



$$E[Y^* - \hat{Y}^*] =$$

$$\text{And } V[Y^* - \hat{Y}^*] =$$

- Similarly to past arguments,

and replacing  $\sigma^2$  by its estimator MSE,

Hence

Isolating  $y^*$  in the middle with algebra, we get a  $100(1-\alpha)\%$  prediction interval for  $y^*$  corresponding to  $x = x^*$ :

Note: This is almost equivalent to the CI formula for  $E(y)$  at  $x^*$ , except for the extra "1+" in the standard error part.

- Thus a  $100(1-\alpha)\%$  CI for  $E(y)$  and a  $100(1-\alpha)\%$  PI for  $y^*$ , for the same  $x^*$ , will have the same

\_\_\_\_\_ but the PI will be

\_\_\_\_\_.

Example 1 again: Find a 90% prediction interval for the 20-km race time of a skier with time to exhaustion of  $10\frac{1}{2}$  minutes.

## 11.10 Matrix Approach to Linear Regression

- We have focused on simple linear regression (one  $x$  variable).
- When there is more than one  $x$  variable, this is multiple linear regression (MLR).

- The estimation results must be expressed using matrix notation.

## General MLR Model

- Here,  $X_{ij}$  is the value of the  $j$ -th independent variable for the  $i$ -th observation.
- This model may be expressed by the matrix equation:

where

- The  $(k+1) \times 1$  vector of least squares estimates is thus:

Result: In MLR, the least-squares estimator of  $\beta$  is given by the formula:

Proof (only for  $k=1$  case):

Note that  $\hat{\beta} = \begin{bmatrix} \hat{\beta}_0 \\ \hat{\beta}_1 \end{bmatrix}$  and if we set  $(\tilde{X}'\tilde{X})\hat{\beta} = \tilde{X}'\tilde{y}$  to be the least-squares equations, we get

which are exactly the least-squares equations we derived via differentiation in Sec. 11.3.

- Solving this matrix equation for  $\hat{\beta}$  gives

- We will not prove it, but this formula holds true for any value of  $k$ .

Example 1: Let  $Y =$  graduation rate (in %) of a sample of 22 small colleges.

$X_1 =$  median SAT score of accepted applicants

$X_2 =$  per capita student expenses (in \$1000s)

- See course web page for data and calculations:

Example 2: Fit a quadratic regression model to the ski-racer data (Sec. 11.3 notes).

- Note

- Is the quadratic model needed, or is the linear regression sufficient? We could do inference about \_\_\_\_\_.

- We have seen that in the SLR setting,

$$\underline{X}' \underline{X} =$$

- It can be shown fairly easily that

- These are the same expressions as we derived for:

- In general in MLR, variances and covariances of the  $\hat{\beta}_i$ 's will involve elements of the  $(\underline{X}' \underline{X})^{-1}$  matrix.