# Chapter 8: Canonical Correlation Analysis and Multivariate Regression

- We now will look at methods of investigating the association between sets of variables.
- When exactly two variables are measured on each individual, we might study the association between the two variables via *correlation analysis* or *simple linear regression analysis*.
- When one *response* (or *dependent*) variable and several *explanatory* variables (a.k.a. *independent variables* or *predictors*) are observed for each individual, then the method of *multiple linear regression analysis* could be used to study the relationship between the response and the predictors.

# **Canonical Correlation Analysis and Multivariate Regression**

- In this chapter, we consider having two *sets* of variables, say, one set  $X_1, \ldots, X_{q_1}$ and another set  $Y_1, \ldots, Y_{q_2}$ .
- When one set is considered "response variables" and the other set is considered "predictor variables", then we could use *multivariate regression*.
- When there is not a clear response-predictor relationship, we could use *canonical correlation analysis* (CCA) to analyze the associations.

# **Canonical Correlation Analysis (CCA)**

- In CCA, we wish to characterize distinct statistical relationships between a set of  $q_1$  variables and another set of  $q_2$  variables.
- For example, we may have a set of "aptitude variables" and a set of "achievement variables" for a sample of individuals.
- Another example: We may have a set of "job duty variables" and a set of "job satisfaction variables" for a sample of employees.
- Another example: We may have a set of "head measurements" and a set of "body measurements" for a sample of individuals or animals.
- How are the sets associated?

### The CCA Approach

- While the  $(q_1 + q_2) \times (q_1 + q_2)$  correlation matrix contains the sample correlations between *all pairs* of variables, it does not directly tell us about within-set associations and between-set associations.
- Let the first set of variables be denoted as  $\mathbf{x} = x_1, \dots, x_{q_1}$  and the second set be denoted as  $\mathbf{y} = y_1, \dots, y_{q_2}$ .
- We will seek the linear combination of the *x* variables and the linear combination of the *y* variables that are most highly correlated.
- After that, we will seek other linear combinations of the *x*'s and *y*'s that have high correlations.
- We want each pair of combinations to tell us something distinct, so we require that the combinations be mutually uncorrelated with the rest *except for their "partner" combination!*

# **Mathematics Behind CCA**

- Step 1: Choose  $u_1 = \mathbf{a}'_1 \mathbf{x} = a_{11}x_1 + a_{21}x_2 + \dots + a_{q_11}x_{q_1}$  and  $v_1 = \mathbf{b}'_1 \mathbf{y} = b_{11}y_1 + b_{21}y_2 + \dots + b_{q_21}y_{q_2}$  such that  $R_1 = corr(u_1, v_1)$  is greater than the correlation between any other linear combinations of the *x*'s and *y*'s.
- Step 2: Choose  $u_2 = \mathbf{a}_2' \mathbf{x} = a_{12}x_1 + a_{22}x_2 + \dots + a_{q_12}x_{q_1}$  and  $v_2 = \mathbf{b}_2' \mathbf{y} = b_{12}y_1 + b_{22}y_2 + \dots + b_{q_22}y_{q_2}$  such that  $R_2 = corr(u_2, v_2)$  is as large as possible, subject to the restrictions on the next slide.
- We can continue doing this for *s* steps, getting *s* pairs of linear combinations, where  $s = \min(q_1, q_2)$ .
- In practice, we may focus on a smaller number of pairs of linear combinations than *s*.

# **Restrictions on the Linear Combinations**

- We place the following restrictions on the possible linear combinations:
  - 1.  $cov(u_i, u_j) = 0$  for all  $i \neq j$  (the  $u_i$ 's are all uncorrelated)
  - 2.  $cov(v_i, v_j) = 0$  for all  $i \neq j$  (the  $v_i$ 's are all uncorrelated)
  - 3.  $cov(u_i, v_j) = 0$  for all  $i \neq j$  (the  $u_i$  is uncorrelated with all  $v_j$  except  $v_i$ )
  - 4.  $R_1 > R_2 > \cdots > R_s$  (the earlier pairs of linear combinations have the higher correlations)
- The linear combinations  $(u_1, v_1), \ldots, (u_s, v_s)$  are called the *canonical variates*.
- The correlations  $R_1, R_2, \ldots, R_s$  between the canonical variates are called the *canonical correlations*.

# **Decomposition of the Full Sample Correlation Matrix**

• If we arrange all  $q_1 + q_2$  variables into one combined data set in the order  $x_1, \ldots, x_{q_1}, y_1, \ldots, y_{q_2}$ , then we could write the full sample correlation matrix as

$$\mathbf{R} = egin{pmatrix} \mathbf{R}_{11} & \mid & \mathbf{R}_{12} \ \hline \mathbf{R}_{21} & \mid & \mathbf{R}_{22} \end{pmatrix}$$

- Here,  $\mathbf{R}_{11}$  is the  $q_1 \times q_1$  sample correlation matrix of the first set of variables (the x's) alone.
- $\mathbf{R}_{22}$  is the  $q_2 \times q_2$  sample correlation matrix of the second set of variables (the *y*'s) alone.
- $\mathbf{R}_{12}$  is the  $q_1 \times q_2$  matrix of correlations between the *x*'s and the *y*'s.
- Note that  $\mathbf{R}_{21} = \mathbf{R}_{12}^{'}$ , i.e., the transpose of  $\mathbf{R}_{12}$ .

# **Coefficients of the Linear Combinations**

- The vectors  $\mathbf{a}_i$  and  $\mathbf{b}_i$  (i = 1, ..., s) that contain the coefficients of the s pairs of linear combinations can be derived from  $\mathbf{R}_{11}, \mathbf{R}_{12}, \mathbf{R}_{22}$ .
- The vectors  $\mathbf{a}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{a}_s$  are the eigenvectors of the  $q_1 \times q_1$  matrix  $\mathbf{E}_1 = \mathbf{R}_{11}^{-1} \mathbf{R}_{12} \mathbf{R}_{22}^{-1} \mathbf{R}_{21}$ .
- The vectors  $\mathbf{b}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{b}_s$  are the eigenvectors of the  $q_2 \times q_2$  matrix  $\mathbf{E}_2 = \mathbf{R}_{22}^{-1} \mathbf{R}_{21} \mathbf{R}_{11}^{-1} \mathbf{R}_{12}$ .
- The canonical correlations  $R_1, R_2, \ldots, R_s$  are the square roots of the (nonzero) eigenvalues of either  $E_1$  or  $E_2$ .

### **Interpreting the Canonical Variables and Correlations**

- The canonical correlations  $R_1, R_2, \ldots, R_s$  represent the associations between the set of x's and the set of y's after the within-set correlations have been removed.
- Canonical variables are typically somewhat artificial, being combinations of possibly disparate variables.
- Thus they do not typically have meaningful units of measurement.
- It is common to *standardize* all the variables before performing the CCA.
- We may interpret the coefficients of the canonical variables similarly to how we interpret the coefficients of principal components.
- Understanding which variables "load heavily" on the various  $u_i$ 's and  $v_i$ 's can help us describe the associations between the sets of variables.

# **Other Facts About CCA**

- There is a relationship between multiple discriminant function analysis and CCA.
- Suppose X is a data matrix with several variables and G is a matrix of indicators assigning each individual to one of several groups.
- Then if we perform a CCA to investigate the association between X and G, we obtain the linear discriminant functions as the result (Mardia et al., 1979).
- The *i*-th **squared** canonical correlation is the proportion of the variance of  $u_i$  explained by  $y_1, \ldots, y_{q_2}$ .
- It is also the proportion of the variance of  $v_i$  explained by  $x_1, \ldots, x_{q_1}$ .
- The largest **squared** canonical correlation,  $R_1^2$ , is sometimes used to measure "set overlap."

# Inference in CCA

- It may be of interest to formally test whether the canonical correlations are significantly different from zero.
- Problems 8.3 and 8.4 of the textbook outline (likelihood-ratio-based)  $\chi^2$  tests proposed by Bartlett.
- The first of these tests  $H_0$ : All (population) canonical correlations are zero vs.  $H_a$ : At least one canonical correlation significantly differs from zero.
- If  $H_0$  is rejected, then Bartlett proposes a sequence of procedures that test whether the second-largest canonical correlation significantly differs from zero, then the thirdlargest, etc.

# **Inference in CCA (Continued)**

- In R and SAS we can implement a nearly equivalent series of (likelihood-ratiobased) F-tests (due to Rao) that test the null hypothesis that the current (population) canonical correlation and all smaller ones are zero.
- We judge each canonical correlation (taken from largest to smallest) to be significant if its accompanying P-value is small enough.
- Once a nonsignificant P-value is obtained, that canonical correlation (and all smaller ones) are judged not significantly different from zero.
- Note that the overall family significance level of this series of sequential tests cannot easily be determined, so we should use the procedure as a rough guideline.
- This procedure is appropriate for large samples from an approximately multivariate normal population.

# **Multivariate Regression**

- In *multivariate regression* we wish to predict or explain a set of r response (or dependent) variables  $Y_1, \ldots, Y_r$  via a set of p predictor (or independent) variables  $X_1, \ldots, X_p$ .
- For example, the military may have several outcome variables that can be measured for enlistees.
- These outcome variables may be related to predictor variables (such as scores on physical tests and/or intelligence tests) through a multivariate regression model.
- The multivariate regression model extends the multiple regression model to the situation in which there are several different response variables.

#### The Multivariate Regression Model

• The ordinary *multiple linear regression* model equation can be written in matrixvector form as

$$\mathbf{Y} = \mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{eta} + \boldsymbol{\epsilon}$$

where  $\mathbf{Y}$  and  $\boldsymbol{\epsilon}$  are  $n \times 1$  vectors,  $\mathbf{X}$  is a matrix containing the observed values of the predictor variables (plus a column of 1's), and  $\boldsymbol{\beta}$  is a vector containing the regression coefficients.

• The *multivariate linear regression* model equation can be written similarly:

$$\mathbf{Y} = \mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{eta} + \boldsymbol{\epsilon}$$

• Here, Y and  $\epsilon$  are  $n \times r$  matrices, X is still an  $n \times (p+1)$  matrix containing the observed values of the predictor variables (plus a column of 1's), and  $\beta$  is now a  $(p+1) \times r$  matrix containing the regression coefficients.

# **Further Explanation of the Multivariate Regression Model**

- The n rows of  $\mathbf{Y}$  correspond to the n different individuals.
- The r columns of  $\mathbf{Y}$  correspond to the r different response variables.
- Note that the first row of  $\beta$  is a row of intercept terms corresponding to the r response variables.
- Then the (i + 1, j) entry of  $\beta$  measures the marginal effect of the *i*-th predictor variable on the *j*-th response variable.

# The Multivariate Regression Model Assumptions

- We assume that all of the nr elements of  $\epsilon$  have mean 0.
- Any single row of  $\epsilon$  has covariance matrix  $\Sigma$  (generally non-diagonal).
- This implies that the response variables *within an individual* multivariate observation may be correlated.
- However, we also assume that response values from different individuals are uncorrelated.
- For doing inference about the multivariate regression model, we further assume that each column of  $\epsilon$  has a multivariate normal distribution.

## **Fitting the Multivariate Regression Model**

- We can fit the multivariate regression model using least squares, analogously to multiple linear regression.
- The matrix of estimated regression coefficients  $\hat{m{eta}}_{LS}$  is found by:

$$\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_{LS} = (\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X})^{-1}\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{Y}$$

- This choice of estimated coefficients  $\hat{\beta}_{LS}$  is the value of  $\hat{\beta}$  that minimizes  $tr[(\mathbf{Y} \mathbf{X}\hat{\beta})'(\mathbf{Y} \mathbf{X}\hat{\beta})].$
- From now on, we will typically drop the LS subscript and simply refer to the least-squares estimate of  $\beta$  as  $\hat{\beta}$ .

### More on the Fitted Multivariate Regression Model

- Computationally,  $\hat{\beta}$  may be found by computing separate least-squares multiple regression equations for each of the r response variables.
- We then combine the resulting vectors of regression estimates into a matrix  $\hat{m{eta}}$ .
- The matrix of fitted response values (containing the "predicted" response vectors for the observed individuals) is  $\hat{\mathbf{Y}} = \mathbf{X}\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}$ .
- The matrix of residual values is simply  $Y \hat{Y}$ .
- The multivariate regression model can be estimated in R with the lm function and in SAS with PROC REG (or PROC GLM).

# Inference in the Multivariate Regression Model

- If the error vectors have a multivariate normal distribution, then  $\hat{\beta}$  is the maximum likelihood estimator of  $\beta$  and each column of  $\hat{\beta}$  has a multivariate normal sampling distribution.
- We can use these facts to make various inferences about the regression model.
- For example, we may wish to test whether one (or some) of the predictor variables are not related to the set of response variables.
- To test whether the *i*-th predictor is related to the set of response variables, we test whether the *i*-th row of  $\beta$  equals the zero vector.
- This can be done with a likelihood-ratio test (either a  $\chi^2$  test or an F-test).

#### More Inference in the Multivariate Regression Model

- Furthermore, we may we may wish to test whether a set of several predictor variables is not related to the set of response variables.
- For example, label the predictors as  $X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_p$ . We can test whether, say, only the first  $p_1$  of the predictors are related to the set of response variables, and the last  $p p_1$  are useless in predicting the set of response variables.
- For this type of test, we can decompose the  $\beta$  matrix into 2 pieces:

$$oldsymbol{eta} = \left( egin{array}{c} oldsymbol{eta}_{(1)} \ \hline oldsymbol{eta}_{(2)} \end{array} 
ight)$$

where  $\beta_{(1)}$  contains the first  $p_1 + 1$  rows of  $\beta$  and  $\beta_{(2)}$  contains the last  $p - p_1$  rows of  $\beta$ .

- We test  $H_0: m{eta}_{(2)} = m{0}$ , where  $m{0}$  here is a matrix (the same size as  $m{eta}_{(2)}$ ) of zeroes.
- Of course, if the predictors we want to test about are not the last few, we simply pick out the appropriate rows of  $\beta$  and test whether those rows all equal the zero vector.

# Test Statistic for Testing Hypotheses Involving eta

- Whether we want to test that *one* predictor, *some* predictors, or *all* predictors is/are not related to the set of responses, we can use a likelihood ratio approach.
- The test statistic is based on the discrepancy between  $E_{\it full}$  and  $E_{\it reduced}$ .
- $\mathbf{E}_{full}$  is the matrix of sums of squares and cross products of residuals for the full model (containing all the predictor variables) and  $\mathbf{E}_{reduced}$  is that matrix for the reduced model (without the predictor(s) are are testing about).
- Under  $H_0$ , for large samples, the test statistic

$$-[n-p-1-0.5(r-p+p_1+1)]\ln\left(\frac{|\mathbf{E}_{full}|}{|\mathbf{E}_{reduced}|}\right)$$

has an approximate  $\chi^2$  distribution with  $r(p-p_1)$  degrees of freedom, so a  $\chi^2$  test can be done.

 A similar test statistic has an approximate F-distribution, so an essentially equivalent F-test will test these hypotheses.

# **Prediction Ellipsoids in Multivariate Regression**

- Suppose we have a new individual whose values of the predictor variables are known but whose values for the response variables are not available (yet).
- A point prediction of  $[Y_1, Y_2, \ldots, Y_r]$  for this individual is simply  $\mathbf{x}'_0 \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}$ , where  $\mathbf{x}'_0 = [1, x_{10}, \ldots, x_{p0}]$  contains the known values of the predictor variables for that individual.
- An *r*-dimensional  $100(1 \alpha)\%$  prediction ellipsoid can be constructed based on the F-distribution (see Johnson and Wichern, 2002, pp. 395-396 for details).
- These ellipsoids are 2-D ellipses when there are r = 2 response variables, and they can be plotted fairly easily in R.

### **Confidence Ellipsoids in Multivariate Regression**

- Also, we may wish to estimate the mean response vector  $[E(Y_1), E(Y_2), \dots, E(Y_r)]$ corresponding to the values  $\mathbf{x}'_0 = [1, x_{10}, \dots, x_{p0}]$  of the predictor variables.
- The point estimate of  $[E(Y_1), E(Y_2), \dots, E(Y_r)]$  is again  $\mathbf{x}'_0 \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}$ .
- An *r*-dimensional  $100(1 \alpha)\%$  confidence ellipsoid for the mean response vector can be constructed based on the F-distribution.
- For a given x<sub>0</sub>, the confidence ellipsoid for the mean response vector will always be tighter than the corresponding prediction ellipsoid for the response vector of a new individual.

## **Checking Model Assumptions in Multivariate Regression**

- The model assumptions should be checked in multivariate regression using techniques similar to those used in simple linear regression or multiple linear regression.
- To check the normality of the error terms, a normal Q-Q plot of the residual vectors  $\epsilon_1, \ldots, \epsilon_r$  for each response variable can be examined.
- For each response variable, the residual vector can be plotted against the vector of fitted values to look for outliers or unusual patterns.
- Transformations of one or more response variables may be tried if violations of the model assumptions are apparent.