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## So far...

- One sample continuous data (Chapters 6 and 8 ).
- Two sample continuous data (Chapter 7).
- One sample categorical data (Chapter 9).
- Two sample categorical data (Chapter 10).
- More than two sample continuous data (Chapter 11).
- Now: continuous predictor $X$ instead of group.


## Two continuous variables

- Instead of relating an outcome $Y$ to "group" (e.g. 1, 2, or 3), we will relate $Y$ to another continuous variable $X$.
- First we will measure how linearly related $Y$ and $X$ are using the correlation.
- Then we will model $Y$ vs. $X$ using a line.
- The data arrive as $n$ pairs $\left(x_{1}, y_{1}\right),\left(x_{2}, y_{2}\right), \ldots,\left(x_{n}, y_{n}\right)$.
- Each pair $\left(x_{i}, y_{i}\right)$ can be listed in a table and is a point on a scatterplot.


## Example 12.1.1 Amphetamine and consumption

Amphetamines suppress appetite. A pharmacologist randomly allocated $n=24$ rats to three amphetamine dosage levels: $0,2.5$, and $5 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$. She measured the amount of food consumed ( $\mathrm{gm} / \mathrm{kg}$ ) by each rat in the 3 hours following.

| Table I2.I.I |  |  | Food consumption $(Y)$ of rats $(\mathrm{gm} / \mathrm{kg})$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $X=$ Dose of amphetamine $(\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg})$ |  |  |
|  | 0 | 2.5 | 5.0 |
|  | 112.6 | 73.3 | 38.5 |
|  | 102.1 | 84.8 | 81.3 |
|  | 90.2 | 67.3 | 57.1 |
|  | 81.5 | 55.3 | 62.3 |
|  | 105.6 | 80.7 | 51.5 |
|  | 93.0 | 90.0 | 48.3 |
|  | 106.6 | 75.5 | 42.7 |
|  | 108.3 | 77.1 | 57.9 |
| Mean | 100.0 | 75.5 | 55.0 |
| SD | 10.7 | 10.7 | 13.3 |
| No. of animals | 8 | 8 | 8 |

## Example 12.1.1 Amphetamine and consumption



How does $Y$ change with $X$ ? Linear? How strong is linear relationship?

## Example 12.1.2 Arsenic in rice

Environmental pollutants can contaminate food via the growing soil. Naturally occurring silicon in rice may inhibit the absorption of some pollutants. Researchers measured $Y$, amount of arsenic in polished rice ( $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{kg}$ rice), \& $X$, silicon concentration in the straw ( $\mathrm{g} / \mathrm{kg}$ straw), of $n=32$ rice plants.


## Example 12.2.1 Length and weight of snakes

In a study of a free-living population of the snake Vipera bertis, researchers caught and measured nine adult females.

Table 12.2.1

|  | Length $X(\mathrm{~cm})$ | Weight $Y$ (g) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 60 | 136 |
|  | 69 | 198 |
|  | 66 | 194 |
|  | 64 | 140 |
|  | 54 | 93 |
|  | 67 | 172 |
|  | 59 | 116 |
|  | 65 | 174 |
|  | 63 | 145 |
| Mean | 63 | 152 |
| SD | 4.6 | 35.3 |

## Example 12.2.1 Length and weight of snakes

How strong is linear relationship?


Figure 12.2.1 Body length and weight of nine snakes with fitted reoression line

### 12.2 The correlation coefficient $r$

$$
r=\frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(\frac{x_{i}-\bar{x}}{s_{x}}\right)\left(\frac{y_{i}-\bar{y}}{s_{y}}\right) .
$$

- $r$ measures the strength and direction (positive or negative) of how linearly related $Y$ is with $X$.
- $-1 \leq r \leq 1$.
- If $r=1$ then $Y$ increases with $X$ according to a perfect line.
- If $r=-1$ then $Y$ decreases with $X$ according to a perfect line.
- If $r=0$ then $X$ and $Y$ are not linearly associated.
- The closer $r$ is to 1 or -1 , the more the points lay on a straight line.


## Examples of $r$ for 14 different data sets



## Population correlation $\rho$

- Just like $\bar{y}$ estimates $\mu$ and $s_{y}$ estimates $\sigma, r$ estimates the unknown population correlation $\rho$.
- If $\rho=1$ or $\rho=-1$ then all points in the population lie on a line.
- Sometimes people want to test $H_{0}: \rho=0$ vs. $H_{A}: \rho \neq 0$, or they want a $95 \%$ confidence interval for $\rho$.
- These are easy to get in R with the cor.test (sample1, sample2) command.


## R code for amphetamine data

```
> cons=c(112.6,102.1,90.2,81.5,105.6,93.0,106.6,108.3,73.3,84.8,67.3,55.3,
+ 80.7,90.0,75.5,77.1,38.5,81.3,57.1,62.3,51.5,48.3,42.7,57.9)
>amph=c(0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,2.5,2.5,2.5,2.5,2.5,2.5,2.5,2.5,5.0,5.0,5.0,5.0,5.0,5.0,5.0,5.0)
> cor.test(amph,cons)
```

Pearson's product-moment correlation

```
data: amph and cons
```

$\mathrm{t}=-7.9003, \mathrm{df}=22, \mathrm{p}$-value $=7.265 \mathrm{e}-08$
alternative hypothesis: true correlation is not equal to 0
95 percent confidence interval:
-0.9379300-0.6989057
sample estimates:
cor
$-0.859873$
$r=-0.86$, a strong, negative relationship.
P-value $=0.000000073<0.05$ so reject $H_{0}: \rho=0$ at the $5 \%$ level.
There is a signficant, negative linear association between amphetamine intake and food consumption. We are $95 \%$ confident that the true population correlation is between -0.94 and -0.70 .

## R code for snake data

```
> length=c(60,69,66,64,54,67,59,65,63)
> weight=c(136,198,194,140,93,172,116,174,145)
> cor.test(length,weight)
    Pearson's product-moment correlation
data: length and weight
t = 7.5459, df = 7, p-value = 0.0001321
alternative hypothesis: true correlation is not equal to 0
95 percent confidence interval:
    0.7489030 0.9883703
sample estimates:
    cor
0.9436756
```

$r=0.94$, a strong, positive relationship. What else do we conclude?

## Comments

- Order doesn't matter, either $(X, Y)$ or $(Y, X)$ gives the same correlation and conclusions. Correlation is "symmetric."
- Significant correlation, rejecting $H_{0}: \rho=0$ doesn't mean $\rho$ is close to 1 or -1 ; it can be small, yet significant.
- Rejecting $H_{0}: \rho=0$ doesn't mean $X$ causes $Y$ or $Y$ causes $X$, just that they are linearly associated.


### 12.3 Fitting a line to scatterplot data

We will fit the line

$$
Y=b_{0}+b_{1} X
$$

to the data pairs.

- $b_{0}$ is the intercept, how high the line is on the $Y$-axis.
- $b_{1}$ is the slope, how much the line changes when $X$ is increase by one unit.
- The values for $b_{0}$ and $b_{1}$ we use gives the least squares line.
- These are the values that make $\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left[y_{i}-\left(b_{0}+b_{1} x_{i}\right)\right]^{2}$ as small as possible.
- They are

$$
b_{1}=r\left(\frac{s_{y}}{s_{x}}\right) \text { and } b_{0}=\bar{y}-b_{1} \bar{x}
$$

```
> fit=lm(cons~amph)
> plot(amph,cons)
> abline(fit)
> summary(fit)
Call:
lm(formula = cons ~ amph)
Residuals:
\begin{tabular}{rrrrr} 
Min & 1Q & Median & 3Q & Max \\
-21.512 & -7.031 & 1.528 & 7.448 & 27.006
\end{tabular}
Coefficients:
            Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|)
\begin{tabular}{lllll} 
(Intercept) & 99.331 & 3.680 & \(26.99<2 e-16\) & \(* * *\) \\
& -9.007 & 1.140 & -7.90 & \(7.27 e-08 * * *\)
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{llll} 
amph & -9.007 & 1.140 & -7.90 \\
\(7.27 e-08\) & \(* * *\)
\end{tabular}
Signif. codes: 0 *** 0.001 ** 0.01 * 0.05 . 0.1 1
Residual standard error: 11.4 on 22 degrees of freedom
Multiple R-squared: 0.7394, Adjusted R-squared: 0.7275
F-statistic: 62.41 on 1 and 22 DF, p-value: 7.265e-08
```

For now, just pluck out $b_{0}=99.331$ and $b_{1}=-9.007$


$$
\text { cons }=99.33-9.01 \mathrm{amph} .
$$

```
> fit=lm(weight~length)
> plot(length,weight)
> abline(fit)
> summary(fit)
Call:
lm(formula = weight ~ length)
Residuals:
    Min 1Q Median 3Q Max
-19.192 -7.233 2.849 5.727 20.424
Coefficients:
    Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|)
(Intercept) -301.0872 60.1885 -5.002 0.001561 **
length 7.1919 0.9531 7.546 0.000132 ***
Signif. codes: 0 *** 0.001 ** 0.01 * 0.05 . 0.1 1
Residual standard error: 12.5 on 7 degrees of freedom Multiple R-squared: 0.8905, Adjusted R-squared: 0.8749 F-statistic: 56.94 on 1 and 7 DF, p-value: 0.0001321
```

Here, $b_{0}=-301.1$ and $b_{1}=7.19$


## Residuals

- The $i$ th fitted value is $\hat{y}_{i}=b_{0}+b_{1} x_{i}$, the point on the line above $x_{i}$.
- The $i$ th residual is $e_{i}=y_{i}-\hat{y}_{i}$. This gives the vertical amount that the line missed $y_{i}$ by.



## Residual sum of squares and $s_{e}$

- $\operatorname{SS}($ resid $)=\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(y_{i}-\hat{y}_{i}\right)^{2}=\sum_{i=1}^{n} e_{i}^{2}$.
- ( $b_{0}, b_{1}$ ) make SS(resid) as small as possible.
- $s_{y}=\sqrt{\frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(y_{i}-\bar{y}\right)^{2}}$ is sample standard deviation of the $Y$ 's. Measures the "total variability" in the data.


## $s_{e}, s_{y}$, and $r^{2}$

- $s_{e}=\sqrt{\frac{1}{n-2} \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(y_{i}-\hat{y}_{i}\right)^{2}}=\sqrt{\mathrm{SS}(\text { resid }) /(n-2)}$ is "residual standard deviation" of the $Y \mathrm{~s}$. Measures variability around the regression line.
- If $s_{e} \approx s_{y}$ then the regression line isn't doing anything!
- If $s_{e}<s_{y}$ then the line is doing something.
- $r^{2} \approx 1-\frac{s_{e}^{2}}{s_{y}^{2}}$ is called the multiple R-squared, and is the percentage of variability in $Y$ explained by $X$ through the regression line.
- $R$ calls $s_{e}$ the residual standard error.


## $s_{e}$ is just average length of residuals


[1] 35.33766
$s_{e}=12.5$ and $s_{y}=35.3 . r^{2}=0.89$ so $89 \%$ of the variability in

## $68 \%-95 \%$ rule for regression lines



Roughly $68 \%$ of observations are within $s_{e}$ of the regression line (shown above); 95\% are within $2 s_{e}$.

### 12.4 The regression model

- We assume the underlying model with Greek letters (as usual)

$$
y=\beta_{0}+\beta_{1} x+\epsilon
$$

- For each subject $i$ we see $x_{i}$ and $y_{i}=\beta_{0}+\beta_{1} x_{i}+\epsilon_{i}$.
- $\beta_{0}$ is the population intercept.
- $\beta_{1}$ is the population slope.
- $\epsilon_{i}$ is the $i$ th error, we assume these are $N\left(0, \sigma_{e}\right)$.
- We don't know any of $\beta_{0}, \beta_{1}$, or $\sigma_{e}$.


## Visualizing the model

- $\mu_{y \mid x}=\beta_{0}+\beta_{1} x$ is mean response for everyone with covariate $X$.
- $\sigma_{e}$ is constant variance. Variance doesn't change with $x$.
- Example 12.4.4, pretend we know that the mean weight $\mu_{y \mid x}$ given height $x$ is

$$
\mu_{y \mid x}=-145+4.25 x \text { and } \sigma_{e}=20 .
$$

| Table 12.4.1 | Conditional means and SDs of weight given height <br> in a population of young men |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Height (in) $X$ | Mean weight <br> (lb) $\mu_{Y \mid X}$ | Standard deviation of <br> weights (lb) $\sigma_{Y \mid X}$ |
| 64 | 127 | 20 |
| 68 | 144 | 20 |
| 72 | 161 | 20 |
| 76 | 178 | 20 |

[^0]
## Weight vs. height



## Estimating $\beta_{0}, \beta_{1}$, and $\sigma_{\epsilon}$

- $b_{0}$ estimates $\beta_{0}$.
- $b_{1}$ estimates $\beta_{1}$.
- $s_{e}$ estimates $\sigma_{e}$.
- Example 12.4.5. For the snake data, $b_{0}=-301$ estimates $\beta_{0}$, $b_{1}=7.19$ estimates $\beta_{1}$, and $s_{e}=12.5$ estimates $\sigma_{e}$.
- We estimate the the mean weight $\hat{y}$ of snakes with length $x$ as

$$
\hat{y}=-301+7.19 x
$$

## Example 12.4.6 Arsenic in rice

- If we believe the data follow a line, we can estimate the mean for any $x$ we want.
- $b_{0}=197.17$ estimates $\beta_{0}, b_{1}=2.51$ estimates $\beta_{1}$, and $s_{e}=37.30$ estimates $\sigma_{e}$.
- For straw silicon concentration of $x=33 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{kg}$ we estimate a mean arsenic level of
$\hat{y}=197.17-2.51(33)=114.35 \mu \mathrm{gm} / \mathrm{kg}$ with $s_{e}=37.30 \mu \mathrm{gm} / \mathrm{kg}$.


## Arsenic in rice at $X=33 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{kg}$



$$
\begin{gathered}
\hat{y}=197.17-2.51 x \\
114.35=197.17-2.51(33)
\end{gathered}
$$

### 12.5 Inference for $\beta_{1}$

- Often people want a $95 \%$ confidence interval for $\beta_{1}$ and want to test $H_{0}: \beta_{1}=0$.
- If we reject $H_{0}: \beta_{1}=0$, then $y$ is significantly linearly assocatied with $x$. Same as testing $H_{0}: \rho=0$.
- A $95 \%$ confidence interval for $\beta_{1}$ gives us a range for how the mean changes when $x$ is increased by one unit.
- Everything comes from

$$
\frac{b_{1}-\beta_{0}}{S E_{b_{1}}} \sim t_{n-2}, \quad S E_{b_{1}}=\frac{s_{e}}{s_{x} \sqrt{n-1}} .
$$

- R automatically gives a P -value for testing $H_{0}: \beta_{1}=0$.
- Need to ask R for $95 \%$ confidence interval for $\beta_{1}$.


## R code

```
>amph=c(0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,2.5,2.5,2.5,2.5,2.5,2.5,2.5,2.5,5.0,5.0,5.0,5.0,5.0,5.0,5.0,5.0)
> cons=c(112.6,102.1,90.2,81.5,105.6,93.0,106.6,108.3,73.3,84.8,67.3,55.3,
+ 80.7,90.0,75.5,77.1,38.5,81.3,57.1,62.3,51.5,48.3,42.7,57.9)
> fit=lm(cons ~amph)
> summary(fit)
Coefficients:
            Estimate Std. Error t value Pr (>|t|)
\begin{tabular}{llllll} 
(Intercept) & 99.331 & 3.680 & \(26.99<2 e-16 * * *\) \\
amph & -9.007 & 1.140 & -7.90 & \(7.27 \mathrm{e}-08 * * *\)
\end{tabular}
Signif. codes: 0 *** 0.001 ** 0.01 * 0.05 . 0.1 1
> confint(fit)
    2.5 % 97.5 %
(Intercept) 91.69979 106.962710
amph -11.37202 -6.642979
```

P -value for testing $H_{0}: \beta_{1}=0$ vs. $H_{A}: \beta_{1} \neq 0$ is 0.0000000727 , we reject at the $5 \%$ level. We are $95 \%$ confidence that true mean consumption is reduced by 6.6 to $11.4 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{kg}$ for every $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ increase in amphetamine dose.

## Multiple regression

- Often there are more than one predictors we are interested in, say we have two $x_{1}$ and $x_{2}$.
- The model is easily extended to

$$
y=\beta_{0}+\beta_{1} x_{1}+\beta_{2} x_{2}+\epsilon
$$

- Example: Dwayne Portrait Studio is doing a sales analysis based on data from $n=21$ cities.
- $y=$ sales (thousands of dollars) for a city
- $x_{1}=$ number of people 16 years or younger (thousands)
- $x_{2}=$ per capita disposable income (thousands of dollars)

| $x_{1}$ | $x_{2}$ | $y$ | $x_{1}$ | $x_{2}$ | $y$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 68.5 | 16.7 | 174.4 | 45.2 | 16.8 | 164.4 |
| 91.3 | 18.2 | 244.2 | 47.8 | 16.3 | 154.6 |
| 46.9 | 17.3 | 181.6 | 66.1 | 18.2 | 207.5 |
| 49.5 | 15.9 | 152.8 | 52.0 | 17.2 | 163.2 |
| 48.9 | 16.6 | 145.4 | 38.4 | 16.0 | 137.2 |
| 87.9 | 18.3 | 241.9 | 72.8 | 17.1 | 191.1 |
| 88.4 | 17.4 | 232.0 | 42.9 | 15.8 | 145.3 |
| 52.5 | 17.8 | 161.1 | 85.7 | 18.4 | 209.7 |
| 41.3 | 16.5 | 146.4 | 51.7 | 16.3 | 144.0 |
| 89.6 | 18.1 | 232.6 | 82.7 | 19.1 | 224.1 |
| 52.3 | 16.0 | 166.5 |  |  |  |

12.1 Introduction

## R code for multiple regression

```
> under16=c(68.5,45.2,91.3,47.8,46.9,66.1,49.5,52.0,48.9,38.4,87.9,72.8,88.4,42.9,52.5,
+ 85.7,41.3,51.7,89.6,82.7,52.3)
>
> income=c(16.7,16.8,18.2,16.3,17.3,18.2,15.9,17.2,16.6,16.0,18.3,17.1,17.4,15.8,17.8,
    18.4,16.5,16.3,18.1,19.1,16.0)
sales=c(174.4,164.4,244.2,154.6,181.6,207.5,152.8,163.2,145.4,137.2,241.9,191.1,232.0,
145.3,161.1,209.7,146.4,144.0,232.6,224.1,166.5)
fit=lm(sales~under16+income)
summary(fit)
Call:
lm(formula = sales ~ under16 + income)
Residuals:
\begin{tabular}{rrrrr} 
Min & \(1 Q\) & Median & 3Q & Max \\
-18.4239 & -6.2161 & 0.7449 & 9.4356 & 20.2151
\end{tabular}
```


## Coefficients:

```
Estimate Std. Error \(t\) value \(\operatorname{Pr}(>|t|)\)
\begin{tabular}{lrrrr} 
(Intercept) & -68.8571 & 60.0170 & -1.147 & 0.2663 \\
under16 & 1.4546 & 0.2118 & 6.868 & \(2 \mathrm{e}-06 \quad * * *\) \\
income & 9.3655 & 4.0640 & 2.305 & \(0.0333^{*}\)
\end{tabular}
---
Signif. codes: 0 *** 0.001 ** 0.01 * 0.05 . \(0.1 \quad 1\)
```

Residual standard error: 11.01 on 18 degrees of freedom
Multiple R-squared: 0.9167, Adjusted R-squared: 0.9075

## Interpretation...

- The fitted regression surface is

$$
\text { sales }=-68.857+1.455(\text { under } 16)+9.366 \text { income }
$$

- For every unit increase (1000 people) in those under 16, average sales go up 1.455 thousand, $\$ 1,455$.
- For every unit increase ( $\$ 1000$ ) in disposable income, average sales go up 9.366 thousand, \$9,366.
- $91.67 \%$ of the variability in sales is explained by those under 16 and disposable income.
- $\sigma_{e}$ is estimated to be 11.01.


## Regression homework

- 12.2.5, 12.2.7, 12.3.1, 12.3.3, 12.3.5, 12.3.7, 12.3.8. Use R for all problems; i.e. don't do anything by hand.
- 12.4.3, 12.4.6, 12.4.8, 12.4.9, 12.5.1, 12.5.3, 12.5.5, 12.5.9(a). Use R for all problems; don't do anything by hand.


[^0]:    "Note that all values of $\sigma_{Y \mid X}$ are the same; they equal $\sigma_{\varepsilon}=20$.

