

STAT 515 -- Chapter 13: Categorical Data

Recall we have studied binomial data, in which each trial falls into one of 2 categories (success/failure).

Many studies allow for more than 2 categories.

Example 1: Voters are asked which of 6 candidates they prefer.

Example 2: Residents are surveyed about which part of Columbia they live in. (Downtown, NW, NE, SW, SE)

Multinomial Experiment

(Extension of a binomial experiment \rightarrow from 2 to k possible outcomes)

- (1) Consists of n identical trials**
- (2) There are k possible outcomes (categories) for each trial**
- (3) The probabilities for the k outcomes, denoted p_1, p_2, \dots, p_k , are the same for each trial
(and $p_1 + p_2 + \dots + p_k = 1$)**
- (4) The trials are independent**

The cell counts, n_1, n_2, \dots, n_k , which are the number of observations falling in each category, are the random variables which follow a multinomial distribution.

Analyzing a One-Way Table

Suppose we have a single categorical variable with k categories. The cell counts from a multinomial experiment can be arranged in a one-way table.

Example 1: Adults were surveyed about their favorite sport. There were 6 categories.

p_1 = proportion of U.S. adults favoring football

p_2 = proportion of U.S. adults favoring baseball

p_3 = proportion of U.S. adults favoring basketball

p_4 = proportion of U.S. adults favoring auto racing

p_5 = proportion of U.S. adults favoring golf

p_6 = proportion of U.S. adults favoring “other”

It was hypothesized that the true proportions are $(p_1, p_2, p_3, p_4, p_5, p_6) = (.4, .1, .2, .06, .06, .18)$.

95 adults were randomly sampled; their preferences are summarized in the one-way table:

<u>Favorite Sport</u>						
<u>Football</u>	<u>Baseball</u>	<u>Basketball</u>	<u>Auto</u>	<u>Golf</u>	<u>Other</u>	<u>n</u>
37	12	17	8	5	16	95

We test our null hypothesis (at $\alpha = .05$) with the following test:

Test for Multinomial Probabilities

H_0 : $p_1 = p_{1,0}, p_2 = p_{2,0}, \dots, p_k = p_{k,0}$

H_a : at least one of the hypothesized probabilities is wrong

The test statistic is:

where n_i is the observed “cell count” for category i and $E(n_i)$ is the expected cell count for category i if H_0 is true.

Rejection region: $\chi^2 > \chi^2_\alpha$ where χ^2_α based on $k - 1$ d.f. (large values of $\chi^2 \Rightarrow$ observed n_i very different from expected $E(n_i)$ under H_0)

Assumptions: (1) The data are from a multinomial experiment. (2) Every expected cell count $E(n_i)$ is at least 5. (large-sample test)

Finding expected cell counts: Note that $E(n_i) = np_{i,0}$.

For our data,

i	n_i	$E(n_i)$
----------	-------------------------	----------------------------

Test statistic value:

From Table VII:

Analyzing a Two-Way Table

Now we consider observations that are classified according to two categorical variables.

Such data can be presented in a two-way table (contingency table).

Example: Suppose the people in the “favorite-sport” survey had been further classified by gender:

Two categorical variables: Gender and Favorite Sport.

Question: Are the two classifications independent or dependent?

For instance, does people’s favorite sport depend on their gender? Or does gender have no association with favorite sport?

Observed Counts for a $r \times c$ Contingency Table
 ($r = \#$ of rows, $c = \#$ of columns)

		<u>Column Variable</u>				
		1	2	...	c	Row Totals
Row	1	n_{11}	n_{12}	...	n_{1c}	R_1
	2	n_{21}	n_{22}	...	n_{2c}	R_2
<u>Variable</u>	\vdots	\vdots	\vdots		\vdots	\vdots
	r	n_{r1}	n_{r2}	...	n_{rc}	R_r
Col. Totals		C_1	C_2		C_c	n

Probabilities for a $r \times c$ Contingency Table:

		<u>Column Variable</u>				
		1	2	...	c	
Row	1	p_{11}	p_{12}	...	p_{1c}	$p_{\text{row } 1}$
	2	p_{21}	p_{22}	...	p_{2c}	$p_{\text{row } 2}$
<u>Variable</u>	\vdots	\vdots	\vdots		\vdots	\vdots
	r	p_{r1}	p_{r2}	...	p_{rc}	$p_{\text{row } r}$
		$p_{\text{col } 1}$	$p_{\text{col } 2}$		$p_{\text{col } c}$	1

Note: If the two classifications are independent, then:
 $p_{11} = (p_{\text{row } 1})(p_{\text{col } 1})$ and $p_{12} = (p_{\text{row } 1})(p_{\text{col } 2})$, etc.

So under the hypothesis of independence, we expect the cell probabilities to be the product of the corresponding marginal probabilities.

Hence the (estimated) expected count in cell (i, j) is simply:

χ^2 test for independence

H_0 : The classifications are independent

H_a : The classifications are dependent

Test statistic:

where the expected count in cell (i, j) is $\hat{E}(n_{ij}) = \frac{R_i C_j}{n}$

Rejection region: $\chi^2 > \chi^2_{\alpha}$,

where χ^2_{α} is based on $(r - 1)(c - 1)$ d.f.

and $r = \#$ of rows, $c = \#$ of columns.

Note: We need the sample size to be large enough that every expected cell count is at least 5.

Example: Does the incidence of heart disease depend on snoring pattern? (Test using $\alpha = .05$.) Random sample of 2484 adults taken; results given in a contingency table:

		<u>Snoring Pattern</u>			
		Never	Occasionally	\approx Every Night	
Heart Disease	Yes	24	35	51	110
	No	1355	603	416	2374
		1379	638	467	2484

Expected Cell Counts:

Test statistic: