# **Experiments in the Real World**

- Goal of a randomized comparative experiment: Subjects should be treated the same in all ways except for the treatments we are trying to compare.
- *Example:* Rats in cages given two diets (new cereal and a standard diet), and after some time weight gain was measured.
- It turns out rats in top cages grow faster than rats in bottom cages.
- To remove/reduce the effect of cage position, we should randomly assign the rats to cages.

# Placebo Effect

- In experiments, placebos (fake treatments) do produce some sort of beneficial response *if the patient doesn't know* the treatment is fake.
- Could be psychological reasons, or the effect of mind over matter.
- To prove a drug actually works and is worth selling, it should do *significantly better* than a placebo.
- To honestly compare a drug treatment to a placebo, researchers should not tell subjects whether they are getting the placebo.
- This way the study won't be biased, and any advantage the experimental treatment turns out to have is trustworthy.

# **Double-blind Experiments**

- With *Double-blind Experiments*, neither the subject nor the doctor (or other researcher) know which patients are getting the placebo.
- If doctors knows a patient is on a placebo, it can psychologically affect how they treat and diagnose a patient.
- It could bias the study in favor of the experiment drug treatment.
- Ideal experiment is *randomized, double-blind, and placebo-controlled*.

# **Clinical Trials and Real-world Difficulties**

- Clinical Trials: Medical experiments involving human subjects.
- Historically, these trials have underrepresented women, minorities, and the poor (This trend is changing lately)
- Nonadherers: Subjects who participate but don't follow the protocol ("the rules") of the clinical trial.
- *Example 1*: In a year-long study, subject neglects to take his daily pill after the first month.
- *Example 2*: In a year-long study, subject begins to take a completely separate (and unauthorized) medication after the first month.
- Example 3: In a year-long study, subject neglects the prescribed exercise regimen after the first month.

# **Clinical Trials and Real-world Difficulties (Continued)**

- *Dropouts:* Subjects who begin the experiment but drop out before the scheduled finish.
- Example 1: In a year-long study, subject moves out of town after two months and researchers lose track of her.
- Example 2: In a year-long study, subject stops participating because the prescribed pill was making her sick.
- *Example 3*: In a year-long study of cancer patients, subject dies of cancer after six months.
- *Example 4*: In a year-long study of cancer patients, subject dies in a car accident after six months.

# Clinical Trials and Real-world Difficulties (Continued More)

- If the reason for dropout is *related to the treatment(s)*, then this can bias the study's results.
- If the reason for dropout is *unrelated to the treatment(s)*, then the main drawback is simply that the number of subjects is reduced (and sampling variability increased).

What is a major difference between *nonadherers* and *dropouts*?

- A. Researchers can adjust for nonadherers more easily
- B. The researchers may not be informed of the nonadherers' deviation from the protocol
- C. Dropouts tend to be women, minorities, or poor
- **D.** Nonadherers tend to be male

In a weight-loss study, 10% of the subjects getting the experimental drug dropped out before the finish and 58% of the subjects getting the placebo dropped out. It is speculated that subjects in a weight-loss experiment may prematurely drop out when they find themselves not losing weight. This is a example of:

- A. Nonadherence, but it does not bias the study results
- **B. Nonadherence that does bias the study results**
- C. Dropout, but it does not bias the study results
- **D.** Dropout that does bias the study results

# **Can We Generalize Our Conclusions?**

- We first need to determine whether our sample results are statistically significant: Are the results strong enough that it's unlikely that they just occurred by chance in the sample?
- Beyond statistical significance: Is our experimental setup realistic?
- Often the treatments, subjects, or experimental conditions in a study may not match those in the real world.
- In this case, it may be fruitless to try to generalize results.

A psychologist is studying how failure at a task affects morale among members of a team. In a 90-minute experiment, psychology students are put into teams in a laboratory and made to play a difficult game, and their reactions are observed. Would the study results be generalizable to a real-world work setting?

- A. No, because the lab is most likely air-conditioned.
- B. No, because in a lab the stakes are small and the game is relatively short in duration.
- C. Yes, the results can be generalized.
- D. No, because the students are more likely to be angry in nature than real-world workers.

- Other considerations: The level of care given to subjects in clinical trials and social experiments may better than the equivalent treatment given to ordinary folks in the real world.
- More considerations: For obvious biological reasons, results from medical experiments on animals may not be generalizable to humans.
- Also, the real-world setting may change from the time an experiment is done, making the results less applicable (Example: Center brake light study: 50% or 5% reduction in collisions?)
- *Key*: The experimenter must have good knowledge of the subject matter (not just the statistics) to make proper conclusions.

# **Completely Randomized Design**

- In a *Completely Randomized Design*, all experimental subjects are allocated at random to the various treatments.
- *Example*: Plant growth study in which subjects (units) are plants in a greenhouse
- Two explanatory variables: Fertilizer Type (A, B, C) and Light Source (Natural Sunlight or Artificial Lamp)
- There are 2 × 3 = 6 combinations possible, so there are
  6 *treatments* overall.
- In a sample of 30 plants, 5 plants are assigned to each treatment at random.

#### **Layout of Treatments**

		Fertilizer		
		Α	В	С
Source	Sunlight	Treatment 1	Treatment 2	Treatment 3
	Lamp	Treatment 4	Treatment 5	Treatment 6

Table 1: The 6 treatments in the plant growth experiment. Plants are randomly assigned to treat-ments so that there are 5 plants per treatment.

#### Matched Pairs Design

- *Matched Pairs Designs* are appropriate when comparing two treatments.
- In a *Matched Pairs Design*, subjects are paired up so that the two subjects in each pair are *similar* in some critical way.
- *Example:* Two men of similar age and weight are put on two different weightlifting programs – the goal is to compare the programs in terms of improvement in strength.
- In such an experiment, we have actually have a number of pairs of subjects on the two programs and compare the treatments' strength improvement, averaged across the pairs.

# **Matched Pairs Design (Continued)**

- In some matched-pairs design, the subject serves as his own "match".
- For example, the subject may take two competing treatments (in a random order) in order to compare the treatments.
- Or the response may be measured on a subject before and after some treatment is applied, to test the effectiveness of the treatment.

# **Block Design**

- A *block* is a group of subjects (set up at the beginning of the experiment) such that subjects within the block are similar.
- The random assignment of treatments to subjects is done *separately* within each block.
- Note: Matched pairs designs are simply block designs with two subjects in each "block" (or "pair").
- Block designs are a way to *control* for outside variables (besides the treatments) by accounting for those variables in the experiment (when we determine the blocks).

Experiment to compare effectiveness of 3 styles of advertisement: A sample of subjects (men *and* women) will each watch one ad and rate its effectiveness. What is a reasonable block design?

- A. The subjects will be randomly assigned to be either men or women.
- B. All subjects will be randomly assigned one of the 3 ads. Afterwards, the results will be separated by gender.
- C. Each man will be paired with a woman, and the treatments will be randomly assigned within each pair.
- D. Subjects will be divided into 2 groups: men and women. The men will be each randomly assigned one of the 3 ads, and the women will be each randomly assigned one of the 3 ads.

A sample of consumers is blindfolded and given a slice of Pizza Hut pizza and Domino's pizza. The order of the slices is randomized and the consumers must rate each slice. Why is this study a matched-pairs design?

- A. Each consumer's first rating is matched with that consumer's second rating.
- B. Pizza Hut and Domino's are similar and thus are "matched".
- C. Consumers in the study are chosen specifically because they are "similar."
- D. Consumers in the study are chosen specifically because they are "different."

University of South Carolina

# Advantages of Advanced Designs

- Block designs and matched pairs designs allow us to make more precise conclusions about differences among treatments than we can with completely randomized designs.
- These advanced designs let us account for outside variables, and thus differences across blocks are separated from the differences among the treatments.
- We can also examine the differences among treatments *separately* within each block.
- This is similar to using *stratified samples*.
- Typically, a statistical expert would oversee these advanced designs.