1. Suppose  $Y_1, Y_2, ..., Y_n$  is an iid sample from a Poisson population distribution with mean  $\lambda > 0$ . The Central Limit Theorem (Chapter 7) assures us that

$$\frac{\overline{Y} - \lambda}{\sqrt{\frac{\lambda}{n}}} \xrightarrow{d} \mathcal{N}(0, 1), \quad \text{as } n \to \infty.$$

(a) Carefully argue that

$$\frac{\overline{Y} - \lambda}{\sqrt{\frac{\overline{Y}}{n}}}$$
 and  $\frac{\overline{Y} - \lambda}{\sqrt{\frac{S^2}{n}}}$ 

also converge in distribution to  $\mathcal{N}(0,1)$ , as  $n \to \infty$ . *Hint:* Argue that  $\overline{Y}$  and  $S^2$  are both consistent estimators of  $\lambda$  and then use Slutsky's Theorem.

(b) Derive large-sample  $100(1 - \alpha)\%$  confidence intervals for  $\lambda$  using each quantity in part (a). Note that each one is a large-sample pivot.

(c) Suppose n = 100,  $\lambda = 10$ , and you calculate

$$\frac{Y-10}{\sqrt{\frac{\overline{Y}}{100}}}.$$

Between what two values would you expect this statistic to fall with probability approximately equal to 0.95? What might you conclude if it fell well outside this range?

2. Suppose  $Y_1, Y_2, ..., Y_n$  is an iid sample from a Bernoulli(p) population distribution, where 0 . The population pmf is

$$p_Y(y|p) = \begin{cases} p^y (1-p)^{1-y}, & y = 0, 1 \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

(a) Prove that

$$\widehat{p} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} Y_i$$

is the maximum likelihood estimator (MLE) of p.(b) Use the large-sample properties of MLEs to show that

$$\widehat{p} \sim \mathcal{AN}\left(p, \frac{p(1-p)}{n}\right),$$

for large n. Note this is the same result you would get by applying the CLT directly.

Delta Method. Suppose  $Y_1, Y_2, ..., Y_n$  is an iid sample from a population distribution described by  $p_Y(y|\theta)$  or  $f_Y(y|\theta)$ , and suppose  $\hat{\theta}$  is the MLE for  $\theta$ . Under certain regularity conditions, we know

$$\frac{\overline{\theta} - \theta}{\sqrt{\frac{v(\theta)}{n}}} \xrightarrow{d} \mathcal{N}(0, 1),$$

as  $n \to \infty$ , where

$$v(\theta) = \frac{1}{E\left[-\frac{\partial^2}{\partial \theta^2} \ln p_Y(Y|\theta)\right]} \quad \text{(discrete case)}$$
$$v(\theta) = \frac{1}{E\left[-\frac{\partial^2}{\partial \theta^2} \ln f_Y(Y|\theta)\right]} \quad \text{(continuous case)}.$$

This means MLEs are asymptotically normal. The Delta Method says that functions of MLEs are also asymptotically normal. Specifically, suppose g is a continuous and differentiable function. Then,

$$\frac{g(\widehat{\theta}) - g(\theta)}{\sqrt{\frac{[g'(\theta)]^2 v(\theta)}{n}}} \xrightarrow{d} \mathcal{N}(0, 1),$$

as  $n \to \infty$ , where  $g'(\theta) = \partial g(\theta) / \partial \theta$ . To see why this is true, let's sketch a proof. First, write

 $g(\widehat{\theta}) = g(\theta) + g'(\theta)(\widehat{\theta} - \theta) + \text{higher order terms}$ 

using a Taylor series expansion. After rearranging and multiplying by  $\sqrt{n}$ , we have

$$\sqrt{n}[g(\widehat{\theta}) - g(\theta)] \approx g'(\theta) \sqrt{n}(\widehat{\theta} - \theta).$$

The term  $\sqrt{n}(\hat{\theta} - \theta)$  converges in distribution to  $\mathcal{N}(0, v(\theta))$  by assumption and hence  $g'(\theta)\sqrt{n}(\hat{\theta} - \theta)$  converges in distribution to

$$\mathcal{N}\left(0, [g'(\theta)]^2 v(\theta)\right).$$

Because all higher order terms (even when multiplied by  $\sqrt{n}$ ) converge in probability to zero, Slutsky's Theorem gives

$$\sqrt{n}[g(\widehat{\theta}) - g(\theta)] \xrightarrow{d} \mathcal{N}\left(0, [g'(\theta)]^2 v(\theta)\right) \iff \frac{g(\widehat{\theta}) - g(\theta)}{\sqrt{\frac{[g'(\theta)]^2 v(\theta)}{n}}} \xrightarrow{d} \mathcal{N}(0, 1),$$

or, in other words,

$$g(\widehat{\theta}) \sim \mathcal{AN}\left(g(\theta), \ \frac{[g'(\theta)]^2 v(\theta)}{n}\right),$$

for large n.

3. In Problem 2, use the Delta Method to show

$$\frac{\widehat{p}}{1-\widehat{p}} \sim \mathcal{AN}\left(\frac{p}{1-p}, \frac{p}{n(1-p)^3}\right),$$

for large n. The statistic

$$\frac{\widehat{p}}{1-\widehat{p}}$$

is called the sample odds. Hint: Work with g(p) = p/(1-p).

- 4. Suppose  $Y_1, Y_2, ..., Y_n$  is an iid sample from an exponential distribution with mean  $\beta > 0$ .
- (a) Find the MLE of  $\beta$  and derive its large-sample distribution.
- (b) Find the MLE of  $\beta^2$  and derive its large-sample distribution.

(c) Find the MLE of  $P(Y > 1) = 1 - e^{-1/\beta}$  and derive its large-sample distribution.

5. Suppose  $X_1, X_2, ..., X_n$  is an iid  $\mathcal{N}(\mu, c^2 \mu^2)$  sample, where  $c^2$  is known. Let  $\tilde{\mu}$  and  $\hat{\mu}$  denote the method of moments and maximum likelihood estimators of  $\mu$ , respectively. (a) Show that

$$\widetilde{\mu} = \overline{X} \quad \text{and} \quad \widehat{\mu} = \frac{\sqrt{\overline{X}^2 + 4c^2 m_2'} - \overline{X}}{2c^2},$$

where  $m'_2 = n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i^2$  is the second sample moment. (b) Prove that both estimators  $\tilde{\mu}$  and  $\hat{\mu}$  are consistent. (c) Show that

$$\widetilde{\mu} \sim \mathcal{AN}\left(\mu, \frac{\sigma_{\widetilde{\mu}}^2}{n}\right) \quad \text{and} \quad \widehat{\mu} \sim \mathcal{AN}\left(\mu, \frac{\sigma_{\widehat{\mu}}^2}{n}\right)$$

for large n and calculate  $\sigma_{\widetilde{\mu}}^2$  and  $\sigma_{\widehat{\mu}}^2$ . Which estimator is more efficient?