STAT 713 Feb 28,2019

- · A hypothesis is a statement about a population parameter.
- In hypothesis testing, we have two hypothesiss denoted by Ho and H, (Ha) the null hypothesis the afternative hypothesis

Tex Ho versus H.

Suppose the purameter of interes (is 0 of which the parameter space is denoted by (1-1)

simple hypothesis

eg: $H_0: \theta = \theta_0$ vs. $H_1: \theta \neq \theta_0$ where θ_0 is given $H_0: \theta \leq \theta_0$ vs. $H_1: \theta \geq \theta_0$ Ho: $\theta = \theta_0$ vs. $H_1: \theta = \theta_1$

Cremeral: Ho: $\Theta \in \Theta_0$ VS: $H_1: \Theta \in \Theta_1$ where $\Theta_0 \cap \Omega_0 = \emptyset$

test function $\phi(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & x \in \mathbb{R} \\ 0 & x \in \mathbb{R} \end{cases}$

8 Hypothesis Testing

Complementary reading: Chapter 8 (CB).

8.1 Introduction

Setting: We observe $\mathbf{X} = (X_1, X_2, ..., X_n) \sim f_{\mathbf{X}}(\mathbf{x}|\boldsymbol{\theta})$, where $\boldsymbol{\theta} \in \Theta \subseteq \mathbb{R}^k$. For example, $X_1, X_2, ..., X_n$ might constitute a random sample (iid sample) from a population $f_X(x|\boldsymbol{\theta})$. We regard $\boldsymbol{\theta}$ as fixed and unknown.

Definition: A statistical hypothesis is a statement about θ . This statement specifies a collection of distributions that X can possibly have. Two complementary hypotheses in a testing problem are the **null hypothesis**

$$H_0: \boldsymbol{\theta} \in \Theta_0$$

and the alternative hypothesis

$$H_1: \boldsymbol{\theta} \in \Theta_0^c$$

where $\Theta_0^c = \Theta \setminus \Theta_0$. We call Θ_0 the **null parameter space** and Θ_0^c the **alternative** parameter space.

Example 8.1. Suppose $X_1, X_2, ..., X_n$ are iid $\mathcal{N}(\theta, \sigma_0^2)$, where $-\infty < \theta < \infty$ and σ_0^2 is known. Consider testing

$$H_0: \theta = \theta_0$$
versus
$$H_1: \theta \neq \theta_0,$$

where θ_0 is a specified value of θ . The null parameter space $\Theta_0 = \{\theta_0\}$, a singleton. The alternative parameter space $\Theta_0^c = \mathbb{R} \setminus \{\theta_0\}$.

Terminology: In Example 8.1, we call $H_0: \theta = \theta_0$ a **simple** (or **sharp**) hypothesis. Note that H_0 specifies exactly one distribution, namely, $\mathcal{N}(\theta_0, \sigma_0^2)$. A simple hypothesis specifies a single distribution.

Terminology: In Example 8.1, suppose we wanted to test

$$H_0: \theta \le \theta_0$$
 versus
$$H_1: \theta > \theta_0.$$

We call H_0 a **composite** (or **compound**) hypothesis. Note that H_0 specifies a family of distributions, namely, $\{\mathcal{N}(\theta, \sigma_0^2) : \theta \leq \theta_0\}$.

Goal: In a statistical hypothesis testing problem, we decide between the two complementary hypotheses H_0 and H_1 on the basis of observing $\mathbf{X} = \mathbf{x}$. In essence, a hypothesis test is a specification of the **test function**

$$\phi(\mathbf{x}) = P(\text{Reject } H_0 | \mathbf{X} = \mathbf{x}).$$

Terminology: Let \mathcal{X} denote the support of \mathbf{X} .

- The subset of \mathcal{X} for which H_0 is rejected is called the **rejection region**, denoted by R.
- The subset of \mathcal{X} for which H_0 is not rejected is called the **acceptance region**, denoted by R^c .

If

$$\phi(\mathbf{x}) = I(\mathbf{x} \in R) = \begin{cases} 1, & \mathbf{x} \in R \\ 0, & \mathbf{x} \in R^c, \end{cases}$$

the test is said to be **non-randomized**.

Example 8.2. Suppose $X \sim b(10, \theta)$, where $0 < \theta < 1$, and consider testing

$$H_0: \theta \ge 0.35$$

versus
 $H_1: \theta < 0.35$.

Here is an example of a randomized test function:

$$\phi(x) = \begin{cases} 1, & x \le 2\\ \frac{1}{5}, & x = 3\\ 0, & x \ge 4. \end{cases}$$

Using this test function, we would reject H_0 if x = 0, 1, or 2. If x = 3, we would reject H_0 with probability 1/5. If $x \ge 4$, we would not reject H_0 .

- If we observed x=3, we could then subsequently generate $U \sim \mathcal{U}(0,1)$.
 - If $u \leq 0.2$, then reject H_0 .
 - If u > 0.2, then do not reject H_0 .

Remark: In most problems, a test function ϕ depends on **X** through a one-dimensional test statistic, say

$$W = W(\mathbf{X}) = W(X_1, X_2, ..., X_n).$$

- 1. We would like to work with test statistics that are sensible and confer tests with nice statistical properties (does sufficiency play a role?)
- 2. We would like to find the **sampling distribution** of W under H_0 and H_1 .

Example 8.3. Suppose $X_1, X_2, ..., X_n$ are iid $\mathcal{N}(\mu, \sigma^2)$, where $-\infty < \mu < \infty$ and $\sigma^2 > 0$; i.e., both parameters are unknown. Consider testing

$$H_0: \sigma^2 = 40$$

versus
 $H_1: \sigma^2 \neq 40$.

In this problem, both

$$W_1 = W_1(\mathbf{X}) = |S^2 - 40|$$

 $W_2 = W_2(\mathbf{X}) = \frac{(n-1)S^2}{40}$

are reasonable test statistics.

- Because S^2 is an unbiased estimator of σ^2 , large values of W_1 (intuitively) are evidence against H_0 . However, what is W_1 's sampling distribution?
- The advantage of working with W_2 is that we know its sampling distribution when H_0 is true; i.e., $W_2 \sim \chi_{n-1}^2$. It is also easy to calculate the sampling distribution of W_2 when H_0 is not true; i.e., for values of $\sigma^2 \neq 40$.

Example 8.4. McCann and Tebbs (2009) summarize a study examining perceived unmet need for dental health care for people with HIV infection. Baseline in-person interviews were conducted with 2,864 HIV infected individuals (aged 18 years and older) as part of the HIV Cost and Services Utilization Study. Define

 X_1 = number of patients with private insurance

 X_2 = number of patients with medicare and private insurance

 X_3 = number of patients without insurance

 X_4 = number of patients with medicare but no private insurance.

Set $\mathbf{X} = (X_1, X_2, X_3, X_4)$ and model $\mathbf{X} \sim \text{mult}(2864, p_1, p_2, p_3, p_4; \sum_{i=1}^4 p_i = 1)$. Under this assumption, consider testing

$$H_0: p_1 = p_2 = p_3 = p_4 = \frac{1}{4}$$

versus
 $H_1: H_0$ not true.

Note that an observation like $\mathbf{x} = (0, 0, 0, 2864)$ should lead to a rejection of H_0 . An observation like $\mathbf{x} = (716, 716, 716, 716)$ should not. What about $\mathbf{x} = (658, 839, 811, 556)$? Can we find a reasonable one-dimensional test statistic?

8.2 Methods of Finding Tests

Preview: The authors present three methods of finding tests:

- 1. Likelihood ratio tests (LRTs)
- 2. Bayesian tests
- 3. Union-Intersection and Intersection-Union tests (UIT/IUT)

We will focus largely on LRTs. We will discuss Bayesian tests briefly.

8.2.1 Likelihood ratio tests

Recall: Suppose $\mathbf{X} = (X_1, X_2, ..., X_n) \sim f_{\mathbf{X}}(\mathbf{x}|\boldsymbol{\theta})$, where $\boldsymbol{\theta} \in \Theta \subseteq \mathbb{R}^k$. The likelihood function is

$$L(\boldsymbol{\theta}|\mathbf{x}) = f_{\mathbf{X}}(\mathbf{x}|\boldsymbol{\theta})$$

$$\stackrel{\text{iid}}{=} \prod_{i=1}^{n} f_{X}(x_{i}|\boldsymbol{\theta}),$$

where $f_X(x|\boldsymbol{\theta})$ is the common population distribution (in the iid case). Recall that Θ is the parameter space.

Definition: The likelihood ratio test (LRT) statistic for testing

$$H_0: \boldsymbol{\theta} \in \Theta_0$$
 versus
$$H_1: \boldsymbol{\theta} \in \Theta \setminus \Theta_0$$

is defined by

$$\lambda(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{\sup_{\boldsymbol{\theta} \in \Theta_0} L(\boldsymbol{\theta}|\mathbf{x})}{\sup_{\boldsymbol{\theta} \in \Theta} L(\boldsymbol{\theta}|\mathbf{x})}.$$

A LRT is a test that has a rejection region of the form

$$R = {\mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{X} : \lambda(\mathbf{x}) < c},$$

where $0 \le c \le 1$.

Intuition: The numerator of $\lambda(\mathbf{x})$ is the largest the likelihood function can be over the null parameter space Θ_0 . The denominator is the largest the likelihood function can be over the entire parameter space Θ . Clearly,

$$0 \le \lambda(\mathbf{x}) \le 1.$$