

Section 5.3: Tests about Several Variances

- We have seen tests designed to compare several populations in terms of their means.
- Suppose we wish to compare two or more populations in terms of their variances.

Note that the null hypothesis

$$H_0: \text{var}(X) = \text{var}(Y)$$

can be written as

$$H_0: E[(X - \mu_X)^2] = E[(Y - \mu_Y)^2]$$

which is identical to the H_0 from the M-W test, with

$(X - \mu_X)^2$ playing the role of X

and $(Y - \mu_Y)^2$ playing the role of Y

- If we estimate μ_X and μ_Y (either with the group sample mean or sample median) then we could perform the M-W test on the values $(|X_1 - \mu_X|, \dots, |X_n - \mu_X|)$ and $(|Y_1 - \mu_Y|, \dots, |Y_n - \mu_Y|)$, where the μ_X and μ_Y are estimated.

- This is the Talwar-Gentle test.

- Conover showed the power is improved by summing the squared ranks of the first sample instead of the ranks. This is the test Conover presents in Section 5.3.

- The Fligner-Killeen test is similar, but replaces the ranks R_i with the transformed ranks

$$\left[\Phi^{-1} \left(\frac{n+m+1+R_i}{2(n+m+1)} \right) \right]^2$$

where $\Phi(\cdot)$ is the standard normal cdf.

- In R, the fligner.test function performs this test (the function does not permit a one-tailed alternative).

- Any of these three tests (Talwar-Gentle, Conover, Fligner-Killeen) may be extended to three or more groups just as the M-W test is extended to the K-W test.

Example 1: A cereal manufacturer is considering replacing its old packaging machine with a new one. The hope is to reduce the variability in the cereal amounts placed in the boxes. The data are:

	X	Current:	10.8, 11.1, 10.4, 10.1, 11.3	$\Rightarrow \bar{X} = 10.74$
	$ X - \hat{\mu}_X $:		.06, .36, .34, .64, .56	
	rank:		4 10 9 12 11	$\Rightarrow T = 46$
	Y	New:	10.8, 10.5, 11.0, 10.9, 10.8, 10.7, 10.8	$\Rightarrow \bar{Y} = 10.79$
	$ Y - \hat{\mu}_Y $:		.01, .29, .21, .11, .01, .09, .01	
	rank:		2 8 7 6 2 5 2	

Hypotheses: $H_0: \text{var}(X) \leq \text{var}(Y)$

$H_1: \text{var}(X) > \text{var}(Y)$

- Talwar-Gentle test: $n=5, m=7$

Reject H_0 if $T > W_{.95} = 5(12+1) - W_{.05} = 65 - 22 = 43$

Since $T = 46 > 43$, reject H_0 and conclude the new machine has smaller variance. P-value $\approx .017$ from R.

Table
A7
↓

Example 2: Numerous specimens from four brands of golf ball were each hit by a machine in an experiment, and the distances (in yards) they traveled were recorded. Is there evidence that the four brands have different population variances? (Use $\alpha = 0.05$.)

H_0 : The four brands have equal variances ($\sigma_A^2 = \sigma_B^2 = \sigma_C^2 = \sigma_D^2$)

H_1 : The variances of at least two brands differ.

From R: Talwar-Gentle p-value = 0.74

Fligner-Killeen p-value = 0.8979

We fail to reject H_0 . Cannot conclude the four brands' variances differ.

- The Fligner-Killeen test typically has more power than the Talwar-Gentle test.
- All three tests are robust against violations of the normality assumption.

Comparison to Parametric Tests

- If two populations are normal, an F-test can be used to compare their variances.

- This F-test is highly sensitive to the normality assumption: If the data distribution is actually heavy-tailed, the actual significance level may be two to three times greater than the nominal α .

- Bartlett's test is the parametric test comparing 3 or more variances – it is also highly sensitive to the normality assumption.

- Levene's test is a parametric test that is somewhat less sensitive to the normality assumption.

Efficiency of the Conover Test

<u>Population</u>	<u>A.R.E.(Conover vs. F)</u>
Normal	0.76
Uniform (light tails)	1.00
Double exponential (heavy tails)	1.08

- The efficiencies are the same in the case of 3 or more samples.

- Since the Fligner-Killeen test is usually somewhat more powerful than the Conover test, its A.R.E. should be similar (perhaps slightly better) than the A.R.E.'s given above.