Measurement

- *Example 1:* A local health club is doing a survey to see if there is a relationship between strength and fitness.
- They want to measure the strength and fitness of a sample of 100 members of the club.
- Which of these two attributes do you think will be easier for them to measure?

Measurement (Continued)

- Example 2: A study on customer service found there were more customer complaints registered at a large local grocery store in the past year than at a small local market.
- Is it fair to conclude that the local market had better customer service?
- What would be a fairer way to present the numbers?

- *Measurement*: Assigning a number to represent some property or characteristic.
- We must be aware of the *units of measurement* (1999 Mars Climate Orbiter example).
- What is the correct definition of our variable of interest?
- Knowing this will help us make the right *measurement*.

- Example 3: Bureau of Labor Statistics calculates unemployment rate.
- This rate defined as:

(# of people unemployed) / (# of people in labor force)

- What does it mean to be unemployed?
- What does it mean to be in labor force?
- What about workers on temporary strike?
- What about people without a job but not actively looking for one?
 People too discouraged to look?

How would the unemployment rate probably be measured?

- A. Interviews with a random sample of employers.
- B. Interviews of a random sample of U.S. adults.
- C. Reviews of U.S. tax returns filed in the previous year.

What could cause bias or variation in the measurement of the unemployment rate?

- A. Response error
- **B.** Changes in the wording of the questionnaire
- C. Nonresponse
- D. All of the above
- E. None of the above

Validity

- A variable is a *valid* measure of a characteristic if it is relevant or appropriately represents that property.
- *Example 1:* Would "body weight" be a valid measure of strength? Would "bodyfat percentage"?
- Would either of these be a valid measure of fitness?
- Would performances of certain exercises be more valid measures of strength and/or fitness?

Rates vs. Counts

- A *rate* is given as a fraction, proportion or percentage.
- A rate measures how regularly something occurred.
- It is often more useful than a raw *count* of the number of times something occurred.
- Recall customer complaint example: Variable studied was number of complaints in a year.
- What would be a more appropriate measure to compare levels of customer dissatisfaction?

Based on the concepts of rates and counts, which is the LEAST valid measure of annual highway safety?

- A. Yearly rate of deaths per accident
- **B.** Yearly rate of accidents per licensed driver
- C. Yearly rate of deaths per total mile traveled
- **D.** Number of accidents in a year

A study found that in a particular month, there were 30 accidents from driver fatigue between 5 p.m. and 6 p.m. and 25 accidents from driver fatigue between 1 p.m. and 2 p.m. Which conclusion is most certain to be true?

- A. Drivers are more tired in the late afternoon.
- B. More cars are on the road in the late afternoon.
- C. People drive faster in the late afternoon.
- D. Traffic lights work poorly in the late afternoon.

Predictive Validity

- A measurement has good *predictive validity* if it can be used to predict success on relevant tasks.
- Do IQ tests measure intelligence? What do we mean by intelligence?
- Does the SAT score of a student predict college success well?
- Do high school grades predict college success better?
- Statistical methods can answer the last two questions using sample data.

Errors in Measurement

- The measured value we get is not always the "truth."
- If a measurement process has any systematic overstatement or understatement of the truth, this is called *bias*.
- If the measured value tends to fluctuate randomly when repeated measurements are taken on the same individual, this is *random error*.
- So actually, the measured value = true value + bias + random error.
- *Example:* Timing a 100-meter dash by hand. Bias? Random Error?
- A process with very small random error is called *reliable*.

Errors in Measurement (continued)

- Ideally we want a measurement with no bias and very little random error.
- The only way to reduce *bias* is to get a better measuring *instrument*.
- We can reduce *random error* by using the average of many repeated individual measurements.
- Bureau of Weights and Measures averages the times from 200 atomic clocks to get a very reliable measurement of time.
- What if ordinary wall clocks were used instead of atomic clocks?

The website IMDB.com tries to determine the quality of films by allowing a large number of viewers choose to log on and rate the movies and averaging the ratings of each movie. This measurement process likely has:

- A. High bias and good reliability.
- B. Low bias and good reliability.
- C. High bias and poor reliability.
- D. Low bias and poor reliability.

Psychological Studies

- Measuring personality traits like "optimism" or "authoritarian personality" is difficult to do objectively.
- Often this is done via long personality questionnaires (Myers-Briggs)
- Items rated on a scale of "Strongly Agree" to "Strongly Disagree" (Likert)
- How well to these answers reflect underlying personality traits?
- Understanding the properties of the measurements is an important aspect in psychological studies.