

8.2.1(b)

```
> var1=c(32.1,30.6,33.7,29.7)
> var2=c(34.5,32.6,34.6,31.0)
> t.test(var1,var2,paired=TRUE)
```

Paired t-test

```
data: var1 and var2
t = -4.8833, df = 3, p-value = 0.01642
alternative hypothesis: true difference in means is not equal to 0
95 percent confidence interval:
 -2.7253027 -0.5746973
```

Let μ_D be the population mean difference in the two varieties of wheat (lbs). Since $p=0.016 < 0.05 = \alpha$ we reject $H_0: \mu_D=0$ at the 5% level. On average, variety 2 provides between 0.6 and 2.7 lbs more wheat per plot of land with 95% confidence.

8.2.4

```
> mcpp= c( 1.1, 1.3, 1.0, 1.7, 1.4, 0.1, 0.5, 1.6,-0.5)
> placebo=c( 0.0,-0.3, 0.6, 0.3,-0.7,-0.2, 0.6, 0.9,-2.0)
> t.test(mcpp,placebo,paired=TRUE)
```

Paired t-test

```
data: mcpp and placebo
t = 4.1703, df = 8, p-value = 0.003121
alternative hypothesis: true difference in means is not equal to 0
95 percent confidence interval:
 0.4470396 1.5529604
```

Let μ_D be the population mean difference in weight loss (kgs) from MCPP vs. placebo. Since $p=0.003 < 0.01 = \alpha$, we reject $H_0: \mu_D=0$ at the 1% significance level. On average, C=MCPP provides between 0.4 and 1.6 kgs more weight loss than placebo with 95% confidence.

8.2.6

```
> treated=c(69.50,67.00,70.75,68.50,66.75,68.50,69.50,69.00,66.75,69.00,
+          69.50,69.00,70.50,68.00,69.00)
> control=c(70.00,69.00,69.50,69.25,67.75,66.50,68.75,70.00,66.75,68.50,
+          69.00,69.75,70.25,66.25,68.25)
> t.test(treated,control,paired=TRUE)
```

Paired t-test

```
data: treated and control
t = 0.4043, df = 14, p-value = 0.6921
alternative hypothesis: true difference in means is not equal to 0
95 percent confidence interval:
 -0.5021850 0.7355184
```

```
> t.test(treated,control)
```

Welch Two Sample t-test

data: treated and control
t = 0.2535, df = 27.875, p-value = 0.8018
alternative hypothesis: true difference in means is not equal to 0
95 percent confidence interval:
-0.8262934 1.0596267

- (a) The 95% confidence interval is (-0.50,0.74) degrees C. This is done the correct way, pairing treated and untreated from the same carcass.
(b) The wrong 95% confidence interval is much (1.5 times) bigger, (-0.83,1.06).

8.4.5

Let η_D be the median difference in numbers of seizures from valproate vs. placebo within individuals. We want to test $H_0: \eta_D=0$ versus $H_A: \eta_D<0$, that valproate lowers the mean number of seizures. We can use R's sum function to count the number of positive differences.

```
> placebo=c(37,52,63, 2,25,29,15,52,19,12, 7, 9,65,52, 6,17,54,27,36, 5)
> valproate=c( 5,22,41, 4,32,20,10,25,17,14, 8, 8,30,22,11, 1,31,15,13, 5)
> sum(valproate-placebo>0)
[1] 5
> binom.test(5,20,alternative="less")
```

Exact binomial test

data: 5 and 20
number of successes = 5, number of trials = 20, p-value = 0.02069

Since $p=0.02<0.05=\alpha$, we reject $H_0: \eta_D=0$ at the 5% level and conclude $H_A: \eta_D<0$, that valproate significantly reduces the mean number of seizures within individuals.

8.4.6

Let η_D be the median difference in numbers of displays of dominance for Northern vs. Carolina. We want to test $H_0: \eta_D=0$ vs. $H_A: \eta_D$ not equal 0.

```
> northern=c( 0, 0, 0, 2, 0, 2, 1, 0)
> carolina=c( 9, 6,22,16,17,33,24,40)
> sum(northern-carolina>0)
[1] 0
> binom.test(0,8)
```

Exact binomial test

data: 0 and 8
number of successes = 0, number of trials = 8, p-value = 0.007812

Since $p=0.008<0.05=\alpha$, we reject $H_0: \eta_D=0$ at the 5% level. There is a significant difference in dominance displays between pairs of birds.