

## Chapter 21: Randomized Complete Block Design (RCBD)

### Blocking:

- Consider a situation in which we have one factor of interest.
- If the experimental units are not homogeneous, there may be a great deal of variation in the response values, even among those having the same level of the factor.
- This leads to a large “experimental error variance” (measured by  $\sigma^2$ ).

### Example (Chemistry experiment):

**Response:** reaction rate of chemical agent

**Factor:** Chemical agent (5 treatments)

- Only 5 chemical agents can be tested each day.
- A different technician conducts the experiment each day.
- Wide variability among technicians →

Solution: To account for variation due to technician, we treat technician as a “blocking factor”.

- We separate the experimental units into groups called blocks and then assign each treatment

**Example 2: Interested in the effect of three different teaching methods on student learning.**

**Response: Improvement on a test score**

**Treatments: 3 methods (lecture; discussion; student presentation)**

- But students will be taught by different instructors – we're not interested in the instructor effect, but it adds variability.

**Solution:**

**Example 3: Lab animals of the same species given different diets and weight gain from birth is measured.**

**Experimental Units: animals**

**Response: Weight gain**

**Treatments: diets**

**Blocks:**

**Goal: Blocks should be chosen so that units in the same block are \_\_\_\_\_ but units in different blocks are**

\_\_\_\_\_.

- When we use blocks, it reduces the unexplained variation – the

- This means there is more precision in estimating

## Model for RCBD

- Assume there are  $n_b$  blocks and  $r$  treatments.

**Equation:**

- If the treatments are fixed,
  - Often the blocks are random (they are randomly selected from a large population). In this case,
  - If the blocks are fixed, then
  - With this model, we separate the total variation in  $Y$  into three sources:
  - The fitted values for the RCBD analysis are
- and the residuals are

## ANOVA Table for RCBD

• Note that the experimental error variation is measured by the SS for block  $\times$  treatment interaction. If this interaction is significant (we can check this using Tukey's additivity test), we could

(1)

or (2)

• In the case of (2), when blocks are random we could still test for treatment effects using \_\_\_\_\_ (see p. 1063)

but we would not be able to test for block effects.

### Example (Executive data):

• Executives quantified a risk premium using 3 methods (utility, worry, comparison)

Subjects: 15 executives

Response: Degree of Confidence in Risk Premium

Treatments: the 3 Methods (U, W, C)

Blocks: Age Group of Executives (here, 5 \_\_\_\_\_ blocks)

**Randomization scheme:**

**ANOVA Table from SAS:**

- **We may test whether the mean confidence is equal for the three methods:**

- **If block effects are of interest, we could test for significant block effects by comparing**

- **SAS gives the P-value for this test.**

### **Some Model Diagnostics**

- **We may check model assumptions using**

**Example (Executive data):**

### **Further Analysis of Treatment Effects**

- **We may further analyze differences among the treatment means using contrast or multiple comparisons.**

**Example (Executive data):**

**Note: If the blocks are considered random, we still use:  
to test for significant variation across blocks.**

- **In this case we are testing:**
- **We may wish to do inference about**
- **When possible, more precise information in a RCBD can be attained (even if there is block  $\times$  treatment interaction) if we have replication within each block (i.e., each treatment is repeated  $d \geq 2$  times within each block).**
- **This is called a generalized random block design.**
- **Each block contains  $dr$  units.**
- **The analysis is identical to the two-factor ANOVA.**
- **MSE can be calculated and serves as an estimate of**
- **The denominator MS for F-tests again depends on which factors (here, blocks and treatments) are fixed or random (Table 25.6, page 1053 is again useful).**

**Example (Task Completion):**

**Response: Time to Complete Task**

**Blocks: Gender (2 levels, considered \_\_\_\_\_)**

**Treatments: Distraction Levels (2 levels, considered \_\_\_\_\_)**

## Balanced Incomplete Block Design (BIBD)

- When our resources are too limited to use a RCBD, we may use a BIBD.
- This block design is called incomplete because not all the treatments appear in each block.
- It is called balanced because each treatment appears in the same block with every other treatment the same number of times.

Example:  $r = 4$  treatments (A,B,C,D) and  $n_T = 12$  exper. units

- Suppose we can divide the units into 6 blocks.

- If we divide the units into 4 blocks, then:



- In practice, once we pick a design, we would randomly arrange the treatments within each block.

#### **Advantages of BIBD:**

- Can use block design when block size is smaller than the number of treatments.
- Same precision in estimating each treatment effect
- Scheffe and Tukey procedures can be used when the design is balanced.

#### **Disadvantages of BIBD:**

- BIBDs don't exist for every combination of number of treatments, number of blocks, and block size.  
[A list of BIBDs for selected combinations of  $r$ ,  $n_b$ , and  $r_b$  (block size) is given in Table B.15 (p. 1345-1347).]
- Must assume no treatment  $\times$  block interaction.
- Analysis is more complicated than for RCBD.
- With BIBDs, out F-tests require the Reduced vs. Full Model approach with indicators for blocks and treatments (see p. 1177-1179).
- Can do this correctly in SAS (with PROC MIXED) or in R (see examples).

#### **Example (Table 28.2 data):**

- We wish to compare mean consumer ratings (the response) across five formulations of a cereal (the treatments).
- Since there is probably variation across consumers, we use the ten consumers as the blocks.
- For quality purposes, each consumer can only rate three formulations (must use incomplete design).

**SAS example: If blocks are considered a random sample from a population of consumers, we use a**

**Example:**

- **If blocks are considered fixed, we can include them in the MODEL statement and omit the RANDOM statement.**

## Latin Square Designs

- These are efficient designs for situations in which we have two blocking factors.

Example: (Drug study)

Subjects: Patients

Response: Cholesterol Reduction

Treatments: 4 different drugs

Blocking Factors: Age Group (4 levels),  
Blood Pressure Status (Low, Medium, High, Extreme)

- For a RCBD, even if we only have 1 observation per cell, we'd need
- May be infeasible to obtain that many patients.
- A Latin Square Design is arranged so that one blocking factor is the row factor and the other blocking factor is the column factor.

In a Latin Square:

- In the example above, a Latin Square would require

**Advantages:**

(1)

(2)

**Disadvantages:**

(1)

(2)

(3)

**Randomization Scheme: For your particular value of  $r$ :**

(1)

(2)

(3)

**Example ( $r = 4$ ):**

**Example: Assessing effect of background music on bank tellers' productivity:**

**Experimental Units: Working Days for Bank Crew**

**Response: Productivity Rating**

**Treatments: Type of Music (A = Slow Vocal, B= Medium Vocal, C = Fast Vocal, D = Medium Instrumental, E= Fast Instrumental)**

**Row Factor: Week (1, 2, 3, 4, 5)**

**Column Factor: Weekday (M, Tu, W, Th, F)**

- A Latin Square design can complete the experiment in

**After Randomization, the Design is:**

**Model for Latin Square Design**

## **ANOVA table for Latin Square Model**

- **Formulas for the sums of squares given on p. 1189.**
- **To test for significant differences in mean response among the treatments, we test:**

**by comparing**

**SAS example (music data): Using  $\alpha = 0.01$ ,**

- **Specific treatment comparisons can be investigating using contrasts or multiple comparisons.**

**Music example:**

- If desired, effects of blocking factors can be tested using appropriate F-statistics from the ANOVA table.

**Model assumptions may be checked via:**

(1)

(2)

(3)

- If the treatments are random or if either blocking factor has random levels, the usual adjustments are made to the model.
- Section 28.7 discusses Latin Square designs with replication – more than one observation per cell.
- In some cases, multiple experimental units can be given the same treatment-row-column combination.
- In that case, we have replication within each cell, and we can formally test the fit of the additive (no-interaction) model using a lack-of-fit F-test (see example with Table 28.8 data).
- In other cases (such as the music/productivity experiment?), we cannot obtain multiple observations in each treatment-row-column combination.
- In that case, we can augment the experiment with more data by using multiple Latin Squares (each arrangement is selected independently).

**Note:** If the treatments in a Latin Square are factorial with two factors A and B, then the treatment SS can be decomposed as usual ( $SSTR = SSA + SSB + SSAB$ ), to assess separately the effects of factors A and B and their interaction.