Posterior Predictive Distribution in Regression

Example 3: In the regression setting, we have shown that the posterior predictive distribution for a new response vector \mathbf{y}^* is multivariate-t.

- ➤ To check model fit, we can generate samples from the posterior predictive distribution (letting X* = the observed sample X) and plot the values against the y-values from the original sample.
- ▶ If an observed *y_i* falls far from the center of the posterior predictive distribution, this *i*-th observation is an outlier.
- ▶ If this occurs for many *y*-values, we would doubt the adequacy of the model.
- See R example (small automobile data set).

Posterior Predictive Distribution in Regression

- ▶ We can also make predictions and "prediction intervals" for new responses with specified predictor values.
- For example, consider a new observation with predictor variable values in the vector $\mathbf{x}^* = (1, x_1^*, x_2^*, \dots, x_{k-1}^*)$ (or the predictor values for several new observations could be contained in the matrix \mathbf{X}^*).
- ▶ We can generate the posterior predictive distribution with X* and compute the posterior median (for a point prediction) or posterior quantiles (for a prediction interval).
- ► See R example.

CHAPTER 7 SLIDES START HERE

Issues with Classical Hypothesis Testing

- ▶ Recall that classical hypothesis testing emphasizes the p-value: The probability (under H₀) that a test statistic would take a value as (or more) favorable to H_a as the observed value of this test statistic.
- ▶ For example, given iid data $\mathbf{x} = x_1, \dots, x_n$ from $f(\mathbf{x}|\theta)$, where $-\infty < \theta < \infty$, we might test $H_0: \theta \leq 0$ vs. $H_a: \theta > 0$ using some test statistic $T(\mathbf{X})$ (a function of the data).
- ▶ Then if we calculated $T(\mathbf{x}) = T^*$ for our observed data \mathbf{x} , the p-value would be:

p-value =
$$P[T(\mathbf{X}) \geq T^* | \theta = 0]$$

= $\int\limits_{T^*}^{\infty} f_T(t | \theta = 0) \, \mathrm{d}t$

where $f_T(t|\theta)$ is the distribution (density) of $T(\mathbf{X})$.

Issues with Classical Hypothesis Testing

- ► This p-value is an average over T values (and thus sample values) that have not occurred and are unlikely to occur.
- Since the inference is based on "hypothetical" data rather than only the observed data, it violates the Likelihood Principle.
- Also, the idea of conducting many repeated tests that motivate "Type I error" and "Type II error" probabilities is not sensible in situations where our study is not repeatable.

The Bayesian Approach

- ightharpoonup A simple approach to testing finds the posterior probabilities that θ falls in the null and alternative regions.
- \blacktriangleright We first consider one-sided tests about θ of the form:

$$H_0: \theta \leq c$$
 vs. $H_a: \theta > c$

for some constant c, where $-\infty < \theta < \infty$.

 \blacktriangleright We may specify prior probabilities for θ such that

$$p_0 = P[-\infty < \theta \le c] = P[\theta \in \Theta_0]$$

and

$$p_1 = 1 - p_0 = P[c < \theta < \infty] = P[\theta \notin \Theta_0]$$

where Θ_0 is the set of θ -values such that H_0 is true.

The Bayesian Approach

▶ Then the **posterior probability** that H_0 is true is:

$$P[\theta \in \Theta_0 | \mathbf{x}] = \int_{-\infty}^{c} p(\theta | \mathbf{x}) d\theta$$

$$= \frac{\int_{-\infty}^{c} p(\mathbf{x} | \theta) p_0 d\theta}{\int_{-\infty}^{c} p(\mathbf{x} | \theta) p_0 d\theta + \int_{c}^{\infty} p(\mathbf{x} | \theta) p_1 d\theta}$$

by Bayes' Law (note the denominator is the marginal distribution of ${\bf X}$).

The Bayesian Approach

► Commonly, we might choose an uninformative prior specification in which $p_0 = p_1 = 1/2$, in which case $P[\theta \in \Theta_0 | \mathbf{x}]$ simplifies to

$$\frac{\int\limits_{-\infty}^{c} p(\mathbf{x}|\theta) p_0 \, d\theta}{\int\limits_{-\infty}^{\infty} p(\mathbf{x}|\theta) p_0 \, d\theta} = \frac{\int\limits_{-\infty}^{c} p(\mathbf{x}|\theta) \, d\theta}{\int\limits_{-\infty}^{\infty} p(\mathbf{x}|\theta) \, d\theta}$$

Hypothesis Testing Example

- ► Example 1 (Coal mining strike data): Let Y = number of strikes in a sequence of strikes before the cessation of the series.
- ▶ Gill lists $Y_1, ..., Y_{11}$ for 11 such sequences in France.
- ➤ The Poisson model would be natural, but for these data, the variance greatly exceeds the mean.
- We choose a geometric(θ) model

$$f(y|\theta) = \theta(1-\theta)^y$$

where θ is the probability of cessation of the strike sequence, and y_i = number of strikes before cessation.

Exercise: Show that the Jeffreys prior for θ is $p(\theta) = \theta^{-1}(1-\theta)^{-1/2}$. We will use this as our prior.

Hypothesis Testing Example

So the posterior is:

$$\pi(\theta|\mathbf{y}) \propto L(\theta|\mathbf{y})\rho(\theta)$$

$$= \theta^{n}(1-\theta)^{\sum y_{i}}\theta^{-1}(1-\theta)^{-1/2}$$

$$= \theta^{n-1}(1-\theta)^{\sum y_{i}-1/2}$$

which is a beta $(n, \sum y_i + 1/2)$ distribution.

- ▶ We will test $H_0: \theta \le 0.05$ vs. $H_a: \theta > 0.05$.
- ► Then $P[\theta \le 0.05 | \mathbf{y}] = \int_{0}^{0.05} \pi(\theta | \mathbf{y}) \, \mathrm{d}\theta$, which is the area to the left of 0.05 in the beta $(n, \sum y_i + 1/2)$ density.
- This can be found directly (or via Monte Carlo methods).
- See R example with coal mining strike data.