- In many cases the posterior distribution does not have a simple recognizable form, and so we cannot sample from it using built-in R functions like "rgamma"
- In this case, Markov chain Monte Carlo (MCMC) sampling methods are used.
- A Markov chain is an ordered, indexed set of random variables (a stochastic process) in which the value of each quantity depends probabilistically only on the previous quantity.

- Specifically, if {θ^[0], θ^[1], θ^[2],...} is a Markov chain, then it has the Markovian property:
- ▶ For any set A,

$$P\{\theta^{[t]} \in \mathcal{A} | \theta^{[0]}, \theta^{[1]}, \dots, \theta^{[t-1]}\} = P\{\theta^{[t]} \in \mathcal{A} | \theta^{[t-1]}\}$$

- So θ^[t] is conditionally independent of all earlier values except the previous one.
- So the values in a Markov chain are not independent, but are "almost independent."

Gibbs Sampling

- The Gibbs Sampler is a MCMC algorithm that approximates the joint distribution of k random quantities by sampling from each full conditional distribution in turn.
- **Example**: We are interested in the distribution of $\theta = (\theta_1, \theta_2, \dots, \theta_k)$. The Gibbs algorithm is:
- 1. Choose initial values $\boldsymbol{\theta}^{[0]} = (\theta_1^{[0]}, \theta_2^{[0]}, \dots, \theta_k^{[0]}).$
- 2. Cycle through each **full** conditional distribution, sampling, for t = 1, 2, ...

$$\begin{aligned} \theta_{1}^{[t]} &\sim \pi(\theta_{1} | \theta_{2}^{[t-1]}, \dots, \theta_{k}^{[t-1]}) \\ \theta_{2}^{[t]} &\sim \pi(\theta_{2} | \theta_{1}^{[t]}, \theta_{3}^{[t-1]}, \dots, \theta_{k}^{[t-1]}) \\ &\vdots \\ \theta_{k}^{[t]} &\sim \pi(\theta_{k} | \theta_{1}^{[t]}, \theta_{2}^{[t]}, \dots, \theta_{k-1}^{[t]}) \end{aligned}$$

3. Repeat steps in (2) until convergence.

- We must be able to sample from each of the full conditional distributions to use the Gibbs Sampler.
- Note that in each step, the most recent value of each θ_j is conditioned on.
- After many cycles, the sampled values of (θ₁,...,θ_k) will approximate random draws from the joint distribution of (θ₁,...,θ_k).
- Then we can summarize, say, a posterior distribution of interest as before.

- **Example 2**: Testing the effectiveness of a seasonal flu shot.
- > 20 individuals are given a flu shot at the start of winter.
- At the end of winter, follow up to see whether they contracted flu.

Let

$$X_i = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if shot effective (no flu)} \\ 0 & \text{if ineffective (contracted flu)} \end{cases}$$

▶ Suppose the 20th individual was unavailable for followup.
▶ Define Y = ∑¹⁹_{i=1} X_i.

A Simple Gibbs Example

• If θ is the probability the shot is effective, then

$$p(y| heta) = {19 \choose y} heta^y (1- heta)^{19-y}$$

▶ If we had the complete data (for *Y* and *X*₂₀), then

$$p(\theta|y, x_{20}) = {20 \choose y + x_{20}} \theta^{y + x_{20}} (1 - \theta)^{20 - y - x_{20}}$$

 If we put in "temporary" values θ* and x₂₀^{*} for the unknown quantities, then

$$heta|X_{20}^*,Y\sim ext{beta}(Y+X_{20}^*+1,20-Y-X_{20}^*+1)$$

and $X_{20}|Y, heta^*\sim ext{Bernoulli}(heta^*)$

- We can repeatedly sample from these "full conditional" distributions and eventually get a sample from the joint distribution of (θ, X₂₀).
- See R example with data.

Example 3: (Coal Mining Disasters)

- Gill gives yearly counts of British coal mine disasters, 1851-1962.
- Relatively large counts in the early era, small counts in the later years.
- Question: When did the mean of the process change?
- ► We model the data using two Poisson distributions:
- "Early" data: $X_1, \ldots, X_k | \lambda \stackrel{\text{iid}}{\sim} \mathsf{Pois}(\lambda), \ i = 1, \ldots, k$
- "Later" data: $X_{k+1}, \ldots, X_n | \phi \stackrel{\text{iid}}{\sim} \mathsf{Pois}(\phi), \ i = k+1, \ldots, n$
- We must estimate each Poisson mean, λ and φ, and also the "changepoint" k.

Consider the priors:

$$egin{aligned} \lambda &\sim \mathsf{gamma}(lpha,eta) \ \phi &\sim \mathsf{gamma}(\gamma,\delta) \ k &\sim \mathsf{discrete} \ \mathsf{uniform} \ \mathsf{on}\{1,2,\ldots,n\} \end{aligned}$$

If we believe the mean annual disaster count for early years is ≈ 4 and for later years is ≈ 0.5, let α = 4, β = 1, γ = 1, δ = 2 be the hyperparameters.

Then the posterior is $\pi(\lambda, \phi, k | \mathbf{x})$

 $\propto L(\lambda,\phi,k|\mathbf{x})p(\lambda)p(\phi)p(k)$ $= \left[\prod_{i=1}^{k} \frac{e^{-\lambda}\lambda^{x_i}}{x_i!}\right] \left[\prod_{i=k+1}^{n} \frac{e^{-\phi}\phi^{x_i}}{x_i!}\right] \left[\frac{\beta^{\alpha}}{\Gamma(\alpha)}\lambda^{\alpha-1}e^{-\beta\lambda}\right] \left[\frac{\delta^{\gamma}}{\Gamma(\gamma)}\phi^{\gamma-1}e^{-\delta\phi}\right] \left[\frac{1}{n}\right]$