

## **STAT 515 -- Chapter 13: Categorical Data**

**Recall we have studied binomial data, in which each trial falls into one of 2 categories (success/failure).**

**Many studies allow for more than 2 categories.**

**Example 1: Voters are asked which of 6 candidates they prefer.**

**Example 2: Residents are surveyed about which part of Columbia they live in. (Downtown, NW, NE, SW, SE)**

### **Multinomial Experiment**

**(Extension of a binomial experiment → from 2 to  $k$  possible outcomes)**

- (1) Consists of  $n$  identical trials**
- (2) There are  $k$  possible outcomes (categories) for each trial**
- (3) The probabilities for the  $k$  outcomes, denoted  $p_1, p_2, \dots, p_k$ , are the same for each trial  
(and  $p_1 + p_2 + \dots + p_k = 1$ )**
- (4) The trials are independent**

**The cell counts,  $n_1, n_2, \dots, n_k$ , which are the number of observations falling in each category, are the random variables which follow a multinomial distribution.**

## Analyzing a One-Way Table

Suppose we have a single categorical variable with  $k$  categories. The cell counts from a multinomial experiment can be arranged in a one-way table.

**Example 1:** Adults were surveyed about their favorite sport. There were 6 categories.

$p_1$  = proportion of U.S. adults favoring football

$p_2$  = proportion of U.S. adults favoring baseball

$p_3$  = proportion of U.S. adults favoring basketball

$p_4$  = proportion of U.S. adults favoring auto racing

$p_5$  = proportion of U.S. adults favoring golf

$p_6$  = proportion of U.S. adults favoring “other”

It was hypothesized that the true proportions are  $(p_1, p_2, p_3, p_4, p_5, p_6) = (.4, .1, .2, .06, .06, .18)$ .

95 adults were randomly sampled; their preferences are summarized in the one-way table:

<u>Favorite Sport</u>						
<u>Football</u>	<u>Baseball</u>	<u>Basketball</u>	<u>Auto</u>	<u>Golf</u>	<u>Other</u>	<u>n</u>
37	12	17	8	5	16	95

We test our null hypothesis (at  $\alpha = .05$ ) with the following test:

## Test for Multinomial Probabilities

$H_0: p_1 = p_{1,0}, p_2 = p_{2,0}, \dots, p_k = p_{k,0}$

$H_a$ : at least one of the hypothesized probabilities is wrong

The test statistic is:

where  $n_i$  is the observed “cell count” for category  $i$  and  $E(n_i)$  is the expected cell count for category  $i$  if  $H_0$  is true.

Rejection region:  $\chi^2 > \chi^2_\alpha$  where  $\chi^2_\alpha$  based on  $k - 1$  d.f. (large values of  $\chi^2 \Rightarrow$  observed  $n_i$  very different from expected  $E(n_i)$  under  $H_0$ )

Assumptions: (1) The data are from a multinomial experiment. (2) Every expected cell count  $E(n_i)$  is at least 5. (large-sample test)

Finding expected cell counts: Note that  $E(n_i) = np_{i,0}$ .

**For our data,**

<b>i</b>	<b><math>n_i</math></b>	<b><math>E(n_i)</math></b>
----------	-------------------------	----------------------------

**Test statistic value:**

**From Table VII:**

## **Analyzing a Two-Way Table**

**Now we consider observations that are classified according to two categorical variables.**

**Such data can be presented in a two-way table (contingency table).**

**Example: Suppose the people in the “favorite-sport” survey had been further classified by gender:**

**Two categorical variables: Gender and Favorite Sport.**

**Question: Are the two classifications independent or dependent?**

**For instance, does people’s favorite sport depend on their gender? Or does gender have no association with favorite sport?**

**Observed Counts for a  $r \times c$  Contingency Table**  
 ( $r = \#$  of rows,  $c = \#$  of columns)

		<u>Column Variable</u>				
		<u>1</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>...</u>	<u>c</u>	<u>Row Totals</u>
<b>Row</b>	<b>1</b>	$n_{11}$	$n_{12}$	...	$n_{1c}$	$r_1$
	<b>2</b>	$n_{21}$	$n_{22}$	...	$n_{2c}$	$r_2$
<u><b>Variable</b></u>	$\vdots$	$\vdots$	$\vdots$		$\vdots$	$\vdots$
	<b>r</b>	$n_{r1}$	$n_{r2}$	...	$n_{rc}$	$r_r$
<b>Col. Totals</b>		$c_1$	$c_2$		$c_c$	$n$

**Probabilities for a  $r \times c$  Contingency Table:**

		<u>Column Variable</u>				
		<u>1</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>...</u>	<u>c</u>	
<b>Row</b>	<b>1</b>	$p_{11}$	$p_{12}$	...	$p_{1c}$	$p_{\text{row } 1}$
	<b>2</b>	$p_{21}$	$p_{22}$	...	$p_{2c}$	$p_{\text{row } 2}$
<u><b>Variable</b></u>	$\vdots$	$\vdots$	$\vdots$		$\vdots$	$\vdots$
	<b>r</b>	$p_{r1}$	$p_{r2}$	...	$p_{rc}$	$p_{\text{row } r}$
		$p_{\text{col } 1}$	$p_{\text{col } 2}$		$p_{\text{col } c}$	<b>1</b>

**Note:** If the two classifications are independent, then:  
 $p_{11} = (p_{\text{row } 1})(p_{\text{col } 1})$  and  $p_{12} = (p_{\text{row } 1})(p_{\text{col } 2})$ , etc.

**So under the hypothesis of independence, we expect the cell probabilities to be the product of the corresponding marginal probabilities.**

Hence the (estimated) expected count in cell  $(i, j)$  is simply:

$\chi^2$  test for independence

$H_0$ : The classifications are independent

$H_a$ : The classifications are dependent

Test statistic:

where the expected count in cell  $(i, j)$  is  $\hat{E}(n_{ij}) = \frac{r_i c_j}{n}$

Rejection region:  $\chi^2 > \chi^2_{\alpha}$ ,

where  $\chi^2_{\alpha}$  is based on  $(r - 1)(c - 1)$  d.f.

and  $r = \#$  of rows,  $c = \#$  of columns.

**Note: We need the sample size to be large enough that every expected cell count is at least 5.**

**Example: Does the incidence of heart disease depend on snoring pattern? (Test using  $\alpha = .05$ .) Random sample of 2484 adults taken; results given in a contingency table:**

		<u>Snoring Pattern</u>		
		Never	Occasionally	$\approx$ Every Night
<b>Heart Disease</b>	<b>Yes</b>	24	35	51
	<b>No</b>	1355	603	416
		1379	638	467

**Expected Cell Counts:**

**Test statistic:**