

STAT 703/J703
April 10th & 12th , 2007

-Lecture 23 & 24-

Instructor: Brian Habing
Department of Statistics
LeConte 203
Telephone: 803-777-3578
E-mail: habing@stat.sc.edu

Today

Properties of Estimators cont.

- Factorization Theorem
- Rao-Blackwell
- Lehmann-Scheffe
- Completeness
- Exponential Families

Comparing Estimates and Tests

One of the standard tools for evaluating an estimate is the mean squared error:

$$\begin{aligned}MSE(\hat{\theta}) &= E(\hat{\theta} - \theta_0)^2 \\ &= \text{Var}(\hat{\theta}) + [E(\hat{\theta}) - \theta_0]^2\end{aligned}$$

Cramer-Rao Inequality

Let X_1, \dots, X_n be i.i.d. with density $f(x|\theta)$, and T be an unbiased estimate of θ . Then under appropriate smoothness assumptions on f

$$\text{Var}(T) \geq \frac{1}{nI(\theta)}$$



Defn A statistic $T(X_1, \dots, X_n)$ is sufficient for θ if the conditional distribution of X_1, \dots, X_n given $T=t$ does not depend on θ for any value of t .



The Factorization Theorem

A necessary and sufficient condition for T to be sufficient for θ is that the joint p.d.f. factors in the form:

$$f(x_1, \dots, x_n | \theta) = g[T(x_1, \dots, x_n), \theta]h(x_1, \dots, x_n)$$



Rao-Blackwell Theorem

Let $\hat{\theta}$ be an estimator of θ with $E(\hat{\theta})^2 < \infty$ for all θ . If T is sufficient for q then $\tilde{\theta} = E(\hat{\theta} | T)$ satisfies

$$E(\tilde{\theta} - \theta)^2 \leq E(\hat{\theta} - \theta)^2$$

for all θ .

Example : Consider trying to estimate λ for a Poisson distribution using only X_1 .

Lehmann-Scheffe Theorem

Let $\hat{\theta}$ be an unbiased estimator of θ with $E(\hat{\theta})^2 < \infty$ for all θ . If T is complete sufficient for θ then $\tilde{\theta} = E(\hat{\theta} | T)$ is the uniformly minimum variance unbiased estimate (UMVUE) of θ .

Complete? A statistic T is complete for θ if the zero function is the only function that satisfies:

$$E_{\theta}[g(T)] = 0 \text{ for all } \theta$$



However we have a result similar to the factorization theorem for “exponential families”.

$$f(\underline{x} | \underline{\theta}) = \exp\left[\sum_{i=1}^k T_i(\underline{x})c_i(\underline{\theta}) + d(\underline{\theta}) + S(\underline{x})\right]$$

where $\underline{\theta} = (\theta_1, \dots, \theta_k)$

Under appropriate regularity conditions the vector of T 's is complete sufficient.


