1. Non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC) is the most common type of lung cancer in humans. A recent study in *Japanese Journal of Clinical Oncology* examined a small group of NSCLC patients treated with gefitinib and erlotinib (two cancer drugs). Here were the times until treatment failure (TTF, in months) for n = 14 patients:

 $0.8 \quad 7.5 \quad 13.4 \quad 1.4 \quad 0.5 \quad 68.9 \quad 16.1 \quad 20.4 \quad 15.6 \quad 4.2 \quad 2.4 \quad 8.2 \quad 5.3 \quad 14.0$ 

Consider modeling TTF by using an exponential distribution; specifically, suppose the n = 14 patient times  $Y_1, Y_2, ..., Y_{14}$  are iid from the population-level pdf

$$f_Y(y|\theta) = \begin{cases} \theta e^{-\theta y}, & y > 0\\ 0, & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

where  $\theta$  is modeled a priori as  $\theta \sim \text{gamma}(a, b)$ , where a > 0 and b > 0 are known. That is, the prior pdf is

$$g(\theta) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\Gamma(a)b^a} \ \theta^{a-1}e^{-\theta/b}, & \theta > 0\\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

(a) Show the posterior distribution  $g(\theta|\mathbf{y})$  is gamma with (updated) shape parameter n + a and (updated) scale parameter b/(1 + bu), where  $u = \sum_{i=1}^{n} y_i$ . (b) Suppose a = 1/2 and b = 1/5. Graph the posterior distribution for  $\theta$  using the data above. Report posterior mean, median, and mode estimates for  $\theta$ .

(c) Derive Jeffreys' prior distribution for  $\theta$ . Is this prior distribution proper? What is the posterior distribution  $q(\theta|\mathbf{y})$  when using Jeffreys' prior?

2. Suppose Y, the time to be seen by a medical professional at the Thomson Student Health Center, follows a uniform distribution, specifically,  $Y \sim \mathcal{U}(0,\theta)$ , where  $\theta > 0$ . Recall the population pdf of Y is

$$f_Y(y|\theta) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\theta}, & 0 < y < \theta \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

In turn, the parameter  $\theta$  is best regarded as random with a Pareto prior distribution; i.e.,  $\theta \sim g(\theta)$ , where

$$g(\theta) = \begin{cases} \frac{\beta \alpha^{\beta}}{\theta^{\beta+1}}, & \theta > \alpha \\ 0, & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

where  $\alpha > 0$  and  $\beta > 0$  are known. We want to do a Bayesian analysis for  $\theta$  based on a random sample of student waiting times  $Y_1, Y_2, ..., Y_n$ .

(a) We proved in STAT 512 that the maximum order statistic  $T = T(Y_1, Y_2, ..., Y_n) = Y_{(n)}$  is a sufficient statistic for  $\theta$ . Find the pdf of T. Denote the pdf by  $f_{T|\theta}(t|\theta)$ .

(b) Derive the posterior distribution  $g(\theta|t)$  and find the posterior mean  $\theta_B$ .

(c) We proved in STAT 512 that  $T = T(Y_1, Y_2, ..., Y_n) = Y_{(n)}$  is also the maximum likelihood estimator (MLE) for  $\theta$ . Can the posterior mean  $\hat{\theta}_B$  in part (b) be written as a linear combination of the prior mean and T? If so, prove it. If not, show this can not be done.

3. Insurance payments are typically positively skewed with a long upper tail. A reasonable parametric model for this type of data is the Weibull distribution. Let  $Y_1, Y_2, ..., Y_n$  denote a sample of n payments modeled as iid observations with common pdf

$$f_Y(y|\theta) = \begin{cases} \frac{m}{\theta} y^{m-1} e^{-y^m/\theta}, & y > 0\\ 0, & \text{otherwise}, \end{cases}$$

where m > 0 is known. Suppose  $\theta$  is best regarded as random with an IG $(\alpha, \beta)$  prior; i.e., the pdf of  $\theta$  is

$$g(\theta) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)\beta^{\alpha}} \frac{1}{\theta^{\alpha+1}} e^{-1/\beta\theta}, & \theta > 0\\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

(a) Show the posterior  $g(\theta|\mathbf{y})$  is also inverted gamma and determine the updated parameters.

(b) Suppose m = 2 so that the population-level model is  $\text{Rayleigh}(\theta)$ , and assume  $\theta \sim \text{IG}(\alpha = 0.5, \beta = 2)$ . An actuary records a random sample of n = 10 payments (in \$10,000s) from the most recent period:

 $0.269 \quad 0.071 \quad 0.469 \quad 0.819 \quad 3.970 \quad 0.268 \quad 0.245 \quad 2.831 \quad 0.085 \quad 0.118$ 

Graph and prior pdf  $g(\theta)$  and the posterior pdf  $g(\theta|\mathbf{y})$  on the same graph and calculate a 95 percent equal-tail credible interval for  $\theta$ .

4. The following table gives the number of goals scored per game in the 2013-2014 English Premier League season:

Goals	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10+
Frequency	27	73	80	72	65	39	17	4	1	2	0

For example, 27 games ended in a 0-0 draw, 73 games ended 1-0, and so on. There were n = 380 games total. Let's model the number of goals scored in these n = 380 games as iid Poisson( $\lambda$ ), where  $\lambda$  is modeled noninformatively using Jeffreys' prior

$$g(\lambda) \propto \frac{1}{\sqrt{\lambda}}$$

as derived in the notes.

(a) Derive the posterior distribution  $g(\lambda|\mathbf{y})$ . Then, using the English Premier League data, calculate an equal-tail 99 percent credible interval for  $\lambda$ . Interpret the interval. (b) When  $Y_1, Y_2, ..., Y_n$  are iid Poisson $(\lambda)$ , a (non-Bayesian) confidence interval for  $\lambda$  can be derived by arguing

$$Z = \frac{\overline{Y} - \lambda}{\sqrt{\frac{\overline{Y}}{n}}} \sim \mathcal{AN}(0, 1)$$

for large n. First, use the CLT and Slutsky's Theorem to make this argument. Then, using Z as a large-sample pivot, show this leads to a large-sample  $100(1-\alpha)\%$  confidence interval for  $\lambda$  of the form

$$\overline{Y} \pm z_{\alpha/2} \sqrt{\frac{\overline{Y}}{n}},$$

where  $z_{\alpha/2}$  is the upper  $\alpha/2$  quantile from a  $\mathcal{N}(0,1)$  distribution. Using the English Premier League data, calculate a 99 percent confidence interval for  $\lambda$  using this formula. Interpret the interval. Are your credible and confidence intervals about the same? Why do you think this is?

(c) Using the English Premier League data, perform a Bayesian hypothesis test of

$$H_0: \lambda < 3$$
versus
$$H_a: \lambda \ge 3.$$

What is the probability  $H_0$  is true? (Note this question would make no sense to a non-Bayesian).