Hierarchical Bayes Example 1

- Example 1 (Economic data): Six economic indicators are measured at 44 timepoints x₁,..., x₄₄ (labeled 1,2,...,44).
- We model each indicator Y_i, i = 1,..., 6 as a function of (centered) time as follows:

 $egin{aligned} Y_{ij} &\sim \mathcal{N}(eta_{0i}+eta_{1i}x_j, au) \ eta_{0i} &\sim \mathcal{N}(\mu_{eta_0}, au_{eta_0}) \ eta_{1i} &\sim \mathcal{N}(\mu_{eta_1}, au_{eta_1}) \ au &\sim ext{gamma}(0.01,0.01) \ \mu_{eta_0} &\sim \mathcal{N}(0,0.01), \quad \mu_{eta_1} &\sim \mathcal{N}(0,0.01) \ au_{eta_0} &\sim ext{gamma}(0.01,0.01), \quad au_{eta_1} &\sim ext{gamma}(0.01,0.01) \end{aligned}$

See WinBUGS example for inference on β_{0i} and β_{1i}, i = 1, 2, ..., 6.

Hierarchical Bayes Example 2

- Example 2 (Italian marriage data): Data are marriage counts (per 1000) in Italy for years from 1936 to 1951 (before, during, and after World War II).
- We use a Poisson-Gamma hierarchical model that allows the Poisson mean to vary across years:

 $Y_i \sim \mathsf{Pois}(\lambda_i)$ $\lambda_i \sim \mathsf{gamma}(\alpha, \beta)$ $\alpha \sim \mathsf{gamma}(A, B)$ $\beta \sim \mathsf{gamma}(C, D)$

and $Y_1|\lambda_1, \ldots, Y_n|\lambda_n$ conditionally independent.

Note this allows the λ_i's to be different, but following the same distribution.

It can be shown the full conditionals are:

 $\lambda_i | \alpha, \beta, \mathbf{y} \sim \text{gamma}(y_i + \alpha, 1 + \beta)$ $\alpha | \beta, \boldsymbol{\lambda}, \mathbf{y} \sim \text{not a standard distribution}$ $\beta | \alpha, \boldsymbol{\lambda}, \mathbf{y} \sim \text{not a standard distribution}$

- ► A Gibbs sampler can be implemented, e.g., in WinBUGS.
- The inference is on the $\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_n$.

- ▶ Recall for a fixed $n, X_1, X_2, ..., X_n$ are **exchangeable** if $p(X_1, ..., X_n) = p(X_{\pi_1}, ..., X_{\pi_n})$ for any permutation $(\pi_1, ..., \pi_n)$ of (1, ..., n). (**Finite** exchangeability)
- ► Infinite exchangeability implies that every finite subset of an infinite sequence X₁, X₂,... is exchangeable.
- ► From de Finetti's theorem: Exchangeable ⇒ iid (True in infinite case; approximately true in finite case)

Exchangeability

- Consider multilevel data, where the observations come from, say, m groups:
- **Data**: $\mathbf{Y}_1, \mathbf{Y}_2, \dots, \mathbf{Y}_m$ where each

$$\mathbf{Y}_{j} = [Y_{1j}, \dots, Y_{n_{j}j}]'$$
 for $j = 1, \dots, m$.

• We can often treat $Y_{1j}, \ldots, Y_{n_j j}$ as exchangeable.

 It then makes sense to treat the data in group j as conditionally iid given some group-specific parameter θ_j:

$$Y_{1j},\ldots,Y_{n_jj}|\theta_j \overset{\text{iid}}{\sim} p(y|\theta_j)$$

Next, we can treat θ₁,...,θ_m as exchangeable, if the groups are a random sample from a larger population of groups.
Again by de Finetti's theorem:

$$\theta_1,\ldots,\theta_m|\phi \stackrel{\mathrm{iid}}{\sim} p(\theta|\phi)$$

In this *m*-sample data analysis:

 $p(y_{1j}, \ldots, y_{n_j j} | \theta_j)$ describes the within-group sampling variability $p(\theta_1, \ldots, \theta_m | \phi)$ describes the between-group sampling variability $p(\phi)$ describes uncertainty about ϕ

- ► We could continue the hierarchy, putting hyperpriors on the parameters in p(φ), but eventually we must stop.
- The highest-level prior is often given a **diffuse** form.

- ► Assume we have random samples from *m* populations, having sample sizes n₁, n₂, ..., n_m.
- We specify the hierarchical data model:

$$egin{aligned} Y_{1j},\ldots,Y_{n_jj}|\mu_j,\sigma^2 \stackrel{ ext{iid}}{\sim} \mathcal{N}(\mu_j,\sigma^2) & ext{(within group-model)} \ & \mu_j|\phi,\tau^2 \stackrel{ ext{iid}}{\sim} \mathcal{N}(\phi,\tau^2) & ext{(between-group model)} \end{aligned}$$

This model assumes variability across group means, but group variances are assumed to be constant (= σ²) across groups.

• We place (independent) priors on the unknown parameters ϕ, τ^2 and σ^2 :

$$1/\sigma^2 \sim \operatorname{gamma}(\nu_1/2, \nu_1\nu_2/2)$$

$$1/\tau^2 \sim \operatorname{gamma}(\eta_1/2, \eta_1\eta_2/2)$$

$$\phi \sim N(\phi_0, \gamma^2)$$

A Hierarchical Normal Model for Data from Several Groups

We must approximate the joint posterior

$$\pi(\mu_1,\ldots,\mu_m,\phi,\tau^2,\sigma^2|\mathbf{y}_1,\ldots,\mathbf{y}_m)$$

- We will derive the full conditional for each parameter and use the Gibbs sampler to iteratively sample from these.
- Note the joint posterior is

$$\propto p(\mathbf{y}_1, \dots, \mathbf{y}_m | \mu_1, \dots, \mu_m, \phi, \tau^2, \sigma^2)$$

$$\times p(\mu_1, \dots, \mu_m | \phi, \tau^2, \sigma^2) p(\phi, \tau^2, \sigma^2)$$

$$= \left[\prod_{j=1}^m \prod_{i=1}^{n_j} p(y_{ij} | \mu_j, \sigma^2)\right] \left[\prod_{j=1}^m p(\mu_j | \phi, \tau^2)\right] p(\phi) p(\tau^2) p(\sigma^2)$$

Note that conditional on μ_j and σ², the joint density of the Y_{ij}'s does not depend on φ and τ².