

These slides are an instructional aid; their sole purpose is to display, during the lecture, definitions, plots, results, etc. which take too much time to write by hand on the blackboard. They are not intended to explain or expound on any material.

네 그 ▶ 세 크 ▶ 네 코 ▶ 네 코 ▶ │ 코

Think about comparing two populations:

- Compare μ_1 with μ_2 by comparing \bar{X}_1 and \bar{X}_2 .
- Compare p_1 with p_2 by comparing \hat{p}_1 and \hat{p}_2 .

$$
\mu_1-\mu_2
$$

◀ ㅁ ▶ ◀ @ ▶ ◀ 로 ▶ ◀ 로 ▶ │ 로

 Ω

1

1 [Inference about](#page-2-0) $\mu_1 - \mu_2$

- [Sampling distribution of di](#page-2-0)fference in sample means
- [Inference about](#page-7-0) $\mu_1 \mu_2$ when $\sigma_1^2 = \sigma_2^2$
- [Inference about](#page-14-0) $\mu_1 \mu_2$ when $\sigma_1^2 \neq \sigma_2^2$

2 [Inference about](#page-22-0) $p_1 - p_2$

$$
\times \rightarrow \times
$$

 Ω

イロト イ団 ト イミト イヨト 一店

Consider two random samples:

 $\chi_{11},\ldots,\chi_{1n_{\bf 1}}$ a rs from a pop. with mean $\mu_{\bf 1}$ and variance $\sigma_{\bf 1}^2$ X_{21}, \ldots, X_{2n_2} a rs from a pop. with mean μ_2 and variance σ_2^2

Goals:
\n**6**
$$
\therefore
$$
 7 \therefore **8** \therefore **7** \therefore **8** \therefore **8** \therefore **8** \therefore **8** \therefore **9** Test null and alternate hypotheses of the form
\n $H_0: \mu_1 - \mu_2 \ge \delta_0$ or $H_0: \mu_1 - \mu_2 = \delta_0$ or $H_0: \mu_1 - \mu_2 \le \delta_0$
\n $H_1: \mu_1 - \mu_2 < \delta_0$ $H_1: \mu_1 - \mu_2 \ne \delta_0$ $H_1: \mu_1 - \mu_2 > \delta_0$.

In most situations we have $\delta_0 = 0$.

Exercise: Write down the null and alternate hypotheses for the following:

1 Do honors grads earn more in first post-grad year than non-honors grads? 2 Do PhD holders earn at least twice as much as Bachelor's degree holders? ³ Does a fertilizer increase crop yields?

Q.
$$
ut
$$
 $μ$ ₁ 6 mu 3 $μ$ ₂ $μ$ $μ$ ₁ 3 $μ$ ₂ $μ$ ₁ 3 $μ$ ₂ $μ$ ₁ 3 $μ$ ₂ 3 6
\n*Q. $μ$ ₁ 6 $μ$ ₁ 3 $μ$ ₂ 3 $μ$ ₁ 3 $μ$ ₂ 3 6
\n*W₀* $μ$ ₁ 5 $μ$ ₂ 3 6
\n*W₀* $μ$ ₁ 5 $μ$ ₂ 3 6
\n*W₀* $μ$ ₁ 5 $μ$ ₂ 3 6
\n*W₀* 5 $μ$ ₁ 5 $μ$ ₂ 3 6
\n*W₀* 5 $μ$ ₁ 5 $μ$ ₂ 3 6
\n*W₀* 5 $μ$ ₁ 5 $μ$ ₂ 3 6
\n*W₀* 5 $μ$ ₁ 5 $μ$ ₂ 3 6
\n*W₀**

(3)

\n(i)
$$
\frac{p_1 : ph_1 \cdot ph_2}{?}
$$

\n(j) $\frac{p_1 : ph_2}{?}$

\n(k) $\frac{p_1}{?} : ph_2$

\n(l) $\frac{p_1}{?} : ph_1$

\n(m) $\frac{p_1}{?} : ph_2$

\n(m) $\frac{p_1}{?} : ph_1$

\n(m) $\frac{p_1}{?} : ph_1$

\n(m) $\frac{p_1}{?} : ph_1$

$$
\mu_1
$$
 μ_2 μ_3
\n $\mu_1 - \mu_2 = 0$
\n $\mu_1 - \mu_2 = 0$
\n $\mu_1 - \mu_2 = 0$

K ロ ▶ K 御 ▶ K ミ ▶ K 듣 ▶ │ 듣 │

[Inference about](#page-22-0) $p_1 - p_2$

 OQ

K ロ ▶ K @ ▶ K ミ ▶ K ミ ▶ │ 동

Inference about
$$
\mu_1 - \mu_2
$$
 Inference about $\mu_1 - \mu_2$ when $\sigma_1^2 = \sigma_2^2$

If
$$
\sigma_1^2 = \sigma_2^2 = \frac{\sigma_2^2}{\sigma_{\text{common}}^2}
$$
, then we can estimate σ_{common}^2 with

$$
S_{\text{pooled}}^2 = \frac{(n_1 - 1)S_1^2 + (n_2 - 1)S_2^2}{n_1 + n_2 - 2}.
$$

Sampling distribution of difference in sample means $(\sigma_1^2 = \sigma_2^2)$

1 If both populations are Normally distributed, then

$$
\frac{\bar{X}_1 - \bar{X}_2 - (\mu_1 - \mu_2)}{\sqrt{S_{\text{pooled}}^2 \left(\frac{1}{n_1} + \frac{1}{n_2}\right)}} \sim t_{n_1+n_2-2}.
$$

2 Otherwise

$$
\frac{\bar{X}_1 - \bar{X}_2 - (\mu_1 - \mu_2)}{\sqrt{S_{\text{pooled}}^2 \left(\frac{1}{n_1} + \frac{1}{n_2}\right)}}
$$
 behaves more and more like $Z \sim \text{Normal}(0, 1)$

for larger and larger n_1 and n_2 .

 Ω

 \Rightarrow

K ロ ▶ K 母 ▶ K ミ ▶ K ミ ▶ ...

Exercise: We wish to know whether drug tablets produced at two different sites have the same average concentration of the drug. Download. Rdata file $(Ex 6.92 in [2]).$

Assuming Normality and $\sigma_1^2 = \sigma_2^2$, build a 95% confidence interval for the difference in means.

Inference about $\mu_1 - \mu_2$	Inference about $\mu_1 - \mu_2$	Inference about $\mu_1 - \mu_2$ when $\sigma_1^2 = \sigma_2^2$		
Let $X_{k1}, \ldots, X_{k n_k} \sim \text{Normal}(\mu_k, \sigma_k^2)$, $k = 1, 2$.	Thus $\sigma_{k1} = \text{Normal}(\mu_k, \sigma_k^2$), $k = 1, 2$.			
Tests about $\mu_1 - \mu_2$ when $\sigma_1^2 = \sigma_2^2$	Thus $\sigma_{k1} = \text{Normal}(\sigma_k^2 - \sigma_k^2)$	Thus $\sigma_k = \text{Normal}(\sigma_k^2 - \sigma_k^2)$		
σ_k	σ_k	σ_k	σ_k	
σ_k	σ_k	σ_k	σ_k	
σ_k	σ_k	σ_k	σ_k	
σ_k	σ_k	σ_k	σ_k	
σ_k	$\mu_1 - \mu_2 \geq \delta_0$	σ_k	σ_k	σ_k
σ_k	μ_1	$\mu_1 - \mu_2 \geq \delta_0$	σ_k	σ_k
σ_k	σ_k	σ_k	σ_k	σ_k

For com[p](#page-10-0)[u](#page-10-0)ting the *p*-value[s](#page-12-0), let $T \sim t_{n_1+n_2-2}$. Dra[w](#page-10-0) p[ict](#page-12-0)u[re](#page-11-0)s[.](#page-6-0)

 $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{A}) \subset \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{A})$

Exercise: We wish to know whether drug tablets produced at two different sites have the same average concentration of the drug. Download . Rdata file (Ex 6.92 in [\[2](#page-31-0)]).

- **1** What are the null and alternate hypotheses?
- **2** Assuming Normality and $\sigma_1^2 = \sigma_2^2$, find the *p*-value.

$$
\begin{array}{ll}\n\mathcal{D} & \mathcal{H}_{0}: \mathcal{M}_{1}-\mathcal{M}_{2}=0 & \text{Small } p-\text{v.}b_{1}=0 \\
\mathcal{H}_{1}: \mathcal{M}_{1}-\mathcal{M}_{2}=0.5\n\end{array}
$$
\n
$$
\begin{array}{ll}\n\mathcal{H}_{0w} & \text{small } p-\text{v.}b_{1}=0 \text{ and } \mathcal{H}_{0} \\
\mathcal{H}_{0w} & \text{small } \mathcal{H}_{0w} & \text{small } \mathcal{H}_{0} & \mathcal{H}_{0} \\
\mathcal{H}_{m} & \mathcal{H}_{0} & \text{small } \mathcal{H}_{0} & \mathcal{H}_{0} & \mathcal{H}_{0} \\
\mathcal{H}_{m} & \mathcal{H}_{0} & \text{small } \mathcal{H}_{0} & \mathcal{H}_{0} & \mathcal{H}_{0} \\
\mathcal{H}_{0} & \text{small } \mathcal{H}_{0} & \text{small } \mathcal{H}_{0} & \mathcal{H}_{0} & \mathcal{H}_{0} & \mathcal{H}_{0} \\
\mathcal{H}_{0} & \text{small } \mathcal{H}_{0} & \text{small } \mathcal{H}_{0} & \mathcal{H}_{0} & \mathcal{H}_{0} & \mathcal{H}_{0} & \mathcal{H}_{0} \\
\mathcal{H}_{0} & \text{small } \mathcal{H}_{0} & \text{small } \mathcal{H}_{0} & \mathcal{H}_{0} \\
\mathcal{H}_{0} & \text{small } \mathcal{H}_{0} & \text{small } \mathcal{H}_{0} & \mathcal{H}_{0} &
$$

 OQ

K ロ ▶ K 御 ▶ K 결 ▶ K 결 ▶ │ 결.

$$
\mathbf{1}_{0}: \quad \mathbf{p}_{1} - \mathbf{p}_{2} \leq 0
$$
\n
$$
\mathbf{1}_{1}: \quad \mathbf{p}_{1} - \mathbf{p}_{2} \geq 0
$$
\n
$$
\mathbf{p}_{2}: \quad \mathbf{p}_{3}: \quad \mathbf{p}_{4}: \quad \mathbf{p}_{5}: \quad \mathbf{p}_{6}: \quad \mathbf{p}_{7}: \quad \mathbf{p}_{8}: \quad \mathbf{p}_{9}: \quad \mathbf{p}_{1}: \quad \mathbf{p}_{1}: \quad \mathbf{p}_{1}: \quad \mathbf{p}_{2}: \quad \mathbf{p}_{3}: \quad \mathbf{p}_{4}: \quad \mathbf{p}_{5}: \quad \mathbf{p}_{6}: \quad \mathbf{p}_{7}: \quad \mathbf{p}_{8}: \quad \mathbf{p}_{9}: \quad \mathbf{p}_{1}: \quad \mathbf{p}_{1}: \quad \mathbf{p}_{1}: \quad \mathbf{p}_{1}: \quad \mathbf{p}_{2}: \quad \mathbf{p}_{3}: \quad \mathbf{p}_{1}: \quad \mathbf{p}_{1}: \quad \mathbf{p}_{2}: \quad \mathbf{p}_{3}: \quad \mathbf{p}_{1}: \quad \mathbf{p}_{2}: \quad \mathbf{p}_{3}: \quad \mathbf{p}_{4}: \quad \mathbf{p}_{5}: \quad \mathbf{p}_{6}: \quad \mathbf{p}_{7}: \quad \mathbf{p}_{9}: \quad \mathbf{p}_{1}: \quad \mathbf{p}_{1}: \quad \mathbf{p}_{1}: \quad \mathbf{p}_{1}: \quad \mathbf{p}_{2}: \quad \mathbf{p}_{1}: \quad \mathbf{p}_{2}: \quad \mathbf{p}_{3}: \quad \mathbf{p}_{1}: \quad \mathbf{p}_{1}: \quad \mathbf{p}_{1}: \quad \mathbf{p}_{1}: \quad \mathbf{p}_{1}: \quad \mathbf{p}_{1}: \quad \mathbf{p}_{2}: \quad \mathbf{p}_{3}: \quad \mathbf{p}_{1}: \quad \mathbf{p}_{2}: \quad \mathbf{p}_{3}: \quad \mathbf{p}_{1}: \quad \mathbf{p}_{1}: \quad \mathbf{p}_{2}: \quad \mathbf{p}_{3}: \quad \mathbf{p}_{3}: \quad \mathbf{p}_{3}: \quad \mathbf{p}_{3}: \quad \mathbf{p}_{4}: \quad \mathbf{p}_{5}: \quad \mathbf{p}_{6}: \quad \mathbf{p}_{6}: \quad \mathbf{p}_{7}:
$$

1 [Inference about](#page-2-0) $\mu_1 - \mu_2$

• [Sampling distribution of di](#page-2-0)fference in sample means

- [Inference about](#page-7-0) $\mu_1 \mu_2$ when $\sigma_1^2 = \sigma_2^2$
- [Inference about](#page-14-0) $\mu_1 \mu_2$ when $\sigma_1^2 \neq \sigma_2^2$

2 [Inference about](#page-22-0) $p_1 - p_2$

 Ω

K ロ ▶ K @ ▶ K 할 ▶ K 할 ▶ .. 할

Sampling distribution of difference in sample means $(\sigma_1^2 \neq \sigma_2^2)$

1 If both populations are Normally distributed, then

$$
\frac{\bar{X}_1 - \bar{X}_2 - (\mu_1 - \mu_2)}{\sqrt{\frac{S_1^2}{n_1} + \frac{S_2^2}{n_2}}} \overset{\text{approx}}{\sim} t_{\nu^*}.
$$

2 Otherwise

$$
\frac{\bar{X}_1 - \bar{X}_2 - (\mu_1 - \mu_2)}{\sqrt{\frac{S_1^2}{n_1} + \frac{S_2^2}{n_2}}}
$$
 behaves more and more like $Z \sim \text{Normal}(0, 1)$

for larger and larger n_1 and n_2 .

In the above

$$
\nu^* = \left(\frac{S_1^2}{n_1} + \frac{S_2^2}{n_2}\right)^2 \left[\frac{\left(S_1^2/n_1\right)^2}{n_1 - 1} + \frac{\left(S_2^2/n_2\right)^2}{n_2 - 1}\right]^{-1}
$$

[Inference about](#page-14-0) $\mu_{\mathbf{1}} - \mu_{\mathbf{2}}$ Inference about $\mu_{\mathbf{1}} - \mu_{\mathbf{2}}$ when $\sigma^{\mathbf{2}}_{\mathbf{1}} \neq \sigma^{\mathbf{2}}_{\mathbf{2}}$

Formulas for $(1 - \alpha)100\%$ confidence intervals for $\mu_1 - \mu_2$ when $\sigma_1^2 \neq \sigma_2^2$.

Let
$$
X_{k1}, \ldots, X_{kn_k} \stackrel{\text{ind}}{\sim} \text{Normal}(\mu_k, \sigma_k^2), k = 1, 2.
$$

Tests about $\mu_1 - \mu_2$ when $\sigma_1^2 = \sigma_2^2$

For some null value δ_0 , define the test statistic

$$
\mathcal{T}_{\text{test}} = \frac{\bar{X}_1 - \bar{X}_2 - \delta_0}{\sqrt{\frac{S_1^2}{n_1} + \frac{S_2^2}{n_2}}}.
$$

Then the following tests have $P(\text{Type I error}) \leq \alpha$.

$$
H_0: \mu_1 - \mu_2 \ge \delta_0
$$
\n
$$
H_1: \mu_1 - \mu_2 < \delta_0
$$
\n
$$
H_1: \mu_1 - \mu_2 \ne \delta_0
$$
\n
$$
H_1: \mu_1 - \mu_2 \ne \delta_0
$$
\n
$$
H_1: \mu_1 - \mu_2 \ne \delta_0
$$
\n
$$
H_1: \mu_1 - \mu_2 > \delta_0
$$
\n
$$
H_1: \mu_1 - \mu_2 > \delta_0
$$
\n
$$
H_1: \mu_1 - \mu_2 > \delta_0
$$
\n
$$
H_1: \mu_1 - \mu_2 > \delta_0
$$
\n
$$
H_1: \mu_1 - \mu_2 > \delta_0
$$
\n
$$
H_1: \mu_1 - \mu_2 > \delta_0
$$
\n
$$
H_1: \mu_1 - \mu_2 > \delta_0
$$
\n
$$
H_1: \mu_1 - \mu_2 > \delta_0
$$
\n
$$
H_1: \mu_1 - \mu_2 > \delta_0
$$
\n
$$
H_1: \mu_1 - \mu_2 > \delta_0
$$
\n
$$
H_1: \mu_1 - \mu_2 > \delta_0
$$
\n
$$
H_1: \mu_1 - \mu_2 > \delta_0
$$
\n
$$
H_1: \mu_1 - \mu_2 > \delta_0
$$
\n
$$
H_1: \mu_1 - \mu_2 > \delta_0
$$
\n
$$
H_1: \mu_1 - \mu_2 > \delta_0
$$
\n
$$
H_1: \mu_1 - \mu_2 > \delta_0
$$
\n
$$
H_1: \mu_1 - \mu_2 > \delta_0
$$
\n
$$
H_1: \mu_1 - \mu_2 > \delta_0
$$
\n
$$
H_1: \mu_1 - \mu_2 > \delta_0
$$
\n
$$
H_1: \mu_1 - \mu_2 > \delta_0
$$
\n
$$
H_1: \mu_1 - \mu_2
$$

For computing the *p*-valu[es](#page-18-0), let $T \sim t_{\nu^*}$. Draw pic[tur](#page-16-0)es[.](#page-16-0)

 Ω

Exercise: We wish to know whether drug tablets produced at two different sites have the same average concentration of the drug. Download . Rdata file (Ex 6.92 in [\[2](#page-31-0)]).

$$
P^{-\nu_{c}l_{v_{c}}}=0.5699
$$

*H*₀: $\mu_1 - \mu_2 = 0$ versus $H_1: \mu_1 - \mu_2 \neq 0$.

2 Build a 95% confidence interval for the difference in means.

$$
(-1.30, 2.34)
$$

K ロ ▶ K 레 ▶ K 로 ▶ K 로 ▶ 『 코 │ ◆ 9 Q ⊙

We can change the alternative argument to test other sets of hypotheses.

Run ?t.test to read the documentation.

Exercise: Replicate results for drug data using the t. test () function.

K ロ ▶ K 레 ▶ K 로 ▶ K 로 ▶ 『 로 │ ◆ 9 Q (*

Exercise: It is of interest to know whether drug tablets produced at two different sites have the same average concentration of the drug. Download . Rdata file.

- **1** What are the null and alternate hypotheses?
- **2** Assuming Normality and $\sigma_1^2 = \sigma_2^2$, find the *p*-value.
- **3** Assuming Normality and $\sigma_1^2 \neq \sigma_2^2$, find the *p*-value.

K ロ ▶ K 레 ▶ K 로 ▶ K 로 ▶ 『 콘 │ ◆ 9 Q ⊙

[Inference about](#page-2-0) $\mu_1 - \mu_2$

- **•** [Sampling distribution of di](#page-2-0)fference in sample means
- [Inference about](#page-7-0) $\mu_1 \mu_2$ when $\sigma_1^2 = \sigma_2^2$
- [Inference about](#page-14-0) $\mu_1 \mu_2$ when $\sigma_1^2 \neq \sigma_2^2$

Exercise: Write down the null and alternate hypotheses for the following:

1 Do same number of honors and non-honors students pursue grad school? 2 Does a vaccine reduce the probability of getting an infection? **3** Do rural and urban voters differ in their preferences for a candidate? pr: non-Honors p_i : Honors $H_o: p_1 - p_2 = 0$
 $H_i: p_1 - p_2 \neq 0$ \bigcap φ_1 : $\rho_{\alpha b}$ in with vaccine p₂ = without vaccine $|2)$ $H_0: P_1 - P_2 > 0$ $H_1: p_1 - p_2 = 0$ (p. K ロ ▶ K 御 ▶ K 할 ▶ K 할 ▶ ... 할

M_1 $n₂$

Let
$$
X_{k1},...,X_{kn_k} \stackrel{\text{ind}}{\sim} \text{Bernoulli}(p_k)
$$
, $k = 1, 2$, and let $\hat{p}_1 = \bar{X}_1$, $\hat{p}_2 = \bar{X}_2$
\nSampling distribution of difference in sample proportions
\nWe have
\n
$$
\frac{\hat{p}_1 - \hat{p}_2 - (p_1 - p_2)}{\sqrt{\frac{\hat{p}_1(1 - \hat{p}_1)}{n_1} + \frac{\hat{p}_2(1 - \hat{p}_2)}{n_2}}}
$$
 behaves more and more like $Z \sim \text{Normal}(0, 1)$

for larger and larger n_1 and n_2 .

 $\mathsf{Rule\ of\ thumb}\colon \mathsf{Need\ min}\{n_1\hat{p}_1, n_1(1-\hat{p}_1)\} \geq 15 \text{ and } \min\{n_2\hat{p}_2, n_2(1-\hat{p}_2)\} \geq 15.$

 OQ

イロン イ団ン イミン イミン 一毛

$$
\overline{p_1-p_2}
$$

Confidence interval for difference in proportions

An approximate $(1 - \alpha)100\%$ confidence interval for $p_1 - p_2$ is given by

$$
\hat{\rho}_1-\hat{\rho}_2\pm z_{\alpha/2}\sqrt{\frac{\hat{\rho}_1(1-\hat{\rho}_1)}{n_1}+\frac{\hat{\rho}_2(1-\hat{\rho}_2)}{n_2}},
$$

 p provided $\min\{n_1\hat{p}_1, n_1(1-\hat{p}_1)\}\geq 15$ and $\min\{n_2\hat{p}_2, n_2(1-\hat{p}_2)\}\geq 15.$

Ein successes and 15 filme in each sample

 Ω

イロン イ団ン イミン イミン 一毛

$$
p_1 = 2^{5+}dus
$$
 pr_{1}
\n $p_2 = 3^{rd}dus$ pr_{1}
\n $p_2 = \frac{151}{627}$ $n_2 = 627$

Exercise: It is reported that among the 319 adult first class passengers aboard the Titanic, 197 survived, while among the 627 adult third class passengers, 151 survived. The data are taken from [1].

 $Z_{0.05}$ = 1.76 $d = 0.05$ Build a 95% Confidence interval for the difference in the "true" proportions as a way of assessing whether the probability of surviving was affected by class.

$$
\hat{p}_1 - \hat{p}_2 \pm \underbrace{\left\{ \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{2} \right) \left(\frac{1}{2} \left(1 - \hat{p}_1 \right) \right) \right\} + \frac{\hat{p}_2 \left(1 - \hat{p}_2 \right)}{n_2}}, \qquad \underbrace{\left\{ . \frac{1}{2} \right\} , \frac{1}{2} \left\{ . \frac{1}{2} \right\} }_{n_1}
$$

◆ロト ◆母ト ◆ミト →ミト → ミ

$$
\phi_1
$$
: 15-17 γ_0 old
\n ϕ_1 = 0.06 n_1 = 1000
\n ϕ_2 : 25-35 γ old
\n ϕ_1 = 0.03 n_2 = 1000

Exercise: Suppose that in random samples of size 1000 of 15-17 yr-olds and 25-35 yr-olds, 6% and 3%, respectively, were found to have used JUUL in the last month. You wish to know if the proportion i<mark>s higher i</mark>n the younger age group.
This exercise is based an seme summary statistics siven in ^[2] This exercise is based on some summary statistics given in [\[3](#page-31-0)].

1 Give the hypotheses of interest.

2 What is our conclusion at the $\alpha = 0.01$ significance level?

$$
\mu_0: p_1 \leq p_2 \implies \mu_1: p_1 - p_2 \geq 0
$$

◆ロト ◆伊ト ◆ミト ◆ミト │ ミ

$$
P_{o} = \frac{(n_{1}p_{1}p_{2}p_{2})}{n_{1}+n_{2}} = \frac{60 + 30}{2000}
$$

$$
=\frac{20}{2000}=\frac{9}{200}
$$

$$
=\frac{4.5}{100}
$$

 $= .045$

Robert J MacG Dawson.

The "unusual episode" data revisited. *Journal of Statistics Education*, 3(3), 1995.

J.T. McClave and T.T. Sincich. 晶 *Statistics*. Pearson Education, 2016.

Donna M Vallone, Morgane Bennett, Haijun Xiao, Lindsay Pitzer, and Elizabeth C Hair.

Prevalence and correlates of juul use among a national sample of youth and young adults.

Tobacco Control, 2018.

 Ω and Ω

K ロ ▶ K @ ▶ K 호 ▶ K 호 ▶ │ 호