#### Nonparametric Approaches to Regression

• In traditional nonparametric regression, we assume very little about the functional form of the mean response function.

• In particular, we assume the model

where  $m(x_i)$  is <u>unknown</u> but is typically assumed to be a <u>smooth</u>, <u>continuous</u> function.

• The  $\varepsilon_i$  are independent r.v.'s from some continuous distribution, with mean zero and variance  $\sigma^2$ .

**Goal:** Estimate the mean response function m(x).

Advantages of nonparametric regression:

• Ideal for situations when we have no prior idea of the relationship between *Y* and *X*.

• By not specifying a parametric form for m(x), we allow much more flexibility in our model.

• Our model can more easily account for unusual behavior in the data:

• Not as prone to bias in the mean response estimate resulting from choosing the wrong model form.

**Disadvantages of nonparametric regression:** 

• Not as easy to interpret.

• No easy way to describe the relationship between *Y* and *X* with a formula written on paper (this must be done with a graph).

**<u>Note</u>**: Nonparametric regression is sometimes called <u>scatterplot smoothing</u>.

• Specific nonparametric regression techniques are often called <u>smoothers</u>.

# Kernel Regression Estimates

• The idea behind kernel regression is to estimate m(x) at each value  $x^*$  along the horizontal axis.

• At each value *x*\*, the estimate is simply an

• Consider a "window' of points centered at x\*:

• The width of this window is called the \_\_\_\_\_\_.

• At each different *x*\*, the window of points \_\_\_\_\_\_ to the left or right

• Better idea: Use

• This can be done using a \_\_\_\_\_\_ function known as a <u>kernel</u>.

• Then, for any *x*\*,

where the weights

 $K(\cdot)$  is a kernel function, which typically is a <u>density</u> function symmetric about 0.

 $\lambda$  = bandwidth, which controls the <u>smoothness</u> of the estimate of m(x).

**Possible choices of kernel:** 

**Pictures:** 

Note: The Nadaraya-Watson estimator

is a modification that assures that the weights for the  $Y_i$ 's will sum to one.

• The choice of <u>bandwidth</u>  $\lambda$  is of more practical importance than the choice of kernel.

• The bandwidth controls how many data values are used to compute  $m(x^*)$  at each  $x^*$ .

Large  $\lambda \rightarrow$ 

Small  $\lambda \rightarrow$ 

Choosing λ too large results in an estimate that
 \_\_\_\_\_\_ the true nature of the relationship between Y and X.

• Choosing  $\lambda$  too small results in an estimate that follows the "noise" in the data too closely.

• Often the best choice of  $\lambda$  is made through visual inspection (pick the roughest estimate that does not fluctuate implausibly?).

• Automatic bandwidth selection methods such as <u>cross-</u> <u>validation</u> are also available – this chooses the  $\lambda$  that minimizes a mean squared prediction error:

Example on computer: The R function ksmooth performs kernel regression (see web page for examples with various kernel functions and bandwidths).

# **Spline Methods**

• A spline is a piecewise polynomial function joined <u>smoothly</u> and <u>continuously</u> at *x*-locations called <u>knots</u>.

• A popular choice to approximate a mean function m(x) is a <u>cubic regression spline</u>.

This is a piecewise cubic function whose segments' values <u>and</u> first derivatives are equal at the <u>knot locations</u>.
This results in a visually smooth looking overall function

• This results in a visually smooth-looking overall function.

• The choice of the number of knots determines the smoothness of the resulting estimate:

Few knots  $\rightarrow$ 

Many knots  $\rightarrow$ 

• We could place more knots in locations where we expect m(x) to be wiggly and fewer knots in locations where we expect m(x) to be quite smooth.

• The estimation of the <u>coefficients</u> of the cubic functions is done through least squares.

• See R examples on simulated data and Old Faithful data, which implement cubic B-splines, a computationally efficient approach to spline estimation.

• A <u>smoothing spline</u> is a cubic spline with a <u>knot</u> at <u>each</u> <u>observed</u> *x*<sub>i</sub> location.

• The coefficients of the cubic functions are chosen to minimize the penalized SSE:

 $\lambda$  is a smoothing parameter that determines the overall smoothness of the estimate.

• As  $\lambda \to 0,$  a wiggly estimate is penalized \_\_\_\_\_ and the estimated curve

• As  $\lambda \to \infty$ , a wiggly estimate is penalized \_\_\_\_\_ and the estimated curve

• See R examples on simulated data and Old Faithful data.

• Inference within nonparametric regression is still being developed, but often it involves bootstrap-type methods.

#### **Regression Trees and Random Forests**

• Trees and random forests are other modern, computationally intensive methods for regression.

• <u>Regression trees</u> are used when we have one response variable which we want to predict/explain using possibly several explanatory variables.

• The goals of the regression tree approach are the same as the goals of multiple regression:

(1) Determine which explanatory variables have a significant effect on the response.

(2) Predict a value of the response variable corresponding to specified values of the explanatory variables.

• The regression tree is a method that is more algorithm-based than model-based.

• We form a regression tree by considering possible partitions of the data into *r* regions based on the value of one of the predictors: Example:

• Calculate the mean of the responses in each region,

• Compute the sum of squared errors (SSE) for this partitioning:

• Of <u>all possible</u> ways to split the data (splitting on any predictor variables and using any splitting boundary), pick the partitioning that produces the smallest SSE.

• Continue the algorithm by making subpartitions based on the most recent partitioning.

• The result is a treelike structure subdividing the data.

• This also works well when a predictor is categorical -- we can subdivide the data based on the categories of the predictor.

• Splitting on one variable separately within partitions of another variable is essentially finding an interaction between the two variables.

• The usual regression diagnostics can be used -- if problems appear, we can try transforming the response (<u>not</u> the predictors).

• Eventually we will want to stop splitting and obtain our final tree.

• Once we obtain our final tree, we can predict the response for any observation (either in our sample, or a new observation) by following the splits (based on the observation's predictor values) until we reach a "terminal node" of the tree.

• The predicted response value is the mean response of all the sampled observations corresponding to that terminal node.

• A criterion to select the "best" tree is the cost-complexity:

• The first piece measures fit and the second piece penalizes an overly complex tree.

• Another approach to tree selection is <u>cross-validation</u>.

• We select a random subset of the data, build a tree with that subset, and use the tree to predict the responses of the remaining data.

• Then a cross-validation prediction error can be calculated: A tree with low CV error (as measured by MSPR) is preferred.

• The rpart function in the rpart package of R produces regression tree analyses.

• More (or less) complex trees may be obtained by adjusting the cp argument in the prune.rpart function.

• The cp value is directly proportional to  $\lambda$ , so a larger value of cp encourages a \_\_\_\_\_\_ tree.

• The plotop function can guide tree selection by plotting CV error against cp: We look for the elbow in the plot.

**Examples** (Boston housing data, University admissions data): A plot of the graph of the tree reveals the important variables.

• Classification Trees work similarly and are used when the response is categorical.

### **Random Forests**

• The random forest approach is an <u>ensemble</u> method -- it generates many individual predictions and aggregates them to produce a better overall method.

• As the name suggests, a random forest consists of <u>many trees</u>.

• It relies on the principle of <u>bagging</u> (bootstrap aggregating) proposed by Leo Breiman.

• Different trees are constructed using  $n_{\text{tree}}$  bootstrap resamples of the data, and the nodes are split based on random subsets of predictors, each of size  $m_{\text{try}}$ .

• In regression, prediction is done by averaging predicted response values across the predicted trees.

• The error rate is typically assessed by predicting out-of-bag (OOB) data -- the data not chosen for the bootstrap sample -- using each constructed tree.

• The randomForest function in the randomForest package in R will obtain a random forest, for either regression (continuous response) or classification (categorical response).

• It also provides a measure of which explanatory variables are most important.

• See examples on the course web page.