1/\beta^{-1}f_X(x/\beta^{-1})$  for any  $\beta > 0$  and  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ , where  $f_Z(z) = ze^{-z}\mathbf{1}(z > 0)$ . That is,  $f(x; \beta)$  belongs to a scale family.

The completeness of  $\hat{\beta}_n$  comes from the fact that  $f(x; \beta)$  belongs to a full exponential family with  $w_1(\beta) = -\beta$  and  $t_1(x) = x$ , giving that  $\sum_{i=1}^n X_i$  is a complete minimal sufficient statistic. Since  $\hat{\beta}_n$  is a one-to-one function of  $\sum_{i=1}^n X_i$ ,  $\hat{\beta}_n$  is also a complete sufficient statistic.

2. A randomly selected spectator of a USC basketball game will shoot free throws until making two baskets. Suppose the ability  $\theta \in (0, 1)$  of a randomly selected spectator has the pdf  $\pi(\theta) = 6\theta(1 - \theta)\mathbf{1}(0 < \theta < 1)$  and, given the spectator's ability  $\theta$ , the number of shots  $Y$  required by the spectator to make two baskets has pmf  $p(y|\theta) = (y - 1)\theta^2(1 - \theta)^{y-2}\mathbf{1}(y \in \{2, 3, \dots\})$ .

- (a) Give the posterior distribution of  $\theta$  given  $Y$ .

We have

$$\pi(\theta|Y) \propto (y - 1)\theta^2(1 - \theta)^{y-2}6\theta(1 - \theta) \propto \theta^3(1 - \theta)^{y-1},$$

so  $p|Y \sim \text{Beta}(4, y)$ .

- (b) Give a Bayesian estimate of the ability  $\theta$  of a spectator who makes the 2nd basket on the 5th shot.

The posterior mean is  $\mathbb{E}[\theta|Y] = 4/(Y + 4)$ . If the spectator makes the 2nd basket on the 5th shot, we would estimate his or her ability with  $\hat{\theta}_{\text{Bayes}} = 4/9$ .

- (c) Give the value of the maximum likelihood estimator of  $\theta$  for the same spectator (treat  $\theta$  as fixed).

Using on the distribution of  $Y|\theta$  and considering  $\theta$  as fixed, the likelihood function for  $\theta$  is given by

$$\mathcal{L}(\theta; Y) = (Y - 1)\theta^2(1 - \theta)^{Y-2}$$

and the log-likelihood by

$$\ell(\theta; Y) = \log(Y - 1) + 2 \log \theta + (Y - 2) \log(1 - \theta).$$

Setting

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \ell(\theta; Y) = \frac{2}{\theta} - \frac{Y - 2}{1 - \theta} = 0$$

and solving for  $\theta$  gives

$$\hat{\theta} = \frac{2}{Y}.$$

For a spectator who makes the 2nd basket on the 5th shot, we would estimate his or her ability as  $\hat{\theta} = 2/5$ .

3. Let  $X_1, \dots, X_n \stackrel{\text{ind}}{\sim} f(x; \rho) = (1 - \sqrt{\rho})^{-1} \mathbf{1}(\sqrt{\rho} \leq x \leq 1)$ .

(a) Find the method of moments estimator of  $\rho$ .

We have  $m_1 = (1 + \sqrt{\rho})/2$ , giving  $\rho = (2m_1 - 1)^2$ . So the method of moments estimator for  $\rho$  is  $\bar{\rho} = (2\hat{m}_1 - 1)^2$ , where  $\hat{m}_1 = \bar{X}_n$ .

(b) Find the bias of the method of moments estimator.

We have

$$\text{Bias } \bar{\rho} = \mathbb{E}(2\hat{m}_1 - 1)^2 - \rho = 4\mathbb{E}\hat{m}_1^2 - 4\mathbb{E}\hat{m}_1 + 1 - \rho = 4[\text{Var } \hat{m}_1 + (\mathbb{E}\hat{m}_1)^2] - 4\mathbb{E}\hat{m}_1 + 1 - \rho.$$

Substituting  $\mathbb{E}\hat{m}_1 = (1 + \sqrt{\rho})/2$  and  $\text{Var } \hat{m}_1 = (1 - \sqrt{\rho})^2/(12n)$  gives

$$\text{Bias } \bar{\rho} = \frac{(1 - \sqrt{\rho})^2}{3n}.$$

(c) Find the maximum likelihood estimator of  $\rho$ .

The likelihood function for  $\rho$  is given by

$$\mathcal{L}(\rho; \mathbf{X}) = (1 - \sqrt{\rho})^{-n} \mathbf{1}(X_{(1)} \geq \sqrt{\rho}).$$

We see that this is maximized at  $\rho = X_{(1)}^2$ , so the MLE for  $\rho$  is  $\hat{\rho} = X_{(1)}^2$ .

(d) Which estimator uses all the information in the sample about the parameter? Justify your answer.

The MLE is a function of the sufficient statistic  $X_{(1)}$ , so it uses all the information in the sample about the parameter  $\rho$ . The sufficiency of  $X_{(1)}$  comes from the factorization theorem. The method of moments estimator, which is a function of  $\bar{X}_n$ , is not based on a sufficient statistic. If we keep the value of  $\bar{X}_n$  and erase the values of  $X_1, \dots, X_n$ , we will lose information about  $\rho$ ; not so with  $X_{(1)}$ . If we keep the value of  $X_{(1)}$  and throw away the values  $X_1, \dots, X_n$ , we will not lose any information about  $\rho$ .