## STAT 513 hw 5

- 1. Let  $X_{11}, \ldots, X_{1n_1}$  and  $X_{21}, \ldots, X_{2n_2}$  be independent random samples from the Normal $(\mu_1, \sigma^2)$  and Normal $(\mu_1, \sigma^2)$  distributions, respectively, where  $\mu_1, \mu_2$ , and  $\sigma^2$  are unknown. Suppose it is of interest to test the hypotheses  $H_0$ :  $\mu_1 = \mu_2$  versus  $H_1$ :  $\mu_1 \neq \mu_2$ .
  - (a) Give the likelihood function  $L(\mu_1, \mu_2, \sigma^2; X_{11}, \ldots, X_{1n_1}, X_{21}, \ldots, X_{2n_2})$  for  $X_{11}, \ldots, X_{1n_1}, X_{21}, \ldots, X_{2n_2}$ . Hint: It is the product of the likelihood functions of the two samples.
  - (b) Give the log-likelihood function  $\ell(\mu_1, \mu_2, \sigma^2; X_{11}, \dots, X_{1n_1}, X_{21}, \dots, X_{2n_2})$ .
  - (c) Find the maximum likelihood estimators  $\hat{\mu}_1$ ,  $\hat{\mu}_2$ , and  $\hat{\sigma}^2$  of  $\mu_1$ ,  $\mu_2$ , and  $\sigma^2$ , respectively; that is, find

$$(\hat{\mu}_1, \hat{\mu}_2, \hat{\sigma}^2) = \operatorname{argmax}_{\mu_1, \mu_2, \sigma^2} L(\mu_1, \mu_2, \sigma^2; X_{11}, \dots, X_{1n_1}, X_{21}, \dots, X_{2n_2}).$$

Hint: Use calculus methods on the log-likelihood function.

(d) Under  $H_0$ , we have  $\mu_1 = \mu_2 = \mu$ , say, where  $\mu$  denotes the common mean. Let  $\hat{\mu}_0$  and  $\hat{\sigma}_0^2$  be

$$(\hat{\mu}_0, \hat{\sigma}_0^2) = \operatorname{argmax}_{\mu, \sigma^2} L(\mu, \mu, \sigma^2; X_{11}, \dots, X_{1n_1}, X_{21}, \dots, X_{2n_2}).$$

Find expressions for  $\hat{\mu}_0$  and  $\hat{\sigma}_0^2$ .

(e) Show that the likelihood ratio

$$LR(X_{11}, \dots, X_{1n_1}, X_{21}, \dots, X_{2n_2}) = \frac{L(\hat{\mu}_0, \hat{\mu}_0, \hat{\sigma}_0^2; X_{11}, \dots, X_{1n_1}, X_{21}, \dots, X_{2n_2})}{L(\hat{\mu}_1, \hat{\mu}_2, \hat{\sigma}^2; X_{11}, \dots, X_{1n_1}, X_{21}, \dots, X_{2n_2})}$$

can be simplified to

$$LR(X_{11}, \dots, X_{1n_1}, X_{21}, \dots, X_{2n_2}) = \left[ \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n_1} (X_{1i} - \hat{\mu}_1)^2 + \sum_{j=1}^{n_2} (X_{2j} - \hat{\mu}_2)^2}{\sum_{i=1}^{n_1} (X_{1i} - \hat{\mu}_0)^2 + \sum_{j=1}^{n_2} (X_{2j} - \hat{\mu}_0)^2} \right]^{(n_1 + n_2)/2}.$$

(f) Show that for any  $c \in [0,1]$ , there exists a  $c_1$  such that the likelihood ratio test

Reject 
$$H_0$$
 iff  $LR(X_{11}, \dots, X_{1n_1}, X_{21}, \dots, X_{2n_2}) < c$ 

is equivalent to the test

$$\frac{|\bar{X}_1 - \bar{X}_2|}{S_{\text{pooled}}\sqrt{1/n_1 + 1/n_2}} > c_1.$$

Note: Please just attempt this question. It is quite tricky. You will get points for trying.

- (g) Provide the value  $c_1$  such that the test in the previous part has size  $\alpha$  for any  $\alpha \in (0,1)$ .
- 2. Let  $X_1, \ldots, X_n$  be a random sample from the  $Gamma(\alpha, \beta)$  distribution with density

$$f(x) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)\beta^{\alpha}} x^{\alpha-1} \exp(-x/\beta) \mathbb{1}(x > 0),$$

where  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  are unknown.

- (a) Give the likelihood function  $L(\alpha, \beta; X_1, \dots, X_n)$  for the sample  $X_1, \dots, X_n$ .
- (b) Give the log-likelihood function  $\ell(\alpha, \beta; X_1, \dots, X_n)$  for the sample  $X_1, \dots, X_n$ .
- (c) For any  $\alpha \geq 0$ , let  $\hat{\beta}(\alpha)$  be the value of  $\beta$  which maximizes  $L(\alpha, \beta; X_1, \dots, X_n)$ . Get an expression for  $\hat{\beta}(\alpha)$ .
- (d) Consider testing the hypotheses  $H_0$ :  $\alpha = \alpha_0$  versus  $H_1$ :  $\alpha \neq \alpha_0$  and let  $\hat{\alpha}$  be the maximum likelihood estimator for  $\alpha$ . Then the likelihood ratio is given by

$$LR(X_1, ..., X_n) = \frac{\sup_{\{\alpha, \beta: \alpha = \alpha_0, \beta \ge 0\}} L(\alpha, \beta; X_1, ..., X_n)}{\sup_{\{\alpha, \beta: \alpha \ge 0, \beta \ge 0\}} L(\alpha, \beta; X_1, ..., X_n)}$$
$$= \frac{L(\alpha_0, \hat{\beta}(\alpha_0); X_1, ..., X_n)}{L(\hat{\alpha}, \hat{\beta}(\hat{\alpha}); X_1, ..., X_n)}.$$

Show that  $-2 \log LR(X_1, \ldots, X_n)$  can be simplified to

$$-2 \log \operatorname{LR}(X_1, \dots, X_n)$$

$$= -2 \left[ n \log \left( \frac{\Gamma(\hat{\alpha})}{\Gamma(\alpha_0)} \right) + n(\hat{\alpha} - \alpha_0) \left( \log \bar{X}_n - n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n \log X_i + 1 \right) + n\alpha_0 \log \alpha_0 - n\hat{\alpha} \log \hat{\alpha} \right].$$

(e) The following R code stores in the vector X the survival times of several guinea pigs from the point in time at which they were infected with virulent tubercle bacilli and computes on these data the maximum likelihood estimators  $\hat{\alpha}$  and  $\hat{\beta}$  for the Gammma( $\alpha, \beta$ ) distribution. The data are taken from Bjerkedal (1960).

```
X <- c(12, 15, 22, 24, 24, 32, 32, 33, 34, 38, 38, 43, 44, 48, 52, 53, 54, 54, 55, 56, 57, 58, 58, 59, 60, 60, 60, 60, 61, 62, 63, 65, 65, 67, 68, 70, 70, 72, 73, 75, 76, 76, 81, 83, 84, 85, 87, 91, 95, 96, 98, 99, 109, 110, 121, 127, 129, 131, 143, 146, 146, 175, 175, 211, 233, 258, 258, 263, 297, 341, 341, 376)
```

library(MASS) # pull in library of functions including the fitdistr() function
fitdistr(X,"gamma") # gives alpha.hat and 1/beta.hat

Compute the value of  $-2 \log LR(X_1, ..., X_n)$  for these data when testing the hypotheses  $H_0$ :  $\alpha = 1$  versus  $H_0: \alpha \neq 1$ .

- (f) Report the p-value for testing the hypotheses in the previous question, using the asymptotic distribution of  $-2 \log LR(X_1, \ldots, X_n)$  under the null hypothesis.
- (g) Consider testing  $H_0$ :  $\alpha = \alpha_0$  versus  $H_1$ :  $\alpha \neq \alpha_0$  using the guinea pig data. Find an interval such that you fail to reject  $H_0$  at the 0.01 significance level for all  $\alpha_0$  in the interval. Hint: Compute  $-2 \log \operatorname{LR}(X_1, \ldots, X_n)$  over many values of  $\alpha_0$  and find those values of  $\alpha_0$  (search, say, between 1/2 and 4) for which  $-2 \log \operatorname{LR}(X_1, \ldots, X_n) < \chi^2_{1,0.01}$ .
- (h) Give an interpretation of this interval.
- (i) Based on these results, do you think it would be reasonable to model these data using the Exponential( $\beta$ ) distribution?

## References

Bjerkedal, T. (1960). Acquisition of Resistance in Guinea Pigs infected with Different Doses of Virulent Tubercle Bacilli. *American Journal of Hygiene*, 72(1), 130-48.