This give

for all m, y, which implies P=PT.

(b) We have

$$||_{y}||^{2} = ||_{P_{y}} + (I - P)_{y}||^{2} = ||P_{y}||^{2} + ||(I - P)_{y}||^{2},$$

Since $P_{y} \cdot (I - P)_{y} = 0.$
Since $||(I - P)_{y}|| = 0,$ we have the result.

2 let A be man with relater and reduced SVD A=UrDVrT. Then

$$A \quad V_r \vec{D} \cup_r^T A = \bigcup_r D \quad V_r^T \quad V_r \vec{D} \cup_r^T \cup_r D \quad V_r^T$$
$$= \bigcup_r D \quad V_r^T$$
$$= A,$$

where we know used the fact that $U_r^T U_r = I_r$ and $V_r^T V_r = I_r$.

[3] Chim: bot P be a symmetric projection matrix. The rank P = tr P. Proof: Sime P is a projection matrix, it is idemposed. Idemposed matrix has accounted equal to O or 1 (abound an earlier how). Sime P is symmetric, the multiplicity of O as a soldien to the chorecteristic equation gives the dimension of Nul P. This is given by the Spectral Decomposition Theorem. The Rank Theorem gives yeak P + dim Nul P = in, where is its the number of columns of P as well as the number of experience, counting, multiplicities. Therefore, reach P is equal to the number of nonzero eigenvalues of P. Since all the number of the significant of P are equal to 1, the rank of P is equal to the sum of the eigenvalues. Since the trace of a matrix is equal to the sum of its eigenvalues, we have reach P = tr P.

$$\begin{array}{c} \hline 14 \\ \hline 16 \\ \hline$$

We see that
$$C \mid W \neq C \mid X :$$

We cannot for example, construct the column $\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$ of X as a line
combination of the columns of W.
We see this by finding that there is no solution to $X_{\overline{X}} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} :$
 $\begin{bmatrix} 2Y_{3} - Y_{3} & [1] \\ -Y_{3} & Y_{3} & [0] \\ -Y_{3} & -Y_{3} & [0] \end{bmatrix} \sim \begin{bmatrix} 2 - 1 & [3] \\ -1 & 2 & [0] \\ -1 & 2 & [0] \end{bmatrix} \sim \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 3 & [3] \\ -1 & 2 & [0] \\ -1 & 2 & [0] \end{bmatrix} \sim \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 2 & [0] \\ -1 & 2 & [0] \\ 0 & 1 & [0] \end{bmatrix} \sim \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 2 & [0] \\ -1 & 2 & [0] \\ 0 & 1 & [0] \end{bmatrix} \sim \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 2 & [0] \\ -1 & 2 & [0] \\ 0 & 1 & [0] \end{bmatrix} \sim \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 2 & [0] \\ 0 & 1 & [0] \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 2 & [0] \\ 0 & 1 & [0] \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 2 & [0] \\ 0 & 1 & [0] \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 2 & [0] \\ 0 & 1 & [0] \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 2 & [0] \\ 0 & 1 & [0] \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 2 & [0] \\ 0 & 1 & [0] \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 2 & [0] \\ 0 & 1 & [0] \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 2 & [0] \\ 0 & 1 & [0] \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 2 & [0] \\ 0 & 1 & [0] \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 2 & [0] \\ 0 & 1 & [0] \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 2 & [0] \\ 0 & 1 & [0] \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 2 & [0] \\ 0 & 1 & [0] \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 2 & [0] \\ 0 & 1 & [0] \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 2 & [0] \\ 0 & 1 & [0] \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 2 & [0] \\ 0 & 1 & [0] \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 2 & [0] \\ 0 & 1 & [0] \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 2 & [0] \\ 0 & 1$

Then we may write

when χ_{j}^{c} is column j of X contered so that the column has meen 0. Now we calk whether

$$\mathsf{G} \left[\begin{array}{c} 1\\ 1\\ n \end{array} \times_{1} \cdots \times_{p} \right] = \mathsf{G} \left[\begin{array}{c} 1\\ 1\\ n \end{array} \times_{1}^{c} \cdots \times_{p}^{c} \right] .$$

It will be convenient to define $\overline{\mathcal{D}}_j = \frac{1}{n} \frac{1}{2n} \frac{1}{n} \frac{1}{2n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{n} \frac{1}{2n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \frac{1}{2n} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \frac{1}{2n} \frac{1}{2n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \frac{1}{2n} \sum_{j=1}$

Then $\exists q \in \mathbb{R}^{H_1}$ such that $y = 4 n q_0 + \prod_{j=1}^{P} x_j q_j$. $= 4 n q_0 + \prod_{j=1}^{P} (x_j - 4 n \overline{x}_j) q_j + \prod_{j=1}^{P} 4 n \overline{x}_j q_j$ $= 4 n (q_0 + \prod_{j=1}^{P} \overline{x}_j q_j) + \prod_{j=1}^{P} x_j q_j$ $\in C_0 | \widetilde{W}$. & LIXCLIW.

Now let y & Col W. Then I g & R such that

$$\begin{array}{rcl} y_{i} &=& \displaystyle \frac{1}{Nn} \, \mathbf{a}_{0} & + & \displaystyle \sum_{j \neq i} \, \mathbf{x}_{j}^{\mathbf{c}} \, \mathbf{a}_{j} \\ &=& \displaystyle \frac{1}{Nn} \, \mathbf{a}_{0} & + & \displaystyle \sum_{j \neq i} \, \left(\mathbf{x}_{i} \, - \, \frac{1}{Nn} \, \overline{\mathbf{x}}_{i} \right) \, \mathbf{a}_{j} \\ &=& \displaystyle \frac{1}{Nn} \, \left(\mathbf{a}_{0} \, - \, \, \sum_{j \neq i}^{\mathbf{p}} \, \overline{\mathbf{x}}_{j} \, \mathbf{a}_{j} \right) \, + \, \, \sum_{j \neq i}^{\mathbf{p}} \, \mathbf{x}_{i} \, \mathbf{a}_{j} \\ &=& \displaystyle \frac{1}{Nn} \, \left(\mathbf{a}_{0} \, - \, \, \sum_{j \neq i}^{\mathbf{p}} \, \overline{\mathbf{x}}_{j} \, \mathbf{a}_{j} \right) \, + \, \, \sum_{j \neq i}^{\mathbf{p}} \, \mathbf{x}_{i} \, \mathbf{a}_{j} \\ &\in& \displaystyle \mathcal{L} \mathbf{i} \, \, \, \, \mathbf{X} \, . \end{array}$$

$$\mathcal{L}$$
 \mathcal{L} \mathcal{L}

15 We can take P to be a projection matrix onto GIXT.
We know that
$$AA^{-}$$
 is a projection onto GIXT. So $X^{T}(X^{T})^{-}$ is a projection onto GIXT, so $\underline{P} = X^{T}(X^{T})^{-}$ works.
In particular, we can use $X(X^{T}X)^{-}$ as the generalized inverse of X^{T} .
So we may take

$$\frac{P = x^{\mathsf{T}} x (x^{\mathsf{T}} x)}{2}.$$