

- ▶ In many cases the posterior distribution does not have a simple **recognizable** form, and so we cannot sample from it using built-in R functions like “`rgamma`”
- ▶ In this case, **Markov chain Monte Carlo** (MCMC) sampling methods are used.
- ▶ A **Markov chain** is an ordered, indexed set of random variables (a stochastic process) in which the value of each quantity depends probabilistically **only** on the previous quantity.

MCMC Methods

- ▶ Specifically, if $\{\theta^{[0]}, \theta^{[1]}, \theta^{[2]}, \dots\}$ is a Markov chain, then it has the **Markovian** property:
- ▶ For any set \mathcal{A} ,

$$P\{\theta^{[t]} \in \mathcal{A} | \theta^{[0]}, \theta^{[1]}, \dots, \theta^{[t-1]}\} = P\{\theta^{[t]} \in \mathcal{A} | \theta^{[t-1]}\}$$

- ▶ So $\theta^{[t]}$ is **conditionally independent** of all earlier values **except** the previous one.
- ▶ So the values in a Markov chain are not independent, but are “almost independent.”

Gibbs Sampling

- ▶ The **Gibbs Sampler** is a MCMC algorithm that approximates the **joint distribution** of k random quantities by sampling from each **full conditional** distribution in turn.
- ▶ **Example:** We are interested in the distribution of $\theta = (\theta_1, \theta_2, \dots, \theta_k)$. The Gibbs algorithm is:
 1. Choose initial values $\theta^{[0]} = (\theta_1^{[0]}, \theta_2^{[0]}, \dots, \theta_k^{[0]})$.
 2. Cycle through each **full** conditional distribution, sampling, for $t = 1, 2, \dots$

$$\theta_1^{[t]} \sim \pi(\theta_1 | \theta_2^{[t-1]}, \dots, \theta_k^{[t-1]})$$

$$\theta_2^{[t]} \sim \pi(\theta_2 | \theta_1^{[t]}, \theta_3^{[t-1]}, \dots, \theta_k^{[t-1]})$$

⋮

$$\theta_k^{[t]} \sim \pi(\theta_k | \theta_1^{[t]}, \theta_2^{[t]}, \dots, \theta_{k-1}^{[t]})$$

3. Repeat steps in (2) until convergence.

Gibbs Sampling

- ▶ We must be able to sample from each of the full conditional distributions to use the Gibbs Sampler.
- ▶ Note that in each step, the **most recent** value of **each** θ_j is conditioned on.
- ▶ After many cycles, the sampled values of $(\theta_1, \dots, \theta_k)$ will approximate random draws from the joint distribution of $(\theta_1, \dots, \theta_k)$.
- ▶ Then we can summarize, say, a posterior distribution of interest as before.

A Simple Gibbs Example

- ▶ **Example 2:** Testing the effectiveness of a seasonal flu shot.
- ▶ 20 individuals are given a flu shot at the start of winter.
- ▶ At the end of winter, follow up to see whether they contracted flu.

Let

$$X_i = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if shot effective (no flu)} \\ 0 & \text{if ineffective (contracted flu)} \end{cases}$$

- ▶ Suppose the 20th individual was unavailable for followup.
- ▶ Define $Y = \sum_{i=1}^{19} X_i$.

A Simple Gibbs Example

- ▶ If θ is the probability the shot is effective, then

$$p(y|\theta) = \binom{19}{y} \theta^y (1 - \theta)^{19-y}$$

- ▶ If we had the complete data (for Y **and** X_{20}), then

$$p(\theta|y, x_{20}) = \binom{20}{y + x_{20}} \theta^{y+x_{20}} (1 - \theta)^{20-y-x_{20}}$$

- ▶ If we put in “temporary” values θ^* and x_{20}^* for the unknown quantities, then

$$\begin{aligned} \theta|X_{20}^*, Y &\sim \text{beta}(Y + X_{20}^* + 1, 20 - Y - X_{20}^* + 1) \\ \text{and } X_{20}|Y, \theta^* &\sim \text{Bernoulli}(\theta^*) \end{aligned}$$

A Simple Gibbs Example

- ▶ We can repeatedly sample from these “full conditional” distributions and eventually get a sample from the joint distribution of (θ, X_{20}) .
- ▶ See R example with data.

A More Complicated Gibbs Example (Changepoint)

Example 3: (Coal Mining Disasters)

- ▶ Gill gives yearly counts of British coal mine disasters, 1851-1962.
- ▶ Relatively large counts in the early era, small counts in the later years.
- ▶ **Question:** When did the mean of the process change?
- ▶ We model the data using two Poisson distributions:
- ▶ “Early” data: $X_1, \dots, X_k | \lambda \stackrel{\text{iid}}{\sim} \text{Pois}(\lambda)$, $i = 1, \dots, k$
- ▶ “Later” data: $X_{k+1}, \dots, X_n | \phi \stackrel{\text{iid}}{\sim} \text{Pois}(\phi)$, $i = k + 1, \dots, n$
- ▶ We must estimate each Poisson mean, λ and ϕ , and **also** the “changepoint” k .

A More Complicated Gibbs Example (Changepoint)

Consider the priors:

$$\lambda \sim \text{gamma}(\alpha, \beta)$$

$$\phi \sim \text{gamma}(\gamma, \delta)$$

$$k \sim \text{discrete uniform on } \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$$

- ▶ If we believe the mean annual disaster count for early years is ≈ 4 and for later years is ≈ 0.5 , let $\alpha = 4$, $\beta = 1$, $\gamma = 1$, $\delta = 2$ be the hyperparameters.

A More Complicated Gibbs Example (Changepoint)

Then the posterior is $\pi(\lambda, \phi, k | \mathbf{x})$

$$\propto L(\lambda, \phi, k | \mathbf{x}) p(\lambda) p(\phi) p(k)$$

$$= \left[\prod_{i=1}^k \frac{e^{-\lambda} \lambda^{x_i}}{x_i!} \right] \left[\prod_{i=k+1}^n \frac{e^{-\phi} \phi^{x_i}}{x_i!} \right] \left[\frac{\beta^\alpha}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \lambda^{\alpha-1} e^{-\beta\lambda} \right] \left[\frac{\delta^\gamma}{\Gamma(\gamma)} \phi^{\gamma-1} e^{-\delta\phi} \right] \left[\frac{1}{n} \right]$$

$$\propto e^{-k\lambda} \lambda^{\sum_{i=1}^k x_i} e^{-(n-k)\phi} \phi^{\sum_{i=k+1}^n x_i} \lambda^{\alpha-1} e^{-\beta\lambda} \phi^{\gamma-1} e^{-\delta\phi}$$

$$= \lambda^{\alpha + \sum_{i=1}^k x_i - 1} e^{-(\beta+k)\lambda} \phi^{\gamma + \sum_{i=k+1}^n x_i - 1} e^{-(\delta+n-k)\phi}$$

So full conditionals are:

$$\lambda | \phi, k \sim \text{gamma}(\alpha + \sum_{i=1}^k x_i, \beta + k)$$

$$\phi | \lambda, k \sim \text{gamma}(\gamma + \sum_{i=k+1}^n x_i, \delta + n - k)$$

A More Complicated Gibbs Example (Changepoint)

To get the full conditional for k , note the joint density of the data is:

$$\begin{aligned} p(\mathbf{x}|k, \lambda, \phi) &= \left[\prod_{i=1}^k \frac{e^{-\lambda} \lambda^{x_i}}{x_i!} \right] \left[\prod_{i=k+1}^n \frac{e^{-\phi} \phi^{x_i}}{x_i!} \right] \\ &= \left[\prod_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{x_i!} \right] e^{k(\phi-\lambda)} e^{-n\phi} \lambda^{\sum_{i=1}^k x_i} \left[\prod_{i=k+1}^n \phi^{x_i} \right] \left[\frac{\prod_{i=1}^k \phi^{x_i}}{\phi^{\sum_{i=1}^k x_i}} \right] \\ &= \left[\prod_{i=1}^n \frac{e^{-\phi} \phi^{x_i}}{x_i!} \right] \left[e^{k(\phi-\lambda)} \left(\frac{\lambda}{\phi} \right)^{\sum_{i=1}^k x_i} \right] \\ &= f(\mathbf{x}, \phi) g(\mathbf{x}|k) \end{aligned}$$

A More Complicated Gibbs Example (Changepoint)

By Bayes' Law, for any particular value k^* of k ,

$$p(k^*|\mathbf{x}) = \frac{f(\mathbf{x}, \phi)g(\mathbf{x}|k^*)p(k^*)}{\sum_{k=1}^n f(\mathbf{x}, \phi)g(\mathbf{x}|k)p(k)}$$

Since $p(k) = 1/n$ (constant), we have

$$p(k^*|\mathbf{x}) = p(k^*|\mathbf{x}, \lambda, \phi) \propto \frac{g(\mathbf{x}|k^*)}{\sum_{k=1}^n g(\mathbf{x}|k)}$$

(full conditional for k)

- ▶ This ratio defines a probability vector for k that we use at each iteration to sample a value of k from $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$.
- ▶ see R example (Coal mining data)