Logistic regression

-

Department of Statistics, University of South Carolina

Stat 705: Data Analysis II

In OLS, we have

$$\operatorname{argmin}_{\beta} \sum_{i} (y_{i} - x_{i}\beta)^{2},$$
$$\frac{\partial \ell}{\partial \beta} = -2 \sum_{i} (y_{i} - x_{i}\beta) x_{i} = 0$$

This is a linear system with p equations and p unknowns. So it can be solved using standard linear algebra theory with a closed form solution.

The logistic regression model can be written as

$$\log rac{
ho}{1-
ho} = {f X}eta$$

Hence,

$$p = \frac{e^{\mathbf{X}\beta}}{1 + e^{\mathbf{X}\beta}}$$

The likelihood function for logistic regression is

$$L(\beta) = \prod_{i=1}^{n} p_i^{y_i} (1-p_i)^{1-y_i}$$

The Score Function of Logistic Regression

$$\log L(\beta) = \ell(\beta) = \sum_{i}^{n} [y_i \log p_i + (1 - y_i) \log(1 - p_i)]$$
$$= \sum_{i}^{n} [y_i \beta^T X_i - \log(1 + e^{\beta^T X_i})]$$
$$\frac{\partial \ell}{\partial \beta} = \sum_{i} X_i (y_i - p_i) = 0$$

In matrix form can be expressed as:

$$\frac{\partial \ell}{\partial \beta} = X^{T}(y - p) \qquad \text{Score Function}$$
$$\frac{\partial^{2} \ell}{\partial^{2} \beta} = -X^{T} W X,$$

where $W = \text{diag}[p_i(1 - p_i)]$.

Newton-Raphson in one dimension: Say we want to find where f(x) = 0 for differentiable f(x). Let x_0 be such that $f(x_0) = 0$. Taylor's theorem tells us

$$f(x_0) \approx f(x) + f'(x)(x_0 - x).$$

Plugging in $f(x_0) = 0$ and solving for x_0 we get $\hat{x}_0 = x - \frac{f(x)}{f'(x)}$. Starting at an x near x_0 , \hat{x}_0 should be closer to x_0 than x was. Let's iterate this idea t times:

$$x^{(t+1)} = x^{(t)} - \frac{f(x^{(t)})}{f'(x^{(t)})}.$$

Eventually, if things go right, $x^{(t)}$ should be close to x_0 .

Newton-Raphson



Higher dimensions

If $\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}) : \mathbb{R}^p \to \mathbb{R}^p$, the idea works the same, but in vector/matrix terms. Start with an initial guess $\mathbf{x}^{(0)}$ and iterate

$$\mathbf{x}^{(t+1)} = \mathbf{x}^{(t)} - [D\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}^{(t)})]^{-1}\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}^{(t)}).$$

If things are "done right," then this should converge to \mathbf{x}_0 such that $\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}_0) = \mathbf{0}$. We are interested in solving $DL(\beta) = \mathbf{0}$ (the score, or likelihood ı١ e

$$DL(\beta) = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial L(\beta)}{\partial \beta_1} \\ \vdots \\ \frac{\partial L(\beta)}{\partial \beta_p} \end{bmatrix} \text{ and } D^2L(\beta) = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial L(\beta)}{\partial \beta_1^2} & \cdots & \frac{\partial L(\beta)}{\partial \beta_1 \partial \beta_p} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \frac{\partial L(\beta)}{\partial \beta_p \partial \beta_1} & \cdots & \frac{\partial L(\beta)}{\partial \beta_p^2} \end{bmatrix}$$

So for us, we start with $\beta^{(0)}$ (maybe through a MOM or least squares estimate) and iterate

$$\beta^{(t+1)} = \beta^{(t)} - [D^2 L(\beta)(\beta^{(t)})]^{-1} D L(\beta^{(t)}).$$

The process is typically stopped when $|\beta^{(t+1)} - \beta^{(t)}| < \epsilon$.

- Newton-Raphson uses $D^2L(\beta)$ as is, with the **y** plugged in.
- Fisher scoring instead uses E{D²L(β)}, with expectation taken over Y, which is *not* a function of the observed y, but harder to get.
- The latter approach is harder to implement, but conveniently yields $\widehat{cov}(\hat{\beta}) \approx [-E\{D^2L(\beta)\}]^{-1}$ evaluated at $\hat{\beta}$ when the process is done.

Newton-Raphson for Logistic Regression

$$\begin{split} \beta_{new} &= \beta_{old} - \left(\frac{\partial^2 \ell}{\partial^2 \beta}\right)^{-1} \left(\frac{\partial \ell}{\partial \beta}\right) \\ \beta_{new} &= \beta_{old} + \left(\mathbf{X}^T W X\right)^{-1} X^T (y-p) \\ \beta_{new} &= \left(X^T W X\right)^{-1} X^T W [X \beta_{old} + W^{-1} (y-p)] \\ \beta_{new} &= \left(X^T W X\right)^{-1} X^T W z, \end{split}$$

where $z = X\beta_{old} + W^{-1}(y - p)$.

 if z is viewed as a response and X is the input matrix, β_{new} is the solution to a weighted least square problem.

$$oldsymbol{eta}_{\mathit{new}} = {\sf argmin}_{oldsymbol{eta}}(z - {f X}oldsymbol{eta})^{{\mathcal T}} W(z - {f X}oldsymbol{eta})$$

- z is referred to as the adjusted response.
- The algorithm is referred to as iteratively reweighted least square (IRLS)

To set up the Newton-Raphson

- Set $oldsymbol{eta}$ to some initial value
- Set threshold values ϵ for convergence
- Set an iteration counter to track the number of iterations.

Iteratively Re-weighted Least Squares (IRLS)

- Set β to its initial value, $\beta_0 = \log(\frac{\overline{y}}{1-\overline{y}})$
- Calculate *p* using $p = \frac{e^{\mathbf{X}\beta}}{1+e^{\mathbf{X}\beta}}$
- Calculate W using the updated p.
- Calculate $z = \mathbf{X}\beta + W^{-1}(y p)$

• Update
$$eta = (X^{ op}WX)^{-1}X^{ op}Wz$$

• Check if $|\beta_{\textit{new}} - \beta_{\textit{old}}| < \epsilon_1$, and $f(\beta_{\textit{old}}) - f(\beta_{\textit{new}}) < \epsilon_2$

Notice that in logistic regression $E\{D^2L(\beta)\} = D^2L(\beta)$, hence Newton-Raphson (NR) and Fisher Scoring methods ($E\{D^2L(\beta)\}$) are equivalent. For other models, there is a difference between NR and Fisher Scoring. Many statistical packages such as SAS, R use Fisher Scoring as default.

Logistic Regression Inference

• The resulting estimate is consistent and it's large-sample variance is

$$\operatorname{var}(\widehat{\beta}) = (X^T W X)^{-1}$$

 The Wald test for testing individual regression coefficient: *H*₀ : β_i = 0 versus *H_a* : β_i ≠ 0 can be written as:

$$Z = \frac{\widehat{\beta}_i}{SE(\widehat{\beta}_i)}$$

• The $(1 - \alpha)$ % confidence interval can be constructed as

$$\widehat{\beta}_i \pm Z_{1-\alpha/2}SE(\widehat{\beta}_i)$$

- There is an extensive literature on conditions for existence and uniqueness of MLEs for logistic regression
- MLEs may not exist. One case is when the data has "separation" of covariates (e.g., all success to left and all failures to right for some value of x.)